

DEVELOPMENT REVIEW ADVISORY COMMITTEE (DRAC) FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 2014, 2:00 PM-4:00 PM PZ&B – VISTA CENTER 2300 North Jog RD., WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33411 2ND FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM (VC-2E-12)

AGENDA

- A. REVIEW OF THE OCTOBER 22, 2013 MINUTES (ATTACHMENT 1)
- B. ULDC UPDATES BILL
 - 1. ROUND 2013-02 ADOPTION
 - 2. ROUND 2014-01 KEY TOPICS
 - 3. 2013-2014 ULDC USE PROJECT UPDATE
 - 4. ART. 2, DEVELOPMENT REVIEW PROCEDURES DRAC FEEDBACK
- C. REVIEW DRAC OPEN TASK- (ATTACHMENT 2) WENDY
- D. APPLICATION/INSUFFICIENCY REVISED CHECKLIST 1-29-14 (ATTACHMENT 3) WENDY/CARRIE
- E. SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS FOR PUBLIC HEARING/DRO IS SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENT "COVER SHEET" CURRENT? - (ATTACHMENT 4) -COLLENE WALTER
- F. OFF THE BOARD SUBMITTALS DROE AND INITIAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW (ATTACHMENT 5) PAT LENTINI
- G. MODIFICATIONS TO EXISTING DEVELOPMENTS WITHOUT EXTENSIVE REVISIONS TO MASTER/SITE PLANS- (ATTACHMENT 6) - BRADLEY MILLER
- H. TECHNICAL MANUAL TITLE 2 STATUS TO ONGOING MEETING WITH AGENTS AND UPDATE TO MANUAL - MARYANN
- I. JANUARY 30, 2014 BCC APPROVES NEW DRO AGENCY FEES (ATTACHMENT 7) JON
- J. DRAC SUBCOMMITTEE CONTACT LIST (ATTACHMENT 8)
- K. ADJOURNMENT

U:\Zoning\CD\DRO\DRAC Development Review Advisory Committee\2014\2-7-14\Agenda\2-7-2014 Agenda.docx



DEVELOPMENT REVIEW ADVISORY COMMITTEE (DRAC) MINUTES OF THE FRIDAY, OCTOBER 22, 2013 SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING PZ&B – VISTA CENTER 2300 NORTH JOG RD., WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33411 2ND FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM (VC-2E-12) Time: 2:30 pm to 4:00 pm

PREPARED BY ZONING DIVISION STAFF

1. CALL TO ORDER.

Chairman Scott Mosolf called the meeting to order at 2.35 pm.

Members Present –

Scott Mosolf – UDKS – Chair Gladys DiGirolamo – GL Homes - Vice Chair Collene Walter - UDKS Kevin McGinley - Land Research Management Jeff Brophy - Land Design South

Members Absent -

Bradley Miller - Miller Planning Chris Barry - UDKS Bill Whiteford -Team Plan Jon Schmidt - Jon Schmidt & Associates Jan Polson - Cotleur & Hearing

Interested Parties –

Pat Lentini - 2GHO Gary Brandenburg - B&A Ann Perry - Lake Worth Drainage District Nicole Smith - Lake Worth Drainage District

Zoning/ Engineering -

Jon MacGillis, Zoning Director Maryann Kwok, Chief Planner, Zoning Division Wendy Hernandez, Zoning Manager - Community Development Section (CD) Alan Seaman, Principal Site Planner, Zoning Division Barbara Pinkston, Principal Site Planner, Zoning Division William Cross, Principal Site Planner, Zoning Division Carrie Rechenmacher, Senior Site Planner, CD Section Carol Glasser, Site Planner II, CD Section Autumn Sorrow, Senior Site Planner, CD Section Patricia Rice, Senior Secretary, Administration Section Jan Rodriguez, Senior Site Planner, Administrative Review/Public Information Section Donna Adelsperger, Site Planner I, CD Section Roger Ramdeen, Site Planner II, CD Section David G. McGuire, Site Planner II, CD Section Inna Stafeychuk, Site Planner I, Administration Section David Nearing, Site Planner I, Code Revision Section Monica Cantor - Senior Site Planner, Code Revision Section Scott Rodriguez - Site Planner II, Code Revision Section Lauren Dennis, Site Planner II, Code Revision Section Joanne M Keller, Land Development Director

A. INTRODUCTIONS

B. REVIEW OF THE AUGUST 23, 2013 MINUTES – (ATTACHMENT 1)

Scott Mosolf asked if anyone had any amendments on the August 23, 2013 Minutes. There were no comments/changes to the minutes. Minutes were adopted as presented. Staff will publish the adopted version to the Zoning DRAC Web Page.

C. USE REGULATIONS PROJECT UPDATE - BILL

- Overview of Industrial Use Amendments (Attachment 2, Exhibit A)
- Article 5, Supplementary Standards (Attachment 2, Exhibit B)

Bill Cross noted that all DRAC members are on the Use Regulations Project distribution list and confirmed that everyone in attendance had been receiving and reviewing the monthly newsletters. He advised that the amendments for Industrial Uses have been reviewed by the Subcommittee and are scheduled for tomorrow's LDRAB meeting. He pointed out that there is one consolidated Use Matrix and that this should be referenced as staff presents an overview of amendments for each individual Industrial Use, after which staff would answer questions. Code Revision staff then presented an overview of amendments to Industrial Uses and related provisions in Art. 5.B, Supplementary Standards. Monica Cantor clarified that any provisions relocated from Art. 4 into Art. 5. would allow for option of applying for Variance Relief if warranted.

Discussion:

Gary Brandenburg inquired if Variances would be allowed for Use Regulations, staff clarified no, only for any standards relocated to Art. 5. He also spoke on behalf of residents who live next to the North County Airport. He expressed concern that almost every use is permitted in PO Zoning District in the Airport Overlay Zone. He said that uses permitted as DRO Approval such as rock crushing, recycling stations and other heavy industrial uses will not require Public Hearing process and residents have no opportunity to oppose any of such uses.

Bill Cross explained that the Board reviews and approves all Airport Master Plans. Per the Department of Airports, this is the forum where the public has an opportunity to comment. Bill asked how Mr. Brandenburg would like to voice his concerns further. He also clarified that not all Industrial Uses are permitted within the AZO's, emphasizing that Heavy Industry was not permitted whether an airport or non-airport related use. Monica Cantor clarified that under current Code the Overlay provisions prevail.

Colleen Walter asked what uses are next.

Jon explained that next will be Recreational Uses.

Monica Cantor invited all interested parties to next October 31, 2013 meeting to discuss residential uses ad currently contained in the Code and enquire if additional housing types need to be included.

Mr. Cross again confirmed that members had received e-mail notification of the upcoming Residential Uses Kick Off meeting. He advised that the meeting would focus on any issues related to the Use Regulations Project, such as definitions, districts allowed and approval processes, and supplemental use standards.

Mr. MacGillis clarified that staff had consulted with Verdenia Baker, Deputy County Administrator and Rebecca Caldwell, PZB Director, and that staff would hear feedback on other residential related issues but the main focus of the meeting is related to Residential Uses. If warranted, a separate process might be established to look at issues that don't fit within the Use Regulations Project.

D. ULDC AMENDMENT ROUND 2013-02 - BILL/ALAN/WENDY

• (DRO Administrative Agency Review (Attachment 3, Exhibit C)

Alan and Lauren explained the proposed ULDC code amendment related to the DRO Agency Review as found in Attachment 3. Lauren reviewed each of the proposed amendments and asked

if there was any input. Also, Alan reviewed the proposed increase in fees for this process. Member's voice concern with the ULDC amendment provisions related to how much square footage can be added or relocated on the approved site plan. They asked why did staff propose a 3% or 2, 500 square foot increase to building square footage limitation. Alan explained that the intent of the DRO Agency Review was to assist the public/contractors with minor site plan changes; however, over time more complex requests are coming to Administrative Review Staff through the Agency Review. This is slowing down the process since many of the DRO Agencies assign priority to the full DRO review. This amendment is staff's attempt to find a balance between the need for industry to be able to amend plans in a timely manner and Agency staff not being overloaded with these amendments that they cannot review them in a timely manner. Staff will look at removing the 3% cap on this provision. With respect to the maximum relocation of square footage up to a maximum of 15% staff concluded that Full DRO can approve a maximum of 25% therefore the 15% was to find a balance once between the two processes. Staff did research the approvals in 2013 and concluded that the majority of applicants taking advantage of the relocation provision were within the 15% range.

There was discussion on the proposed amendment fee for this process. Currently, staff only changes a flat fee and no Resubmittals fees. Staff once again is trying to balance the fee to address the staff time involved to the request. The proposed amended fee would charge a flat fee for the request that would include 5 amendments, with 50 dollars for each additional request. The first 2 Resubmittals would be included in the original flat fee with a charge for more than 3 Resubmittals. The Members stated they would rather a higher fee upfront then trying to figure out what constitute an "amendment". There was discussion between Members and Alan on how he determined what an amendment was so they were clear how he would calculate the proposed fee. After a lengthy discussion it seems the consensus from members was an increase in the flat fee.

After the DRAC Meeting Alan sent an email to Members that included the proposed amendment, the proposed fee and the current PPM on what is exempt from the DRO Agency Review. He asked for input on the documents so staff can finalize the code amendment and new fee resolution.

E. REVIEW DRAC OPEN TASK - WENDY (ATTACHMENT 4)

Wendy reviewed the open tasks on Attachment 4.

- The fee being charged for Concurrency for School Board is still pending. She spoke with Planning staff and they said no change in status of the inquiry.
- Conditions-Zoning and ITS have been working on enhancements to the ePZB Conditions screens. We are almost finished our review of the changes and hope to launch the new screens later this year. DRO Agencies will need to be trained on the new features in November. Also, tied to this task is training for all Agency staff on when to close out conditions as being satisfied during the various stages of the review process.
- Information on Master Plan-Maryann and Wendy convened a Task Team which some of the DRAC members participated on. Staff would like another meeting to follow up on the suggested changes. The changes involve coordination between Zoning and Land Development on what needs to be on the plans.
- Timeframes for DRO Agency Review-Staff discussed this topic at today's meeting. Alan is recommending amendments to the DRO Agency review process in order to ensure staff can process the amendments being requested in a timely manner. Staff reviewed those amendments with DRAC and followed up after the meeting by sending everyone a copy of the amendments, the current PPM on amendments not subject to DRO Agency Review etc and asked for input so the amendment can proceed to the November LDRAB Meeting.
- F. REQUEST BY DODI GLAS-CAN PAT LENTINI BECOME A FULL MEMBER OF DRAC? Members voted unanimously for Pat Lentini to become a full member of DRAC.

G. DISCUSS RESIDENTIAL PLANS COMING OFF BOARD AND THE REQUIREMENT FOR RECREATION PODS TO HAVE THEIR OWN SITE PLANS. – JEFF BROPHY

Residential Site Plans off the BCC approval with Master Plans-

Jeff explained his issue with not being able to get Site Plans associated with a off the Board Master Plan approved at the same DRO Meeting. Jeff said Agencies are reviewing the Site Plan as part of the Public Hearing process and does not clearly understand why staff cannot do the Master and Site Plans at same meeting Wendy explained the BCC is only approving the Master Plan and staff is not reviewing the site plans as part of the Public Hearing process. The Master Plan off the Board must be approved at one meeting and the next DRO meeting the corresponding Site Plans can be approved. Wendy said the Master and Site Plans can be submitted to DRO at the same DRO Intake but cannot be approved at same DRO Meeting.

Requirement of Site/Subdivision Plan for Recreation Parcel Land Design South had sent several requests to the Zoning Division asking for clarification on the process to ensure consistency among staff. Sometimes a separate site plan is required for the Recreation Parcel and other times staff allows them to show it on the Regulation Plan. Maryann Kwok indicated that the current Unified Land Development Code (Article 2) does not require a Site or Subdivision Plan to be approved by the BCC; the BCC only approved the Master Plan for a rezoning of a property to a Planned Unit Development (PUD). To show exemplary standards, an applicant may utilize the Regulating Plans. The applicant may choose to reflect those exemplary standards on a Site or a Subdivision Plan, but the BCC does not approve those plans, only the Master Plan. Jeff Brophy indicated the Zoning Staff asked for those plans. Maryann will follow up with Wendy to ensure consistency in Plan requirements. Maryann further explained sometimes with the Site/Subdivision Plans will be subject to changes based on the BCC's conditions of approval, so those plans will need to be revised at Final DRO.

H. NEXT MEETING FEBRUARY 7, 2014. MEETING AGENDA 2014 (ATTACHMENT 5)

I. ADJOURNMENT

THE MEETING ADJOURNED AT 3:55 PM.

U:\Zoning\CD\DRO\DRAC Development Review Advisory Committee\2013\10-22-2013\Minutes\DRAC 10-22-2013 Minutes-DRAFT.docx

Development Review Advisory Commisttee (DRAC) 2014 Tasks

Complete	Pending						
Task		Details	Lead	Status	Date Initiated	Initiated by	Date Completed
Concurrency Fee- Scho		when they aren't affected by the changes- i.e. CLF or Age Restricted Community		Pending		Kevin Ratteree	 1-31-2014 Wendy spoke with kevin in Planning a draft interlocal has been submitted to Planning for review. They will be meeting school board in ~2 weeks. They are contemplating not doing concurrency anymore, but reviewing for school requirements under Land Use and Rezoning applications. Not known at this time if fees will still be applicable, planning to follow up. After meeting in 2 weeks the document will be scheduled for presentation to School Board and BCC. 10/22/13: Wendy: The fee being charged for Concurrency for School Board is still pending. She spoke with Planning staff and they said not change in status of the inquiry. 08/13/2013 Planning still working with the School Board. Schools are preparing a draft document to present at the next IPARC. Draft to be submitted to Planning a couple weeks. 06-07-13 Wendy gave DRAC update to Committee that no changes has occurred. Spoke with School Board Planning Division still working on agreement. 11/5/2012 Need status from Planning.
Calendar- Variance Dea		Comment Deadlines and applications do not give enough time to address issues	Wendy-CDR	Re-open	5/11/2012	Colleen Walters	1/31/2014- to discuss again ato DRAC meeting. Staff have issue with variance deadlines. Dec 20 meeting. Staff finalize if any changes possible to calendar for 2013.Effective 1/1/2013
Insufficiency Check Lis		Review consistent application	Wendy	Re-open			1/31/2014 Request to discuss Insufficiencies at the February 2014 DRAC 2/1/2012 issue raised by Jeff Brophy Closed
DROE Application -Arch	h Review		Wendy	Pending			1/31/2014 Staff initiated discussion regarding Off-The Board reviews and new Arch review submissions. For OTB, elevations would need to have been submitted at time of public hearing.

Complete Pending]					
Task	Details	Lead	Status	Date Initiated	Initiated by	Date Completed
Information on a Master Plan	Inconsistent requirements for information on a Master Plan. Some information may not be necessary. Involves Survey, DL, Planning and Zoning		Pending	5/11/2012	Gladys	1/29/14: Maryann/CD Staff to convene one additional meeting on Tech Manual, Title 2 changes. 08/13/2013 task still pending; drafted modifications to the Technical Manual; 06-07-13 Wendy said she met in last month with several DRAC members to address their concerns with too much information on Master Plan. Working on draft to reflect changes agreed to and once done will send out to Committee for review. Then the Technical Manual will be update. 8/13/13 Subcommittee need to discuss Tech Manual changes. Staff to finalize the proposed changes prior to next DRAC meeting. 10/22/13: Wendy: Information on Master Plan-Maryann and Wendy convened a Task Team which some of the DRAC members participated on. Staff would like another meeting to follow up on the suggested changes. The changes involve coordination between Zoning and Land Development on what needs to be on the plans.
EAC Application and Fees	Application Process and Fees	Wendy	Closed			1/29/2011
Abandonment Process	Address how to abandon an application. Draft new PPM and went over with DROOC		Closed			3/1/2011
General Application	Groups	Wendy	Closed			3/15/2011
Status of Green Task Team	Status of ULDC Task Team	Bill	Closed			2/1/2012

Complete	Pending						
Task		Details	Lead	Status	Date Initiated	Initiated by	Date Completed
		Some staff are requesting justification for DRO application when it is off the board.	Wendy/Chris Barry	Closed		Chris Barry	2/1/2012
Resubmitted Fees-EF Tran		Fees are being charged when they aren't affected by the changes	Wendy	Closed	5/11/2012	Colleen Walters	08/24/2012 Memo from Zoning to ERM and Tran.
Conditions of Approv		Conditions of Approval are remaining open and causing issues at time of Building Permit	Jon/Wendy	Closed	5/11/2012	Colleen Walters	1/29/14: Updates to ePZB implemented in early January. 08/13/2013: CD Staff have tested the screens, revisions to be completed by ITS; 06-07-13 Wendy gave update to Committee that Zoning and ISS working on modifications to the ePZB conditions screens. Expect by this Fall to implement changes to help with processing and monitoring conditions Staff will manually update; Pending task for ePZB and ISS. 10/22/13: Wendy: The fee being charged for Concurrency for School Board is still pending. She spoke with Planning staff and they said not change in status of the inquiry.
Palm Tran and Fire		Palm Tran not signing off on DRO in ePZB.	Jon/Jeff Brophy	Closed	8/24/2012	Jan Polson	06-07-13 Closed Committee said seeing improvements Letter was sent to Palm Tran by Jeff Brophy on behalf of the DRAC: 2/8/2013 This items will remain open to monitor issues from Palm Tran. Agents asked that Fire be added to the list. As they have issues with the timing of their review.

Complete Pending]					
Task	Details	Lead	Status	Date Initiated	Initiated by	Date Completed
Time frames for DRO-Agency	Review time is too long for certain agencies. Can we establish ULDC time frames?	Alan/Jon	Closed	8/24/2012	Colleen Walters	1/29/14: Jon/Alan monitoring timeframes closely to ensure Agencies/Agents respond to comment/responses. Currently working on setup "responses" online for applicants. 08/13/2013 Staff preparing minor modifications to Article 2 to address Agency Reviews. Larger modification of Article 2 to be completed with the Article 4 Use changes. 06/07/2013 Staff updated Committee working on ULDC amendments for 2013-02 Round. Expect amendments to go to the November LDRAB. Staff will send DRAFT to DRAC before finalized along with new modified Fees that are being recommended. Remain open. Staff is finalizing PPM regarding what is considered a ZAR versus full DRO. 8-13-13 Staff drafting minor amendments to DRO Admin Review. Will go to next LDRAB. Will share with DRAC. 10/22/13: Wendy: Timeframes for DRO Agency Review-Staff discussed this topic at today's meeting. Alan is recommending amendments to the DRO Agency review process in order to ensure staff can process the amendments being requested in a timely manner. Staff reviewed those amendments with DRAC and followed up after the meeting by sending everyone a copy of the amendments, the current PPM on amendments not subject to DRO Agency Review etc and asked for input so the amendment can proceed to the November LDRAB Meeting.

Г

Development Review Advisory Commisttee (DRAC) 2014 Tasks

Complete	Pending						
Task		Details	Lead	Status	Date Initiated	Initiated by	Date Completed
Roundabout requirem		Clearance requirement for Land Development differs from Fire	Joanne K	Closed	3/6/2013	Gladys	 06-07-13-Closed per Committee From: Joanne Keller M. Sent: Wednesday, March 06, 2013 11:12 AM To: Gladys Digirolamo; Bradley Miller Cc: Wendy Hernandez N.; Maryann Kwok; Pedro Segovia Subject: FW: Roundabout Design At the last DRAC meeting, an issue concerning a conflict between PBC Engineering and FRD roundabout design requirements was brought up for discussion. After the meeting, Engineering staff coordinated with FRD staff and it was agreed that a change would be made to the central median curbing to satisfy both department's requirements. For your information, attached is the new requirement to use FDOT's Type RA curb for the median in roundabouts. I trust this will resolve the issue from DRAC.
Street Tree		Spacing of trees	Joanne/Jon Barbara P	Closed	3/19/2013	Barbara P.	06-07-13 Barbara P informed the Committee that there will be no ULDC amendments to Street Trees based on discussion with BCC and Subcommittee review of issues.
Consent Forms		Agents not providing new forms after a year	Susan Taylor	Closed	3/8/2013	Susan T.	06-07-13 Bob Banks and Susan T update Committee that they need assurance that the Owner is giving Consent for all apps as they go through process.
Resubmittals followin	•	Too many additional submittals	Wendy	Closed	3/13/2013	Wendy	06-7-13 Wendy monitoring Resubmittals and asked they be keep to minor fixes and not significant changes.

APPLICATION/INSUFFICIENCY

All required application/plan documents that do not meet the minimum requirement of the Technical Manual (TM), all required application documents missing or incomplete or not correctly identified as listed in some of the examples as follows.

REASONS FOR INSUFFICIENCY

- Missing, Incomplete or Inconsistent Information: Form 1 (General Application), 1. Form 30 (Concurrency), or Justification. The Applicant's requests and information pertinent to the subject property (Justification Statement/General Application/Supplementary Application/Traffic/Drainage Studies/Plan(s)are inconsistent to the extent that staff cannot proceed with review of the application.
- 2. Site Plan modifications and line weight not legible and not consistent with common drafting standards to the extent that the plan and information cannot be read or understood;
- 3. Access to property not identified – Legal Access;
- Uses not correctly identified for process- Public Hearing vs Administrative; 4.
- Not meeting Use Standards of Article 4 to the extent that the application cannot be 5. reviewed:
- Shared parking must have Engineering Director sign off before submittal; 6.
- 7. Five or more Technical Manual insufficiencies;
 - Missing, incomplete, forms- or forms not consistent with request or other back up • material;
 - Incorrect scale; •
 - Incomplete tabular and inconsistent with Site Plan Elements; •
 - Inconsistent with Building Footprint and Square Feet •
 - Parking calculations and square footages not accurate; •
 - Wrong FLU and/or Zoning or are inconsistent; •
 - Minimum Buffers width and easement overlap not Identified; •
 - Surrounding Properties. FLU, Zoning (and Zoning approvals and Control Numbers), • Existing uses and Structures not identified;
 - Uses and accessory uses not identified, are not correct or incomplete;
 - Survey and Site Plan or Subdivision Plan do not match:
 - In addition to Preliminary Site Plan submittal, supplementary plans such as the Master Plan, Master Sign Plan, Regulating Plan or plans as specified in the Code may be required; and,
 - Address current Conditions of Approval.
- Consent and Disclosure Forms missing, incorrect or incomplete: 8.

CERTIFICATION ISSUES*

- 1. Consent Form (Form 3), missing or not with correct signature; Consent from all property owners of the project not provided; Property Owners Association (POA) Consent required.
- 2. Survey must be signed and sealed within a year- Survey Department may make this a Sufficiency Issue;
- 3. Survey provided is only for a portion of the site and must be for the entire site; Master/Site/Subdivision Plan vs. Survey needs to be consistent;
- 4. Traffic and Drainage Statements, Reports not signed and sealed;
- 5. Identify the variance request and location on the Plan;
- 6. Incomplete responses to the Findings, Waiver, or Variance Criteria;
- Graphically show the "affected area" of new application;
 Missing previous Conditions of Approval condition status;
- 9. Applicant must clarify which review process, BCC, DRO or ARPI Amendments in process; and,
- 10. Arch elevations and plans submit for PH (to garnish BCC support); must meet Article 5.C; TDR projects – require Elevations per Article 5.G.3.

*Previously Identified as Insufficiency Items

U:\Zoning\CD\Administration\Insufficiency Checklist\REASONS FOR INSUFFICIENCY- reformat.doc

Patricia Rice

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	Collene Walter [cwalter@udkstudios.com] Tuesday, January 07, 2014 1:25 PM Pat Lentini; Patricia Rice; Bill Whiteford (wcw@teamplaninc.com); 'Bradley Miller'; Gladys DiGirolamo (Gladys.Digirolamo@glhomes.com); Jan Polson (jpolson@cotleur-hearing.com); 'JBrophy@LandDesignSouth.com'; Jon Schmidt (Jschmidt@jesla.com); Kevin McGinley (Irmi@bellsouth.net); Scott Mosolf Jon MacGillis RE: 2-7-14 DRAC Draft Agenda
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Completed

I plan to attend this meeting.

I would like to add a topic regarding Update on Submittal Requirements to the agenda.

UDKS was informed by PBC Zoning staff (Wanda S. and Donna A.) that we do not need to provide reduced size plans anymore with our submittals/resubmittals. Upon inquiring as to if the survey is required as it is still listed on the cover sheets, and Donna stated that that requirement has just not been removed from the checklist yet but that we did not need to submit a reduced survey either. It is my understanding that with the reports being digital there is no need for reduced copies. There may be other updates that I am not aware of.

Thank you.

Regards,

Collene W Walter

Collene W. Walter, PLA ASLA, AICP, LEED AP, FWS AP 561-366-1100 ext. 110 cwalter@udkstudios.com



The Offices at CityPlace North 477 S. Rosemary Avenue, Suite 225 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 ph. (561) **366.1100** f. (561) 366.1111 www.udkstudios.com

STUDIOS Urban Planning and Design | Landscape Architecture | Communication Graphics Please be aware that when we send electronic data out of our office, we do not have control over how the information is subsequently used. We request that you do not provide this electronic file to any third party.

From: Pat Lentini [mailto:Pat@2gho.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 07, 2014 11:57 AM
To: Patricia Rice; Bill Whiteford (wcw@teamplaninc.com); 'Bradley Miller'; Collene Walter; Gladys DiGirolamo (Gladys.Digirolamo@glhomes.com); Jan Polson (jpolson@cotleur-hearing.com); 'JBrophy@LandDesignSouth.com'; Jon Schmidt (Jschmidt@jesla.com); Kevin McGinley (Irmi@bellsouth.net); Scott Mosolf
Cc: Jon MacGillis
Subject: RE: 2-7-14 DRAC Draft Agenda

I will be attending the meeting.

I also have a topic to discuss. It is about the Off the Board submittals when you have architecture that needs to be reviewed and the timing associated with this review.

Patricia Lentini Senior Planner Office#561-575-9557 Cell#561-348-0696 pat@2gho.com

From: Patricia Rice [mailto:PRice@pbcgov.org]
Sent: Tuesday, January 07, 2014 11:54 AM
To: Bill Whiteford (wcw@teamplaninc.com); 'Bradley Miller'; cwalter@udkstudios.com; Gladys DiGirolamo (Gladys.Digirolamo@glhomes.com); Jan Polson (jpolson@cotleur-hearing.com); 'JBrophy@LandDesignSouth.com'; Jon Schmidt (Jschmidt@jesla.com); Kevin McGinley (Irmi@bellsouth.net); Pat Lentini; Scott Mosolf (smosolf@udkstudios.com)
Cc: Jon MacGillis
Subject: 2-7-14 DRAC Draft Agenda

Does anyone have any topics you would like to add to the agenda for the Feb 7th meeting? Also, Please confirm if you will be attending. Thanks,

Patricia Rice, Senior Secretary Palm Beach County PZ&B, Zoning Division 2300 North Jog Road West Palm Beach FL 33411-2741 561-233-5234 (Office) 561-233-5165 (Fax) price@pbcgov.org (Email)

http://www.pbcgov.com/pzb/Zoning/index.htm

Please provide us feedback on our level of service by taking our Customer Survey at: <u>http://www.pbcgov.org/SurveySystem/Divisions/PZBAdmin/SurveyAttempt.asp?hdnSurveySeq=686&hdnSurveyName=Zoning Division Customer</u> <u>Service Survey</u>

Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Patricia Rice

From: Sent: To:	Pat Lentini [Pat@2gho.com] Tuesday, January 07, 2014 11:57 AM Patricia Rice; Bill Whiteford (wcw@teamplaninc.com); 'Bradley Miller'; cwalter@udkstudios.com; Gladys DiGirolamo (Gladys.Digirolamo@glhomes.com); Jan Polson (jpolson@cotleur-hearing.com); 'JBrophy@LandDesignSouth.com'; Jon Schmidt (Jschmidt@jesla.com); Kevin McGinley (Irmi@bellsouth.net); Scott Mosolf (smosolf@udkstudios.com)
Cc:	Jon MacGillis
Subject:	RE: 2-7-14 DRAC Draft Agenda
Follow Up Flag: Flag Status:	Follow up Completed

I will be attending the meeting.

I also have a topic to discuss. It is about the Off the Board submittals when you have architecture that needs to be reviewed and the timing associated with this review.

Patricia Lentini

Senior Planner Office#561-575-9557 Cell#561-348-0696 pat@2gho.com

From: Patricia Rice [mailto:PRice@pbcgov.org]
Sent: Tuesday, January 07, 2014 11:54 AM
To: Bill Whiteford (wcw@teamplaninc.com); 'Bradley Miller'; cwalter@udkstudios.com; Gladys DiGirolamo (Gladys.Digirolamo@glhomes.com); Jan Polson (jpolson@cotleur-hearing.com); 'JBrophy@LandDesignSouth.com'; Jon Schmidt (Jschmidt@jesla.com); Kevin McGinley (Irmi@bellsouth.net); Pat Lentini; Scott Mosolf (smosolf@udkstudios.com)
Cc: Jon MacGillis
Subject: 2-7-14 DRAC Draft Agenda

Does anyone have any topics you would like to add to the agenda for the Feb 7th meeting? Also, Please confirm if you will be attending. Thanks,

Patricia Rice, Senior Secretary Palm Beach County PZ&B, Zoning Division 2300 North Jog Road West Palm Beach FL 33411-2741 561-233-5234 (Office) 561-233-5165 (Fax) price@pbcgov.org (Email)

http://www.pbcgov.com/pzb/Zoning/index.htm

Please provide us feedback on our level of service by taking our Customer Survey at:

http://www.pbcgov.org/SurveySystem/Divisions/PZBAdmin/SurveyAttempt.asp?hdnSurveySeq=686&hdnSurveyName=Zoning Division Customer Service Survey

Patricia Rice

From: Sent: To:	Bradley D. Miller [bradley@mlpc.net] Tuesday, January 07, 2014 2:08 PM Patricia Rice; Bill Whiteford (wcw@teamplaninc.com); cwalter@udkstudios.com; Gladys DiGirolamo (Gladys.Digirolamo@glhomes.com); Jan Polson (jpolson@cotleur-hearing.com); LBranby@LandDasignSouth.com); Jan Schmidt (Jachmidt@isola.com); Kovin McCipley
Cc: Subject:	'JBrophy@LandDesignSouth.com'; Jon Schmidt (Jschmidt@jesla.com); Kevin McGinley (Irmi@bellsouth.net); Patricia Lentini (Pat@landscape-architects.com); Scott Mosolf (smosolf@udkstudios.com) Jon MacGillis RE: 2-7-14 DRAC Draft Agenda
Follow Up Flag: Flag Status:	Follow up Completed

I plan on attending. I'd like to discuss how we might be able to have another approach to modifications to existing developments without extensive revisions to old plans that is sometimes outside of the affected area. Thanks.

Bradley Miller

From: Patricia Rice [PRice@pbcgov.org] Sent: Tuesday, January 07, 2014 11:53 AM To: Bill Whiteford (wcw@teamplaninc.com); Bradley D. Miller; cwalter@udkstudios.com; Gladys DiGirolamo (Gladys.Digirolamo@glhomes.com); Jan Polson (jpolson@cotleur-hearing.com); 'JBrophy@LandDesignSouth.com'; Jon Schmidt (Jschmidt@jesla.com); Kevin McGinley (lrmi@bellsouth.net); Patricia Lentini (Pat@landscape-architects.com); Scott Mosolf (smosolf@udkstudios.com) Cc: Jon MacGillis Subject: 2-7-14 DRAC Draft Agenda

Does anyone have any topics you would like to add to the agenda for the Feb 7th meeting? Also, Please confirm if you will be attending. Thanks,

Patricia Rice, Senior Secretary
Palm Beach County
PZ&B, Zoning Division
2300 North Jog Road
West Palm Beach FL 33411-2741
561-233-5234 (Office)
561-233-5165 (Fax)
price@pbcgov.org<mailto:price@pbcgov.org> (Email)

http://www.pbcgov.com/pzb/Zoning/index.htm

Please provide us feedback on our level of service by taking our Customer Survey at: http://www.pbcgov.org/SurveySystem/Divisions/PZBAdmin/SurveyAttempt.asp?hdnSurveySeq=686&hdnS urveyName=Zoning Division Customer Service Survey<http://www.pbcgov.org/SurveySystem/Divisions/PZBAdmin/SurveyAttempt.asp?hdnSurveySeq=6 86&hdnSurveyName=Zoning%20Division%20Customer%20Service%20Survey>

Zoning Division Proposed Fee Schedule New Fees

Description	2013 Proposed New Fee	
Development Review Officer Expedited Administrative Mo Review & Zoning Agency Review (Administrative Revie Section)		
Expedited Administrative Modification (ZZR) > 5 Modification Requests Per Application	\$285.00	
Expedited Administrative Modification (ZAR) > 5 Modification Requests Per Application	\$486.00	
Expedited Administrative Modification Re-submittal > 2 Re- submittals Requests	\$50 Each	

DRAC SUBCOMMITTEE CONTACT LIST

Revised 01-24-2014

Jeff Brophy

Land Design South 2101 Centrepark West Dr., Ste. 100 West Palm Beach, FL 33409 Phone: (561) 478-8501 Fax: (561) 478-5012 E-mail: jbrophy@landdesignsouth.com

Collene Walter

Urban Design Kilday Studio 477 Rosemary Ave., Ste. 225 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Phone: (561) 366-1100 Fax: (561) 366-1111 E-mail: <u>cwalter@udkstudios.com</u>

Bradley Miller, AICP

Miller Land Planning, Inc. 508 E. Boynton Beach Boulevard Boynton Beach, FL 33435 Phone: (561) 736-8838 Fax: (561) 736-8079 E-mail: <u>bradley@mlpc.net</u>

Gladys DiGirolamo, Vice Chair

GL Homes 1600 Sawgrass Corporate Pkwy., Ste. 200 Sunrise, FL 33323 Phone: (954) 753-1730 Fax: (954) 575-5212 E-mail: <u>Gladys.Digirolamo@glhomes.com</u>

Kevin McGinley

Land Research Management, Inc. 2240 Palm Beach Lakes Blvd., Ste. 103 West Palm Beach, FL 33409 Phone: (561) 686-2481 Fax: (561) 681-1551 E-mail: Irmi@bellsouth.net

Scott Mosolf, Chair

Urban Design Kilday Studio 477 Rosemary Ave., Ste. 225 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Phone: (561) 366-1100 Fax: (561) 366-1111 E-mail: <u>smosolf@udkstudios.com</u>

Jon Schmidt

Jon Schmidt & Associates 2247 Palm Beach Lakes Blvd., Ste. 101 West Palm Beach, FL 33409 Phone: (561) 684-6141 Fax: (561) 684-6142 E-mail: Jschmidt@jesla.com

Jan Polson, Senior Planner

Cotleur & Hearing 1934 Commerce Lane Jupiter, FL 33458 Phone: (561) 747-6336 x-112 E-mail: jpolson@cotleur-hearing.com

Bill Whiteford

Team Plan Inc. 824 US Highway 1 North Palm Beach, FL 33408 Phone: (561) 706-2100 Fax: (561) 594-3972 E-mail: wcw@teamplaninc.com

Patricia Lenti

Gentile Glas Holloway O'Mahoney & Associates, Inc. 1907 Commerce Lane, Ste. 101 Jupiter, FL 33458 Phone: (561) 575-9557 Fax: (561) 575-5260 Email: pat@2gho.com