

## DEVELOPMENT REVIEW ADVISORY COMMITTEE (DRAC) August 16, 2019 @ 2 – 3 PM

#### PZ&B – VISTA CENTER, 2300 NORTH JOG RD., WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33411 2<sup>ND</sup> FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM (VC-2E-12)

## AGENDA

#### Member Items:

- REVIEW MINUTES GLADYS

   May 3<sup>rd</sup> 2019 Minutes (Attachment 1)
- 2. PPM ZO-O-029 (MODIFICATIONS TO APPROVED PLANS) UPDATE (Handout)
  - a. Response to Site Plan updates related to PCN changes
- **3. ZONING PROCEDURE CHANGES GLADYS** Items consolidated under the Implementation of HB 7103 item below.

#### Staff Items:

- 4. REVIEW DRAC TASK LIST-OPEN TASKS (Attachment 2)
- 5. ULDC AMENDMENTS Update WENDY
  - a. 2019-01 Round Adoption August 23, 2019
  - b. 2019-02 Round Adoption January 30, 2020 (Attachment 3)

#### 6. IMPLEMENTATION OF HB 7103 - JON/BILL/MONICA

- a. Status of items discussed on 7/29 meeting with Industry (Handout)
- b. Questions Submitted by DRAC members:
  - Request to revise the 30 day sufficiency review period to a 21 day sufficiency review period. This would allow the agents a week to correct the insufficiency issues and resubmit for the following months intake, which would result in only a 30 day delay instead of the current 60 day delay.
  - 2) Confirm date when agent will receive sufficiency or insufficiency notice.
  - 3) Can agencies reviewing for sufficiency also provide agents with their lists of any certification issues that they see during their sufficiency review? And, can these issues be addressed with the insufficiency resubmission since the same agencies will be reviewing the application again?
  - 4) Comments Due Date noted on screen not correct.
  - 5) Agents notice that many comments are "pre" dated from when they are actually posted and visible to the agents.
  - 6) Can staff provide agents with the next 6 month submittal/resubmittal dates worksheets that were provided at the informational meeting for insufficient/DRO/PH processes into 2020 to further assist agents with learning the revised process?
  - 7) Request an additional "walk thru" on the revised insufficiency checklist since reviewing agencies will be in attendance at the DRAC meeting.
  - 8) Please clarify which documents / plans are required for specific applications. Example: Off the Board (OTB) DROE application. Agents no longer have "cover sheets" and are requesting confirmation of what is required to be submitted. It is our understanding that only docs that change are required to be submitted and the rest of the documents are simply moved forward (such as: deeds, consents, surveys, etc.). As these applications are going through sufficiency review, we think the Sufficiency checklist should also indicate what items are not applicable for the OTB DROE.
  - 9) Please add ZAR Reference number to the ZAR Comments Screen so agents can cross reference between Reference number and Application number.
  - 10) Please confirm which agencies will be in attendance at the next DRAC meeting.

- 11) Are Pre-application Conference Applications for Concurrent Review (with questions or without questions) going through the sufficiency review process? If so, what is the revised schedule for both requests?
- 12) Confirm how applications being processed under the "old" process will continue. At the informational meeting, it was indicated that any applications submitted under the "old" process (pre-July 7th) would continue via the old process rules and schedule. Several Agents have now been informed that the resubmittal dates are changing from the "old" dates to the "new" process even though the application is under the "old" process.
- c. DRAFT Sufficiency List Final review and Comments (Attachment 4)
- b. Zoning Calendar of Key Dates for 2019-2020 (Hand out)
- c. DRO meeting

#### **General**

- 7. TOPICS FOR NEXT MEETING 11-1-19 GLADYS
- 8. ADJOURN

U:\Zoning\CD\DRO\DRAC Development Review Advisory Committee\2019\Meetings\8-16-19\Agenda\8-16-19 DRAC Agenda staff items.docx



ATTACHMENT 1

#### SPECIAL MEETING DEVELOPMENT REVIEW ADVISORY COMMITTEE (DRAC) <u>FRIDAY, MAY 3, 2019 2:00 pm-4:00 pm</u> PZ&B – VISTA CENTER 2300 North Jog RD., WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33411 HEARING ROOM CHAMBER (VC-1E-60)

## MINUTES

CALL TO ORDER: AT 2:03PM

#### **A**TTENDANCE:

**MEMBERS PRESENT**: Lauren McClellan, Patricia Lentini, Kevin McGinley, Gladys DiGirolamo, Bradley Miller,

INTERESTED PARTIES: Evelyn Pacheco, Yoan Machado

**PZB ZONING STAFF:** Jon MacGillis, Maryann Kwok, Carrie Rechenmacher, Meredith Leigh, Melissa Matos, Nancy Frontany, Carlos Torres, Albert Jacob, Bruce Guyton, Alexander Biray, Wendy Hernandez, Jan Rodriguez, Adam Mendenhall, Barbara Pinkston, Brenya Martinez, Ryan Vandenburg, Caroline Bliss, and Yvonne Wamsley.

## AGENDA

#### MEMBER ITEMS

#### 1. REVIEW MINUTES – LAUREN MCCLELLAN

Ms. Lauren McClellan opened the meeting at 2:03pm.

Ms. Lauren McClellan inquired if there were any changes to the meeting minutes from the last meeting held on January 25, 2019. There were no changes requested, everyone approved as written.

#### STAFF ITEMS

#### 1.DRO/ZAR TASK ITEM – ADAM MENDENHALL

Mr. Adam Mendenhall conveyed Ms. Monica Cantor, Ms. Collene Walter, Ms. Gladys DiGirolamo, and himself have been working on the ZAR process. The discussion is based on the feedback from the DRAC members as it relates to the DRO/ZAR processes.

In addition, a subcommittee will be formed between Staff and the DRAC members to identify what need to be corrected or improved for the Administrative Review (AR) process.

Mr MacGillis expanded on the Adam's overview by stating that with the new Administrative Review Section overseeing DRO and Admin Review that some of the thresholds adopted over the past three years warranted review. Hopefully, the Subcommittee Task Team can evaluate the full DRO and ZAR thresholds and update the Zoning PPM, which talks about what site elements are not needed to be shown on the Zoning Plan(s). AR/Zoning Director will update Code Revision Staff if a Code amendment is determined necessary, and whether the amendments could go under Round 2019-02, which will start in August.

Ms. Lauren McClellan did request for an opportunity to review the list of items and if possible to add "mobile walk-in cooler" to the list.

# 2. ENHANCEMENTS TO EPZB SCREEN FOR ONLINE SUBMITTAL – JON MACGILLIS

Mr. Jon MacGillis indicated the first session was for training was conducted on April 30, 2019 with the hopes of receiving feedback before the deadline of May 15, 2019.

Mr. Jon MacGillis reviewed some of the new highlights:

- New overall look
- Dashboard included
- All applications will be displayed At-A-Glance

Mr. Jon MacGillis requested the Agents who volunteered to help test the new screens to check for broken links, missing data, any critical columns that might be valuable to the user that is missing, etc. He requested that if you can send any feedback/questions directly to him by May 15, 2019, he will relay it to ISS. For those Agents submitting applications on the test environment, please email Mr. Adam Mendenhall so that he is aware to open the test dbase to review the submittal. Mr MacGillis, indicated if there are only minor suggested tweaks to the screens we anticipate moving them to production at the end of May.

A second training session on the eZINFO will be scheduled in early June for those that would like to attend.

#### 3. 2019-01 ROUND – WENDY HERNANDEZ

Ms. Wendy Hernandez provided an overview of the 2019-01 Round and stated if interested in viewing any of the amendment already approved by Land Development Regulation Board (LDRAB) vested the Zoning Code Revision Web Page under LDRAB Agendas. She also went over what is going to the May 22 LDRAB Meeting and stated is could be viewed on the Zoning Code Web Page on Wednesday Mary 15, 2019.

She also stated the Impact Fee Art 13 Ord was adopted last month by the BCC. She stated the ordinance would be loaded to the Zoning Web Page while staff formats the Code for distribution.

Mr. MacGillis stated that Zoning is in the process of hiring a consultant to work on a Study of medical uses, primarily sober homes, community residential homes, and reasonable accommodations.

#### 4. 2019-02 ROUND – WENDY HERNANDEZ

Ms. Wendy Hernandez provided updates for the 2019-02 Round. The deadline for Agencies to submit their amendments was last month. Staff will be processing the Initiation Amendment Round Memo for the BCC. The 2019-01 Round will being in April with adoption hearings in Dec 2019 and January 2020.

#### 5. 2019 TASK LOG – JON MACGILLIS

Mr. Jon MacGillis provided an update to the "2019 DRAC Task Log", stating there is currently only one active task. Since the last DRAC Meeting, the Abandonment task has been updated in 2018 with the whereas clause added to the abandonment. Site Plans can be carried forward to DRO for the new approval.

Mr. Jon MacGillis added the eZINFO Enhancement Screens to the log sheet providing details on the enhancements to screens.

#### **GENERAL ITEMS**

#### 1. INTRODUCTION OF NEW ZONING STAFF – JON MACGILLIS

Mr. Jon MacGillis had the new Zoning Staff introduce themselves to the DRAC Members.

## 2. COMMENTS FROM MEREDITH LEIGH/WILLIAM CROSS

Ms. Meredith Leigh provided some comments on behalf of Mr. William Cross; as Mr. Cross was not able to attend the meeting.

- There will be 12 certified projects for the June Hearing. Several of these were added to the list by CD after coordination between Agent and Agency Staff, so a month in the review process was not lost.
- Coordination is going well and improvement has been noticed in Agency coordination with Agent to get application certified.
- Reminder, 12pm (noon) is the deadline for making appointments for resubmittals. For those "minor" resubmittals the timeframe for appointments is 8 – 10am.
- Reminder to please show up on time for your appointments. There have been the same individuals who have consistently shown up late, which then creates problems for the next appointment.

#### 3. TOPICS FOR NEXT MEETING AUGUST 16, 2019 – GLADYS DIGIROLAMO

## • BONA FIDE AG – KEVIN MCGINLEY

- Kevin asked for this item to be added to the next meeting to get clarification on how an applicant is supposed to clearly understand the FS, County internal PPM and code provisions. Mr. MacGillis stated that this is a big issues to address at DRAC and there might be other interested parties who might be interested in a separate meeting.
- Ms. Maryann Kwok, Deputy Director proposed a "workshop" involving the agents and Mr. Leonard Berger, Chief Assistant County Attorney to review the PPM and history.

## STAND ALONE VARIANCE – COLLENE WALTER

(presented by Gladys DiGirolamo/Lauren McClellan)

• Inquired about the timeline going from four (4) months to five (5) months.

#### • PCN's – GLADYS DIGIROLAMO

• Discuss options of having to update the PCN's on site plans as they change, and as it relates to the technical manual.

ADJOURN AT 2:50 P.M.

Complete

Pending

## **ATTACHMENT 2**

Task		Details	Lead	Status	Date Initiated	Initiated by	Date Completed
Calendar- Variance Deadlines		Resubmitted Dates and Comment Deadlines and applications do not give enough time to address issues	Wendy-CDR	Finalizing	5/11/2012	Colleen Walter	<ul> <li>11-14-14 CLOSED Discussed with DRAC-2015 Calendar out and Wendy said implemented changes.</li> <li>10-21-14 Dates reflected on 2015 Calendar. Discussed at August DRAC Meeting.</li> <li>8-12-14 Wendy to discuss with DRAB on 8-15-14 some suggested changes to Type II Standalone Variances.</li> <li>5-5-14 No changes - staff has not had time to discuss internally. 1/31/2014- to discuss again at DRAC meeting. Staff have issue with variance deadlines.</li> <li>Dec 20 meeting. Staff finalize if any changes possible to calendar for 2013 Effective 1/1/2013</li> </ul>
Information on a Master Plan		Inconsistent requirements for information on a Master Plan. Some information may not be necessary. Involves Survey, DL, Planning and Zoning	a é é é é é é é é é é é é é é é é é é é	Closed	Closed 5/11/2012	Gladys DiGirolamo	<ul> <li>11-14-14 CLOSED Discussed with DRAC and agreed changes to Tech Manual will address this matter.</li> <li>10-31-14 Title 2 of Tech Manual has been modified to remove information we no longer require on the Master Plan. Hopefully, this will address this task. Updated Tech Manual tentatively scheduled for publishing Dec 2014.</li> <li>-12-14 Wendy and Maryann reviewed all the requests from Agents with regards to amount of detail being shown on Master Plan. Met with Joanne Keller and are recommending changes to Tech Manual to clarify what needs to be on Master Plan. Will review at the 8-15-14 DRAC Meeting</li> <li>5-8-14 This is on hold until CD Staff is able to hire additional staff to complete task.</li> <li>1-29-14: Maryann/CD Staff to convene one additional meeting on Tech Manual, Title 2 changes. 8-13-13: task still pending; drafted modifications to the Technical Manual; 06-07-13 Wendy said she met in last month with several DRAC members to address their concerns with too much information on Master Plan. Working on draft to reflect changes. Staff to finalize the proposed changes prior to next DRAC meeting.</li> <li>10-22-13: Wendy: Information on Master Plan-Maryann and Wendy convened a Task Team which some of the DRAC members participated on. Staff would like another meeting to follow up on the suggested changes. The changes involve coordination between Zoning and Land Development on what needs to be on the plans.</li> </ul>
Architecture Review		Report on direction of the BCC at the May 22 Hearing. Will elevations be required for all application at time of public Hearing	Wendy	Closed	5/9/2014	Scott Mosolf	<ul> <li>11-14-14 CLOSED-Monica gave overview of proposed 2014-02 ULDC code amendment going for adoption Jan 2015.</li> <li>10-31-14 Arch Subcommittee convened and made recommendations for Code Amendment. ZC will review draft code language at Nov ZC Hearing. DRAC to review amendments at Nov 14 Meeting. LDRAB to review changes at Nov 12 Meeting.</li> <li>8-12-14 BCC directed staff to convene a LDRAB Subcommittee to review the Arch Guidelines for submittal requirements. The first meeting of Subcommittee is September 10, 2014 from 1:30 to 3:00 at Vista Center</li> </ul>
Regulating Plan and Tech Manual Updates		Maryann to finish her ongoing meetings with industry and staff are update Title 2	Maryann	Closed	5/9/2014	Bradley Miller	11-14-14 CLOSED-Reviewed changes to Tech Manual and agreed this task has been addressed. Reviewed Tech Manual to be published Dec 2014. 10-31-14 Staff made significant changes to Title 2 to address this topic. Staff will review the changes at the Nov 14 DRAC Meeting for final input 8-12-14 At the DRAC Meeting on 8-15-14 Wendy will address the proposed changes staff are working on.

Complete Pending	]					
Task	Details	Lead	Status	Date Initiated	Initiated by	Date Completed
Subdivision Plan	Subdivision Plan submittal with Master Plan as part of the Off the BCC Plan process		Closed	5/9/2014	Gladys DiGirolamo	<ul> <li>11-14-14 CLOSED-Testing this new process and agreed to implement January 2014.</li> <li>10-31-14 Wendy and Joanne to give update on TEST application they have been processing.</li> <li>8-12-14 Jon met with Gladys to discuss her inquiry in more detail. We agreed that we could test a project with Zoning and Land Development to see if this could work. If we have no issues we can report back on possible implementation date.</li> </ul>
ePZB Project History Screen	8-15-14 DRAC requested access to ePZB Project History Screen	Jon	Closed	2/15/2015	DRAC	06-20-15 CLOSED-Implement and released to Public 05-28-15 Implemented in Winter 2015 to public. Still working on other enhancements but that will be finalized till late 2015. 11-14-14 ISS gave DRAC a demo on new screen. DRAC did not suggest any changes. Screen needs to be signed off by PZB Management in Nov 2014 then ISS will finalize the screens. Expected Jan 2014 release to public. 10-31-14 ISS will give a demo on new screen they created under eZINFO for the public to view historical information for current and historical applications. DRAC will be provided opportunity to see screens and give input before moved to production. 8-21-14 ISS yes it can be done but would require programming and a priority. We can discuss at a future Zoning ePZB Meeting. 8-15-14 Can public access ePZB Project History on applications?
Subdivision Plan submitted with final Subdivision Plan for non- residential	11-14-14 Request to process Plat and Final Subdivision Plan concurrent.	Joanne K and Jon MacGillis	Closed	Processing	Jeff B	<ul> <li>11-12-2015- CLOSED- This allows an Applicant to submit the subdivision plans at same time as off the board Master Plan, but follows the regular DRO time schedule.</li> <li>8-13-15 Project was on DRO 8-12-15. Subdivision and plat was approved at meeting. Amending PPM 41 to reflect changes to the type 3 concurrent review process.</li> <li>5-29-15 DRAC Meeting-discussed that GL Homes has application in process to truth the residential subdivision plan to the plat. Hopefully, this process will work and if no issues we can establish a date to implement fully in Summer 2015.</li> <li>04-15-15 Agents and Staff have 3 projects we are processing to do final testing of new process for residential projects. Also, Maryann send modified Policy and Procedural Memo to DRAC in early 2015 for comments so updates can be finalized.</li> <li>11-14-14 Jeff B raised issue of changing existing process by allowing applicant to submit for Plat and Final Subdivision at same time. Save time and reduce the amount of DRO Agency Amendments. Jon agreed in 2015 to setup meeting with Land Development and Zoning and DRAC Members to discuss merits of request.</li> </ul>

Complete Pending	]					
Task	Details	Lead	Status	Date Initiated	Initiated by	Date Completed
Relocation of Bldg sq. ft. and Increase in Bldg sq. ft.	4-15-15 Special DRAC Meeting	Alan, Bill, Wendy, MMK, Jon	Closed	1/28/2016	DRAC	02-01-16 2015-02 BCC adopted amendment to increase building by 50% provided complies with all other DRO thresholds. 11-12-2015- Pending code amendment review. 8-11-15 2015-02 Round-incorporating changes to ULDC Article 2 to address relocation of sq/ft and increase in square foot for single building. Staff will bring DRAFT to August 21, 2015 DRAC Meeting 5-29-15 DRAC Meeting-request for update on next step. Lauren said staff will process ULDC code amendment for the 2015-02 ULDC Round of Amendments. She went over the adoption Hearings of January 2016. 4-14-15 Special DRAC meeting. Possibly in the 2015 Round-2 Amendments. Collene suggested that this should not apply to a single owner/single entity (not single user) such as a school which could may be comprised of a multiple of uses affiliated with the school, and since it's under one campus, she thought that it is a reasonable request to amend the above language. She suggested items under Art. 2.D.1.G.1.a criteria should be revised to not apply to these sites as well changes to 2.D.1.G.1.b to allow additional square footage above the 5%/5,000 square feet. We did use other examples of CLF, places of worship where these facilities could be run under an organization . Additionally, Collene suggested the concept of a bubble plan for these plans and commercial plans similar to a Master Plan for a PUD.
Review DRO Administartive Review what goes to Zoning vs. Agencies	5/29/2015	Alan/Jon	Closed	5/29/2015	Gladys DiGirolamo	11-12-2015 There are pending Code amendments related to recreation club house changes that can be processed through ZAR/ZZR review. 7-17-15 Special DRAC Meeting today. Alan gave presentation on the DRO Agency Review Process and identified reasons for delay in processing applications. Staff responded to questions about the review, fees, resubmittals, etc. Minutes will be posted on the Zoning Web Page under Press Release by end of July 2015. 5-29-15 Gladys requested meeting with DRAC members who want to attend, Alan and Wendy to discuss process to understand what has to go to full Zoning vs. Agency and or full DRO.
Planning Condition on Workforce Housing	8/21/2015	Bryan Davis	Closed	8/21/2015	Colleen Walter	02-05-15 at DRAC Meeting today we discussed that Planning will get the Plat Book and Page Number on the WFH recordation and simply go into ePZB and close out the ePZB Condition and put the Book/Page in reason for closing condition. Since no one from Planning was at DRAC Jon sent email to Bryan as this being his direction 02-01-16 Need further clarification on issue from Collene in order to ensure we address this issue. 11-12-2015 Staff is reviewing request and coordinating with ISS.
Design Guidelines	8/21/2015	Jon MacGillis	Closed	8/21/2015	Jon MacGillis	CLOSED: July adoption of round 2016-01 remove Design Guidelines from ULDC. 7-11-         16:       2016-01 Removing Deign Standards and in 2016-02 Removing requirement for         Regulation Plan, unless submitted as a BCC Condition.       05-06-16:         Review final drafts ULDC & Tech Manual updates.       02-01-16 Design         Guideline Task Team met once in 2015. Made some progress identifying what is in Code       in terms of Regulating Plan vs Guidelines.         Network of Regulating Plan vs Guidelines.       Meeting 2 is scheduled for 2-3-16 to review         other jurisdiction examples as well as a DRAFT Chapter for Design Guidelines in the         Tech Manual.         11-12-2015       Staff is gathering information from the municpalitis for Jon to analyze, a         second meeting has not been set up to date.       9-15-2015- Design Guidelines task team 1st meeting.

Complete Pending						
Task	Details	Lead	Status	Date Initiated	Initiated by	Date Completed
DRO Concurrent Review Process Type II and Type III PPM ZO-O-041	Report on revisions to current PPM ZO-O-041 to clarify procedures for Concurrent Review application with and without PAC	Maryann/Wendy	Closed	5/29/2015	Gladys DiGirolamo	CLOSED 08-31-16 Provided Training 101 for the changes to the DRO Concurrent Review process. 7-11-16: Done-we need to go over the DRO Concurrent Review and PAC updates. 05-06- 16: Review PPM with DRAC to get input; include Building, LD Staff in discussion. 02-02-16- (WH) 4 projects went through process; 1 concurrent went through smoothly; 3 stayed in the system longer than 6mths. There is a 5th project recently accepted in our system and we are testing it. 11-12-2015- Still under review. Projects staff have had in are all running differently and not concurrently. 7-12-15 Staff and DRAC Members have had several meetings to further refine process. Maryann and Wendy are updating the current PPM on this process and will provide a update at the DRAC August 21 Meeting.
Administrative Review (ZAR/ZZR) DRO Online Submittal	9/13/2016	Alan/Jon	Closed	5/29/2015	Colleen Walter	2-8-17-New Online system implented and applicant using it. 9-13-16 Online application submittal process was available for applicant's use. Few minor changes but overall a good rollout. 7-11-16: Alan will give update on Task related on Online Submittal timeline (hopefully release in August) 05-06-16: ISS still reviews new ePZB screens. Zoning to update DRAC on timeline for release. 02-01-16 ISS still in final programming stage of the online submittal modules. Have had numerous meetings with staff to ensure key features are added to address both staff and industry requests. Expect to release for industry testing in April 2016. 11-12-2015- Currently in the programming stages with the ISS Division. Not finalized to date 8-12-15-ISS Staff to attend August 21 DRAC Meeting to provide members a demo on the new DRO Agency Review screens they are working on for Zoning. 5-29-15 Request by DRAC Members for a Demo on the new Online DRO Administrative Process. Alan to take lead on setting up demo.
Regulation Plan - Remove requirement from ULDC- made it optional	Regulating Plan	Jon/ Bill	Closed	5/29/2016	Gladys DiGirolamo	<b>2-27-17 Closed</b> - Ord 2017-002 removes the requirement for Regulation Plan and makes it optional. 2016-02 Removing requirement for Regulation Plan, unless required as a BCC Condition.
Consent Forms	Authorization for each application	Jon/ Wendy	Closed	9/23/2016	Colleen Walter	<ul> <li>3-7-17-County Attorney's office stated the forms have to be updated with each application we cannot use blank authorization.</li> <li>9-23-16 Colleen asks if Consent Forms can be submitted as a blanket consent. PM says that it is only valid for the specific project or 1 year from signing. Staff will discuss.</li> </ul>
Certification comments-request code section in comment	Cited specific code section in each certification issue so clear for applicant on requirement	Wendy	Open	6/9/2017	Damian Brink	10/1/17 Staff PM's have been reminded to do this when preparing comments. Supervisors will monitor successful implementation. 9/1/17: Project Manages have been instructed to provide code sections in their comments. Monitor this to confirm compliance. We ask Agents to also site sections of code in their response when appropriate.
Application Justification Statement	Provide example of what an acceptable Justification Statement would be.	Wendy	Open	6/9/2017	Kevin McGinley	10/1/17 - Provide DRAC members with handout what a good "Justification Statement" should include. Also, went over it at Oct. DRAC meeting. 9/1/17-Memo from Wendy included on DRAC Sept 15 Agenda with key points to include in a complete Justification Statement. 6/9/17-Request if staff can provide bullets on what are key components of an acceptable "Justification".
Survey Comments	Survery adding a lot of comments to ePZB recently can they attend next DRAC Meeting?	Glenn Mark	Open	6/9/2017	Yeksy Schomberg	<ul> <li>10/1/17: Discussed at Oct. LDRAB with Glenn Mark surveying. Addressed DRAC member qustion. Jan 2018 Zoning will require electronic signature for surveys. 9/1/17-Survey invited and confirmed attendance at Sept 15 DRAC Meeting.</li> <li>6/9/17-Request Survey staff attend Sept DRAC Meeting to discuss number of DRO comments on applications and level of specificity</li> </ul>

Complete Pending	]					
Task	Details	Lead	Status	Date Initiated	Initiated by	Date Completed
Abandonment	Process involved when the resolution is abandoned through the BCC, even though the "Use" has been abandoned.	Jon/Wendy	Closed	1/26/2017	Kevin McGinley	5/3/19: Zoning staff addressed in 2018 with new whereas clause in the Resolution on carry prior Site Plan forward to DRO. 1/26/17: When the resolution is abandoned through the BCC, even though the use has been abandoned; Wendy conveyed the Site Plan is abandoned with the resolution. Through discussion, it was determined there needs to be more research conducted and reviewed with Zoning Staff and code on how to make this process simplier. Biill said he heard Kevin's concerns and internally staff will be working to address it. At the next DRAC Meeting staff will have an update
eZINFO Enhancment Screens for Staff, DRO Agents and DRO Agency Staff.	2019 enchancements done to: Online submittal screns, log in to eZINFO, created dashboard for Agents to see all apps in system, ehanced comment/condition screen and status of certification	Jon/Agents	Done	5/2/2019	Jon MacGillis	<ul> <li>6/5/19 - Enhancements completed by Sanjeev and Lois. Training done by Donna on this day. Additional issues identified at the training session included: print comments letter, reference # in ZAR apps, show PM on comment screen and showing application by company.</li> <li>5/3/19-Email from Jon to key DRAC Members who volunteered to do testing of enhanced screens they have until May 15 to provide input.</li> <li>5/2/19 Training session 101 with Staff and Interested Parties to unveil enhancements to screens. Some of enhancements requested by DRAC Members others identified by Zoning staff to address ongoing input by Interested Parties.</li> </ul>
Bonafide Ag Application	- Right to Farm Bonafide Ag-if classification is granted but the Zoning review process requires a DRO or Cond Use-does that exempt you from review process Apply for a building permit but do not have the Ag Exemption from Tax Collector Office how do you proceed.	Jon/Kevin	Open	5/3/2019	Kevin McGinley	<ul> <li>8/12/19: Phone conversation between Kevin and Monica to indicate that staff did not receive the list of questions pertaining to this topic. Followed up with an e-mail on the same date. He is OK removing the item from this agenda. He is getting more familiar with the Agricultural exemptions and requirements which may end up having questions for the County attorney to respond if necesary.</li> <li>5/3/19: Follow-up from Jon to Kevin email sent suggesting a separate meeting to address this issue. Jon requested from Kevin bullet points on his need for direction and follow-up with Kevin accordingly.</li> </ul>
Type II - Stand Alone Variance	Timeline is now 5 mont	Monica/Adam	Open	5/3/2019	Collene Walter	5/3/19 Gladys and Lauren presented the comment from Collen to Zoning Staff during DRAC meeting.
PCN on Site Plan	Is it still needed on the s	Monica/Adam	Open	5/3/2019	Gladys DiGirolamo	5/3/19: Is the PCN still needed on the Site Plan when there are still a lot of changes and issues.

## ATTACHMENT 3



#### Department of Planning, Zoning & Building 2300 North Jog Road West Palm Beach, FL 33411-2741 (561) 233-5000

Planning Division 233-5300 Zoning Division 233-5200 Building Division 233-5100 Code Enforcement 233-5500 Contractors Certification 233-5525 Administration Office 233-5005 Executive Office 233-5228 www.pbcgov.com/pzb

> Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners

Mack Bernar Mayor

Dave Kerner, Vice Mayor

Hal R. Valeche

Gregg K. Weiss

Robert S. Weinroth

Mary Lou Berger

Melissa McKinlay,

#### **County Administrator**

Ver enia C. Baker

"An Equal Opportunity Affirmative Action Employer"

#### Official Electronic Letterhea

TO: Mack Bernard, Mayor, and Members of the Board of County Commissioners

**FROM:** Jon MacGillis, ASLA, Zoning Director  $\mathcal{PM}$ 

**DATE:** August 8, 2019

#### RE: Initiation of Unified Land Development Code (ULDC) Amendment Round 2019-02

This memo serves to solicit feedback from the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) on the scheduling of ULDC amendments that have been prioritized for inclusion in Round 2019-02.

**MEMORANDUM** 

This memo will be presented at the August 22, 2019 BCC Zoning Hearing for discussion under "ULDC Amendments". In addition, Staff has scheduled briefings with each Commissioner in advance of the Hearing to discuss the proposed amendments.

If you should have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at (561) 233-5234.

#### JM/wh

Attachment 1 - Initiation of ULDC Amendment Round 2019-02

C: Digital Copy: Amendment Round 2019-02

> Email Copy: Verdenia C. Baker, County Administrator Patrick W. Rutter, Assistant County Administrator Ramsay J. Bulkeley, PZ&B Executive Director Wes Blackman, Chair and Members of the LDRAB Robert Banks, Chief Land Use County Attorney Scott A. Stone, Assistant County Attorney I Patricia Behn, Planning Director Maryann Kwok, AICP, Deputy Director, Zoning Wendy Hernandez, Principal Site Planner Zoning Division Staff

\pbcgov.org\p b\ ata2\Zoning\CODEREV\Co e Amen ments\2019\1 - Memos\ LDC Roun 2019-02 Memo BCC August 22, 2019. oc

## ATTACHMENT 1 INITIATION – ULDC AMENDMENT ROUND 201 -

#### (Updated 08/08/2019)

S	ummary of Key Mee i I' i	
La	and Development Regulation Advisory Board (LDRAB) Meetings:	BCC Zoning Hearings:
•	July 24, 2019	November 26, 2019: Request for Permission to Advertise
•	August 28, 2019	<ul> <li>December 18, 2019: 1st Reading</li> </ul>
•	September 25, 2019 [Land Development Regulation Commission (LDRC)]	<ul> <li>January 30, 2020, Final Adoption</li> </ul>
•	October 23, 2019 [Land Development Regulation Commission (LDRC)]	

Article 1 General Provisions		
2.F Nonconformities	Zoning	Identify a minimum percentage that allows mostly non-residential properties to deviate from property development regulations without becoming non-conforming.
Article 2 Application Processes and Procedures	-	
2.A General 2.B Public Hearing 2.C Administrative Processes	Z	<ul> <li>Codification of HB 2019-7103, legislature to modify timeline for the review of Development Orders</li> <li>Modifications to Site Plan requirements for Zoning Applications with a Small Scale Amendment</li> <li>To review the requirements for administrative modifications for uses that are "Single Entities"</li> <li>To review the current DRO and ZAR standards and thresholds for process review</li> </ul>
2.D ULDC Privately initiated amendment (PIA)	Z	<ul> <li>Establish a limited number of month in which a PIA application can remain in the system without any progress</li> <li>Modify the Standards for review of a PIA;</li> </ul>
2.E Monitoring	Pla	Modify the entire Chapter to be consistent with the Statutes, Plan and implementation practices.
2.H FLU Plan Amendment	Pla	<ul> <li>Modify requirements for Planning Commission attendance, and sign posting and courtesy notifications for County Initiated amendments to future land use map;</li> <li>Modify the requirements for Historic Resource Board attendance</li> </ul>
Article 3, Overlays and Zoning Districts	-	
3.B Overlays	Westgate CRA	<ul> <li>Modify residential housing PDR's within the Overlay</li> <li>Modify requirements for Special Events within the Overlay</li> <li>Modify the requirement for a letter from the WCRA for ZAR applications</li> </ul>
3.B Overlays	Zoning/ Planning	Modify requirements of the URA to streamline and clarify the property development regulations and supplemental standards.
3.D Property Development Regulations	Z	<ul> <li>Modify PDR's and supplemental standards Zero Lot line homes and codify PPM's.</li> <li>Modify Building coverage for residential housing</li> <li>Modify PDR's for parcels with the RR-2.5 FLU and AR Zoning</li> <li>Codification of Planning ORD 2018-031 for Residential and Agricultural uses in residential FLU, DRs for Residential Districts and AR Zoning.</li> <li>Modify FLU/Zoning Consistency Chart for CRE Zoning with RR-10 FLU</li> </ul>

## ATTACHMENT 1 INITIATION – ULDC AMENDMENT ROUND 2019-02 (Updated 08/08/2019)

3.E Planned Development Districts	Z	Modify the measurement of setbacks for Multifamily structures
Article 4 Use Regulations	•	
4.B Use Classification- Congregate Living with the CLR FLU:	Zoning	<ul> <li>Clarify the process and supplement standards for a CLF within the AGR and MUPD Zoning Districts with an AGR/CLR FLU</li> <li>Codify Privately Initiated Text Amendment (Plan) for Poet's Walk (if approved)</li> </ul>
4.B Use Classification	Z	<ul> <li>Coordinate with Planning and Engineering Staff supplement use standards requiring uses to be located on, fronting on and/or access to an Arterial/Collector Road.</li> <li>Codify Planning ORD 2015-017 allowing light industrial uses within the CH FLU (Manufacturing, Warehouse, etc)</li> <li>Review and revise requirement for CLF distance from Fire Rescue (5 mile radii vs 5 road miles)</li> </ul>
4.B Use Classification	Pla	• Revise language in Article related to future land use and zoning consistency in the Glades Tier in order to implement the text amendment to the Comprehensive Plan.
Article. 5, Supplementary Standards		
5.D. Parks and Recreation Rules and Recreation Standards-	Parks and Rec	Modify dimensional requirements for minimum park property development regulations, credits and exceptions
Article 6, Parking and Loading		
6.A Parking; 6.B Loading Standards (Phase 2)	Zoning/ Land Develo ment / Code Enforcement	<ul> <li>Identify and Modify parking requirements for uses to respond to industry trends and current engineering studies as well as the process and procedures to reduce parking through a Parking Demand Study and Shared arking Agreement;</li> <li>Identify and modify the regulations for commercial vehicles located within Residential Zoning Districts for Residential uses.</li> </ul>
Article 7 Landscaping		
7.A-G	Zoning	<ul> <li>Codify PPM ZO-O-061 which address the legal removal of trees, and the penalties and process for illegally removed trees or damage caused to trees</li> <li>Codify HB 2019-1159 regarding the prohibitions on permitting, mitigation of landscaping for residential properties</li> </ul>
Article 11, Subdivision, Platting, and Required Improvements	1	
11.A General Requirements	Zoning/Land Develo ment	<ul> <li>Clarifying the Application of the Subdivision requirements with the requirements of Article 2.</li> </ul>
Article 12 Traffic Performance Standards	-	
12.B Standard	Planning	Codify Planning ORD 2017-023 and ORD-2009-030
Other		
2019 Comprehensive Plan Te t Amendments	Z Pla	Proposed 2019 Comprehensive Plan amendments may need the ULDC to be amended to address policies oriented to promote urban agriculture in the County where feasible.

## PBC ZONING DIVISION PUBLIC HEARING AND FULL DRO APPLICATIONS SUFFICIENCY CHECKLIST

#### [August 1, 2019]

All required application forms, plans and related documents (Documents) submitted to the Zoning Division, are reviewed by the Project Manager (PM) for sufficiency. The assigned PM shall utilize the Reasons for Insufficiency (listed below) to determine whether or not an application is sufficient.

- 1. An Insufficient application shall not be accepted and an Insufficiency Letter will be sent to the Applicant/Agent by Staff identifying the required corrections.
- 2. The Applicant/Agent shall resubmit only those missing or revised documents that were identified by Staff in the Insufficiency Letter.
- 3. The Resubmittal dates are shown on the Annual Zoning Calendar.
- 4. The first two Resubmittals are free. Additional fees will be charged on the third and subsequent resubmittal. Time extension for insufficient applications are applicable as contained in Art. 2.B.2.B.4 and Art. 2.C.2.B.4.

SUME           SUME         SUME           Contect Price Number:         Contect Price Number:           Conterect Price Number:         Con		101	mounic	REASONS FOR INSUFFICIENCY	
Sufficient?         Pairs         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Canitation Comment:           Note         A Any one of the following items shall result in an application bring demand insufficient:         MORD listed in B.           Image:         1         Failure to meet with Staff or a Pre-Application Conference (PAC) or Pre- application Application Pre-Application Conference (PAC) or Pre- application application is an application bring demand insufficient:         Unless addressed in a separate meeting and application insufficient is an application bring demand insufficient:         Unless addressed in a separate meeting and application insufficient is an application is an application bring demand is arring on application applicat					
N       Vest $\mathbb{P}^{-1}$ Clarification Comment         V       A Ary one of the following items shall result in an application being deemed insufficient: ANDOR listed in 8.         V       A Ary one of the following items shall result in an application Chemenc (PAC) or PA: Application Conference (PAC) or PA: Dev Application Science 3.       Unless addressed in a separate mething and gened up to typic paties that the methy and Application Science 3.         V       V       2       Missing incomplete or inconsistent information on the Documents Forms Pleas, and 2.       Missing required forms consistent with the application consistent with the application required (PAC) application				ZONING	
No         Yes         Contract Price Automation           Image: Contract Price Automation         A Ary one of the following times shall result in an application buing deemed insufficient: AND/OR listed in 8.           Image: Contract Price Automation         A Ary one of the following times shall result in an application buing deemed insufficient: AND/OR listed in 8.           Image: Contract Price Automation         Price Price Price Automation         Automation         Automation           Image: Contract Price Automation         Price Price Price Automation         Automation         Automation         Automation           Image: Contract Price	Suffi	icient?	۶	Project Manager:	
Image: Section Appartment (PA) before submitting an application that required an appear goin by both points that his meeting and appear goin by both points that his meeting and appear goin by both points that his meeting and appear goin by both points that his meeting and appear goin by both points that his meeting and appear goin by both points that his meeting and appear goin by both points that his meeting and appear goin by both points that his meeting and appear goin by both points that his meeting and appear goint that meet the applicants submitted both application request(s) (Education diseased and by application request(s) (Education diseased and application request(s) (Education diseased and by application request and application ap	No	Yes	lter #	Contact Phone Number:	Clarification/Comment
Application Appointment (PA) before submitting an application that required a       appled upon by both patients this meeting around be arrow of the transpective of trans			A. An	y one of the following items shall result in an application being deemed insufficio	ent; AND/OR listed in B.
Image: Section of the section of th			1	Application Appointment (PAA) before submitting an application that required a	agreed upon by both parties that this meeting would suffice; or, due to scheduling conflicts that Zoning Director confirms a meeting cannot be arrange in time for the applicants
Image: Section of the state of the stat			2		application request(s) [Application checklist
with Article 4 Use Regulations (e.g. approval problem)       with Article 4 Use Regulations (e.g. approval problem)         Image: I			3	Forms and Plans are not legible	
i       6       Shared parking must have Traffic sign off before submittal         i       7       Missing Consent         i       8       Missing Disclosure Forms (PH approvals only)         i       9       Fees not consistent with the # of request(s).         i       B. Five or more of the items below results in an application being deemed insufficient:         i       B. Five or more of the items below results in an application being deemed insufficient:         i       B. Five or more of the items below results in an application being deemed insufficient:         i       B. Five or more of the items below results in an application being deemed insufficient:         i       B. Five or more of the items below results in an application being deemed insufficient:         i       Missing or incorrect Property Control Number(s) (PCN)         i       Incorrect or inconsistent Minut State St			4	Missing request or incorrect requests based on the submitted Documents	with Article 4, Use Regulations. (e.g. approval process inconsistent with the Use Matrix, exceeds the BCC/DRO Threshold or a
Image: Section of the state of the stat			5	Missing Frontage and access as required in specific sections of Art. 3 and 4.	
8       Missing Disclosure Forms (PH approvals only)         9       Fees not consistent with the # of request(s).         8       Five or more of the items below results in an application being deemed insufficient:         1       Image: Status of all portion of the items below results in an application being deemed insufficient:         1       Image: Status of all portion of the items below results in an application being deemed insufficient:         1       Image: Status of all portion of the items below results in an application being deemed insufficient:         1       Image: Status of all portion of the two are inconsistent throughout documents submitted         1       Image: Status of all previous Conditions of Approval and/or complete         1       Image: Status of all previous Conditions of Approval and/or compliance with time and events not addressed         1       Image: Contact Phone Number:         1       Drainage statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.         2       Self-signed drainage statement does not have a valid signature report.         2       Self-signed drainage statement does not have a valid signature report.         2       Self-signed drainage statement does not have a valid signature report.         3       Point of legal positive outfal and drainage basin in drainage statement not identified.         4       Peak hour turning movements and ADT for existing and proposed drivieways (for subdivision pla			6	Shared parking must have Traffic sign off before submittal	
9       Fees not consistent with the # of request(s).         B. Five or more of the items below results in an application being deemed insufficient:         Missing or incorrect Property Control Number(s) (PCN)         Incorrect or inconsistent Square Footage (Related to building or overall site)         Wrong FLU and/or Zoning or the two are inconsistent throughout documents submitted         Surrounding properties or structures within 100 feet of subject site not identified         Uses and accessory uses not identified         Uses and accessory uses not identified, are not correct or incomplete         Dimensions and/or acreage on Survey and Site Plan do not match         Sufficient?         No         Yes         Image statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.         Sufficient?         No       Yes         Image statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.         Image statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.         Image statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.         Image statement missing or intervisiting and proposed driveways (for subdivision plans at the proposed right of way intersections) in the swort.         Image statement missing in: <ul> <li>From centerline of right-of-way to property line</li> <li>From centerline of right-of-way to property line</li> <li>From centerline of right-of-way to property line</li> <li>From centerline of right-of-way</li></ul>			7	Missing Consent	
B. Five or more of the items below results in an application being deemed insufficient:         Missing or incorrect Property Control Number(s) (PCN)         Incorrect or inconsistent Square Footage (Related to building or overall site)         Wrong FLU and/or Zoning or the two are inconsistent throughout documents submitted         Surrounding properties or structures within 100 feet of subject site not identified         Uses and accessory uses not identified, are not correct or incomplete         Dimensions and/or acreage on Survey and Site Plan do not match         Dimensions and/or acreage on Survey and Site Plan do not match         Sufficient?         No         Yes         Image statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.         2       Self-signed drainage statement does not have a valid signature report.         3       Point of legal positive outfall and drainage basin in drainage statement not identified.         4       Peak hour turning movements and ADT for existing and proposed driveways (for subdivision plans at the proposed right of way intersections) not shown.         5       Dimensions missing:         •       •         •       Property line (bearings and distances)			8	Missing Disclosure Forms (PH approvals only)	~
Missing or incorrect Property Control Number(s) (PCN)       Incorrect or Inconsistent Square Footage (Related to building or overall site)         Wrong FLU and/or Zoning or the two are inconsistent throughout documents submitted       Wrong FLU and/or Zoning or the two are inconsistent throughout documents         U       Minimum buffer widths not identified       Incorrect or Inconsistent Square Footage (Related to building or overall site)         U       Minimum buffer widths not identified       Incorrect or incomplete         U       Surrounding properties or structures within 100 feet of subject site not identified       Incorrect or incomplete         U       Uses and accessory uses not identified, are not correct or incomplete       Inmensions and/or acreage on Survey and Site Plan do not match         Sufficient?       Intersected       Status of all previous Conditions of Approval and/or compliance with time and events not addressed       Mark Conditions as Completed, Ongoing, etc.         Sufficient?       Image       Project Manager:       Contact Phone Number:       Contact Phone Number:         In       Drainage statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.       Self-signed drainage statement does not have a valid signature report.       Self-signed drainage statement does not have a valid signature report.         In       Prainage statement does not have a valid signature report.       Self-signed drainage statement and ADT for existing and proposed driveways (for subdivision plans at the proposed right of way intersection			9	Fees not consistent with the # of request(s).	
Incorrect or inconsistent Square Footage (Related to building or overall site)       Wrong FLU and/or Zoning or the two are inconsistent throughout documents submitted         Image: Submitted       Wrong FLU and/or Zoning or the two are inconsistent throughout documents         Image: Submitted       Minimum buffer widths not identified         Image: Submitted       Surrounding properties or structures within 100 feet of subject site not identified         Image: Submitted       Uses and accessory uses not identified, are not correct or incomplete         Image: Submitted       Dimensions and/or acreage on Survey and Site Plan do not match         Sufficient?       Status of all previous Conditions of Approval and/or compliance with time and events not addressed         Sufficient?       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Sufficient?       Project Manage statement missing or not electronically signature report.         Image: Sufficient?       Sufficient Phone Number:         Image: Sufficient?       Sufficient Phone Number:         Image: Sufficient?			B. F	ive or more of the items below results in an application being deer	ned insufficient:
Image: Section of the section of the two are inconsistent throughout documents submitted       Image: Section of the two are inconsistent throughout documents submitted         Image: Section of the two are inconsistent throughout documents submitted       Image: Section of the two are inconsistent throughout documents         Image: Section of the two are inconsistent throughout documents       Image: Section of the two are inconsistent throughout documents         Image: Section of the two are inconsistent throughout documents       Image: Section of the two are inconsistent throughout documents         Image: Section of the two are inconsistent throughout documents       Image: Section of the two are inconsistent throughout documents         Image: Section of the two are inconsistent throughout documents       Image: Section of the two are inconsistent throughout documents         Image: Section of the two are inconsistent throughout documents       Image: Section of the two are inconsistent throughout documents         Sufficient?       Image: Section of the two are inconsistent throughout documents       Mark Conditions as Completed, Ongoing, etc.         Sufficient?       Image: Section of the two are inconsistent throughout documents       Mark Conditions as Completed, Ongoing, etc.         Sufficient?       Image: Section of two are are all documents       Mark Conditions as Completed, Ongoing, etc.         Sufficient?       Image: Section of two are are all documents       Section of two are are all documents         Sufficient?       Image: Section				Missing or incorrect Property Control Number(s) (PCN)	
submitted       submitted         submitted       Minimum buffer widths not identified         submitted       Minimum buffer widths not identified         submitted       Surrounding properties or structures within 100 feet of subject site not identified         submitted       Uses and accessory uses not identified, are not correct or incomplete         submitted       Dimensions and/or acreage on Survey and Site Plan do not match         submitted       Status of all previous Conditions of Approval and/or compliance with time and extreme the not addressed         sufficient?       Freiget Manager:         contact Phone Number:       Contact Phone Number:         con				Incorrect or Inconsistent Square Footage (Related to building or overall site)	
Image: Surrounding properties or structures within 100 feet of subject site not identified         Image: Surrounding properties or structures within 100 feet of subject site not identified         Image: Surrounding properties or structures within 100 feet of subject site not identified         Image: Surrounding properties or structures within 100 feet of subject site not identified         Image: Surrounding properties or structures within 100 feet of subject site not identified         Image: Surrounding properties or structures within 100 feet of subject site not identified         Image: Surrounding properties or structures within 100 feet of subject site not identified         Image: Surrounding properties or structures within 100 feet of subject site not identified         Image: Surrounding properties or structures within 100 feet of subject site not identified         Image: Surrounding properties or structures within 100 feet of subject site not identified         Image: Status of all previous Conditions of Approval and/or compliance with time and events not addressed         Image: Status of all previous Conditions of Approval and/or compliance with time and events not addressed         Image: Surficient?       Image: Status of all previous Conditions of Approval and/or compliance with time and events not addressed         Image: Surficient?       Image: Status of all previous Conditions as Completed, Ongoing, etc.         Image: Surficient?       Image: Status of all previous Conditions as completed, Ongoing, etc.         Image: Surficient?       Ima					
Image: Status of all previous Conditions of Approval and/or compliance with time and events not addressed       Mark Conditions as Completed, Ongoing, etc.         Sufficient?       Image: Contact Phone Number:       Contact Phone Number:         Image: Status of all positive outfall and drainage basin in drainage statement not identified.       Self-signed drainage statement does not have a valid signature report.         Image: Status of all positive outfall and drainage basin in drainage statement not identified.       Peak hour turning movements and ADT for existing and proposed driveways (for subdivision plans at the proposed right of way intersections) not shown.         Image: Status of all positive outfall and drainage tatement for existing and proposed driveways (for subdivision plans at the proposed right of way intersections) not shown.         Image: Status of all positive outfall of property line       Image: Contact Phone				Minimum buffer widths not identified	
Image: Status       Dimensions and/or acreage on Survey and Site Plan do not match       Mark Conditions as Completed, Ongoing, etc.         Image: Sufficient?       Status of all previous Conditions of Approval and/or compliance with time and events not addressed       Mark Conditions as Completed, Ongoing, etc.         Sufficient?       Project Manager:       Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager:         Contact Phone Number:       Contact Phone Number:       Project Manage statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.         Image: Sufficient?       Project Manage statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.       Project Manage statement does not have a valid signature report.         Image: Sufficient?       Project Manage statement does not have a valid signature report.       Project Manage statement does not have a valid signature report.         Image: Sufficient Project Manage statement does not have a valid signature report.       Project Manage statement and ADT for existing and proposed driveways (for subdivision plans at the proposed right of way intersections) not shown.         Image: Sufficient Project				Surrounding properties or structures within 100 feet of subject site not identified	
Status of all previous Conditions of Approval and/or compliance with time and events not addressed       Mark Conditions as Completed, Ongoing, etc.         Sufficient?       Project Manager:       Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager:         No       Yes       Project Manager:       Contact Phone Number:         Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.       Self-signed drainage statement does not have a valid signature report.         Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.       Point of legal positive outfall and drainage basin in drainage statement not identified.         Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.       Point of legal positive outfall and drainage basin in drainage statement not identified.         Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.       Point of legal positive outfall and drainage basin in drainage statement not identified.         Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.       Point of legal positive outfall and drainage basin in drainage statement not identified.         Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.       Point of legal positive outfall and drainage basin in drainage statement not identified.         Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.       Image: Statement missing or not shown.       Image: Statement missing or not shown.         Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed drainage statement missing or not shown.       Image: Statement missing or				Uses and accessory uses not identified, are not correct or incomplete	
Sufficient?       Project Manager:         No       Yes       Project Manager:         Contact Phone Number:       Contact Phone Number:         Image: Safficient?       Project Manager:         Contact Phone Number:       Contact Phone Number:         Image: Safficient?       Point of legal positive outfall and drainage basin in drainage statement not identified.         Image: Safficient Project Manager:       Contact Phone Number:         Image: Safficient Project Manager:       Contact Phone Number:       Contact Phone Number:         Image: Safficient Project Manager:       Point of legal positive outfall and drainage basin in drainage statement not identified.       Point of legal positive outfall and DT for existing and proposed driveways (for subdivision plans at the proposed right of way intersections) not shown.         Image: Safficient Project Project Phone Property line       Po Driveway width, t				Dimensions and/or acreage on Survey and Site Plan do not match	
Sufficient?       Project Manager:         No       Yes       Project Manager:         Contact Phone Number:       Contact Phone Number:         Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.       Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.         Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.       Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.         Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.       Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.         Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.       Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.         Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.       Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.         Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.       Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.         Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.       Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.         Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.       Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.         Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and proposed driveways (for subdivision plans at the proposed right of way intersections) not shown.       Image: Statement missing or not electronically signed and proposed driveways (for subdivision plans at the proposed right of way intersect					Mark Conditions as Completed, Ongoing, etc.
No       Yes       Image statement Missing or not electronically signed and sealed.         Image statement Missing or not electronically signed and sealed.       2       Self-signed drainage statement does not have a valid signature report.         Image statement Missing or not electronically signed and sealed.       3       Point of legal positive outfall and drainage basin in drainage statement not identified.         Image statement Missing or not electronically signed and sealed.       3       Point of legal positive outfall and drainage basin in drainage statement not identified.         Image statement Missing or not electronically signed and proposed driveways (for subdivision plans at the proposed right of way intersections) not shown.       Peak hour turning movements and ADT for existing and proposed driveways (for subdivision plans at the proposed right of way intersections) not shown.         Image statement Missing:       Image statement for ight-of-way to property line         Image statement Missing or Driveway width, throat, radius returns       Image statement for ight-of-way to group statement statement for ight-of-way to property line         Image statement Missing or Missing:       Image statement for ight-of-way to property line         Image statement Missing or Missing or Missing statement for ight-of-way to property line         Image statement Missing or Missing or Missing statement for ight-of-way to property line         Image statement Missing or Missing or Missing or Missing statement for ight of the missing or M				LAND DEVELOPMENT	
Ites       Contact Priorie Number.         1       Drainage statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.         2       Self-signed drainage statement does not have a valid signature report.         3       Point of legal positive outfall and drainage basin in drainage statement not identified.         4       Peak hour turning movements and ADT for existing and proposed driveways (for subdivision plans at the proposed right of way intersections) not shown.         5       Dimensions missing:         •       •         •       From centerline of right-of-way to property line         •       Driveway width, throat, radius returns         •       Property line (bearings and distances)	Suff	icient?	E	Project Manager:	
2       Self-signed drainage statement does not have a valid signature report.         3       Point of legal positive outfall and drainage basin in drainage statement not identified.         4       Peak hour turning movements and ADT for existing and proposed driveways (for subdivision plans at the proposed right of way intersections) not shown.         5       Dimensions missing:         •       From centerline of right-of-way to property line         •       Driveway width, throat, radius returns         •       Property line (bearings and distances)	No	Yes	# Ite	Contact Phone Number:	
3       Point of legal positive outfall and drainage basin in drainage statement not identified.         4       Peak hour turning movements and ADT for existing and proposed driveways (for subdivision plans at the proposed right of way intersections) not shown.         5       Dimensions missing:         •       From centerline of right-of-way to property line         •       Driveway width, throat, radius returns         •       Property line (bearings and distances)			1	Drainage statement missing or not electronically signed and sealed.	
4       Peak hour turning movements and ADT for existing and proposed driveways (for subdivision plans at the proposed right of way intersections) not shown.         5       Dimensions missing:         •       From centerline of right-of-way to property line         •       Driveway width, throat, radius returns         •       Property line (bearings and distances)			2	Self-signed drainage statement does not have a valid signature report.	
intersections) not shown.         5         0         5         0         6         6         1          1			3	Point of legal positive outfall and drainage basin in drainage statement not identified.	
From centerline of right-of-way to property line     Driveway width, throat, radius returns     Property line (bearings and distances)			4		division plans at the proposed right of way
Oriveway width, throat, radius returns     Property line (bearings and distances)			5	Dimensions missing:	
Property line (bearings and distances)				From centerline of right-of-way to property line	
				Driveway width, throat, radius returns	
Centerline geometrics (subdivision plan only)				Property line (bearings and distances)	
				Centerline geometrics (subdivision plan only)	

## **PBC ZONING DIVISION** PUBLIC HEARING AND FULL DRO APPLICATIONS SUFFICIENCY CHECKLIST [August 1, 2019] PLANNING

Image: Instrument of the standard set of the standard set of the Comprehensive Plan (and there is no FLUA anendment)           Image: I				[August 1, 2019]	
Image: Instance of the second secon				PLANNING	
Image: Second	Suffi	icient?	E	Project Manager:	
2         Incorrect or inconsistent density/inferentity as it relates to existing and proposed building square footage or ownall site and as summaticed in Juditication Statement or opication materials.           2         With Letter of Determination from the Planning Duision missing           4         Prior Land Use Ordinance's with conditions and applicable priors missing.           5         Completed TDR forms with accurate number of TDR's and corresponding priors missing or blank.           Sufficient?         Survey not electronically signed and seated [Technical Manual, Title 1 - Application Checklist].           2         Survey not adottect or not submitted.           Survey not adottect or not submitted.         Teaching StatementState in the submitted or not submitted.           Survey not adottect or not submitted.         Teaching StatementState in the submitted or not submitted.           Survey not adottect or not submitted.         Survey not adottected or not submitted.           Survey not adottected or not submitted.         Teaching StatementState in the none hum 12 mitted.           Survey not adottected or not submitted.         Teaching StatementState in the none hum 12 mitted.           Survey not adottected or not submitted.         Teaching StatementState in the none hum 12 mitted.           Survey not adottected none humber:         Teaching StatementState in the none hum 12 mitted.           Survey not adottected none humber:         Contact Phone humber:           Ontact Phone h	No	Yes	# Iter		
Summarize in Justification Stement or application methades.           3         WHP Leter of Determination from the Planning Division missing.           5         Completed TDR forms with accurate number of TDR's and concenproming prioring missing or blank.           SURVEY           SURVEY           9         Project Manager: Contract Home Number           TRAFFIC           9         Project Manager: Contract Home Number           TRAFFIC           SURVEY           SURVEY <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>Proposed square footage or density exceeds maximums allowed by the Comprehensive Plan (and there is no FLUA amendment)</td></t<>			1	Proposed square footage or density exceeds maximums allowed by the Comprehensive Plan (and there is no FLUA amendment)	
4       Prior Land Use Ordinance's with conditions and applicable plans missing.         5       Completed TDR forms with accurate number of TDR's and corresponding pricing missing or blank.         Sufficient7			2		
5     Completed TDR forms with accurate number of TDR's and corresponding pricing missing or bank.       SURFICIENT       6     Yes       1     Survey not decircinosily signed and sealed [Technical Manual. Title 1 – Application Checklist].       2     Survey not decircinosily signed and sealed [Technical Manual. Title 1 – Application Checklist].       3     Survey not decircinosily signed and sealed [Technical Manual. Title 1 – Application Checklist].       3     Survey not abstracted or not submitted.       TRAFFIC       Sufficient?       6     Yes       9     Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Contact Phone Number:       Intervent Sufficient?       9     Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Sufficient?       9     Yes       9     Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Contact Phone Number:       Sufficient?       9     Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Contact Phone Number:       Sufficient?       9     Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Sufficient?       9     Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Contact Phone Number:       Sufficient?       9     Project Manager: Contact			3	WHP Letter of Determination from the Planning Division missing	
SURVEY           Survey         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:           1         Survey and electronically signed and sealed [Tachnical Manual, Title 1 - Application Checklist].           2         Survey and or Title more than 12 months old.           3         Survey and or Title more than 12 months old.           3         Survey and bastaded or not submitted.           TRAFFIC           Sufficient?           Sufficient?         Survey and bastaded or not submitted.           TRAFFIC           Sufficient?           Sufficient?           Sufficient?           Sufficient?           Sufficient?           Sufficient?           Sufficient?           Sufficient?           Contact Phone Number:			4	Prior Land Use Ordinance's with conditions and applicable plans missing.	
Sufficient?         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient?         Image: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient?         Image: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient?         Image: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient?         Image: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient?         Image: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient?         Image: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient?         Image: Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Num			5	Completed TDR forms with accurate number of TDR's and corresponding pricing missing or blank.	
Image: Second			-	SURVEY	
in         Yes         Pain         Contact Phone Number:           1         Survey not electronically signed and sealed [Technical Manual, Tile 1 -Application Checklat].         2           2         Survey and/or Tile more than 12 months old.         3         Survey not abstracted or not submitted.           Sufficient7         Pain         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         TRAFFIC           Sufficient7         Pain         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Numper Sufficient7           1         Traffic Sutement/Study missing or not electronically signed and sealed per Rule 81(S15-23.003 F.A.C. [Technical Manual, Tite 1 - Applicator. Checklat]           Sufficient7         Pain         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:           Visition17         Paint Abstract Checklat]         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient7         Paint Abstract State Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient7         Paint Abstract State Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient7         Paint Abstract State Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient7         Paint Abstract State Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:	Suffi	icient?	٤	Project Manager:	
2     Survey and/or Title more than 12 months old.       3     Survey not abstracted or not submitted.       TRAFFIC       Sufficient?     E       0     Yes     E       2     Oritate Phone Number:       ARPORTS       Sufficient?       0     Yes     E       2     Project Manager:     Contact Phone Number:       Contact Phone Number:       UNITIONERY       3     Sufficient?       0     Yes     E       1     Project Manager:       Contact Phone Number:       Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager:       Contact Phone Number:       Sufficient?       2     Project Manager:       Contact Phone Number:       EVVRONMENTAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (ERM)       Sufficient?       2     Project Manager:       Contact Phone Number:       EVVRONMENTAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (ERM)       Sufficient	No	Yes	# Iter		
TRAFFIC       Sufficient?       Image: colspan="2">Image: colspan="2">Image: colspan="2">Context Phone Number:       Image: colspan="2">Image: colspan="2" Colspan="2">Image: colspan="2" Colspan="			1	Survey not electronically signed and sealed [Technical Manual, Title 1 – Application Checklist].	
TRAFFIC           Sufficient?         Employee         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:           1         Traffic Statement/Study missing or not electronically signed and sealed per Rule 61G15-23.003 F.A.C. [Technical Manual, Title 1 – Application Checkist]         AIRPORTS           Sufficient?         Employee         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:           1         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:           1         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:           1         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:           1         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:           1         Missing, incomplete, improperty signed and notarized, or illegible Warranty Deed         1           Sufficient?         Employee         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:           1         Missing, incomplete, improperty signed and notarized, or illegible Warranty Deed         1           Sufficient?         Employee         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:           1         Missing, ill property supports existing n			2	Survey and/or Title more than 12 months old.	
Sufficient?         End         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:           1         Traffic Statement/Study missing or not electronically signed and sealed par Rule 61G15-23.003 F.A.C. [Technical Manual, Title 1 – Application Checkled]           Sufficient?         En         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:           1         Traffic Statement/Study missing or not electronically signed and sealed par Rule 61G15-23.003 F.A.C. [Technical Manual, Title 1 – Application Checkled]           Sufficient?         En         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:           1         Per Article 16.B.1.C.1, any penetration of the surface of Zone 1; any structure greater than 200 feel in height in Zone 2; and/or, an construction or a hierafton of a height greater than an imaginary surface actending cutward and upward the a datance of 20,000 fee from the reference point of an yetment analygical cutward and upward the a datance of 20,000 fee from the reference point of an yetment analygical cutward and upward the a datance of 20,000 fee from the reference point of an yetment analygical cutward and upward the a datance of 20,000 fee from the reference point of an yetment per Article 16.B.1.1.4. Arspace Height Review pror to a determination of sufficiency. COUNTY ATTORNEY           Sufficient?         En         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:           1         Missing, incomplete, Improperty signed and notarized, or illegible Warranty Deed           Sufficient?         En         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:           1         Vegetation Survey missing; if property supports existing natwe vegetation [Art. T			3	Survey not abstracted or not submitted.	
Image:				TRAFFIC	
In         Yes         Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient?         Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient?         Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient?         Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient?         Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient?         Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient?         Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient?         Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient?         Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient?         Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient?         Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient?         Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient?         Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Project Manager:	Suffi	icient?	۲	Project Manager:	
Application Checklistj         AIRPORTS         Sufficient?       Employment       Project Manager:       Contact Phone Number:         1       Per Article 16.8.1.C.1, any penetration of the surface of Zone 1; any structure greater than 200 feet in height in Zone 2; and/or, an construction or alteration of a height greater than an imaginary surface extending outward and upward for a distance of 20.000 feet above ground level shall submit the result of the FAA's Notice Criteria Tool as required per Article 16.8.1.C.1. Any penetration of the surface of Zone 1; any structure greater than 200 feet above ground level shall submit the result of the FAA's Notice Criteria Tool as required per Article 16.8.1.C.1. Anypace Height Review prior to a determination of sufficiency.         5       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       COUNTY ATTORNEY         5       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       Missing, incomplete, improperly signed and notarized, or illegible Warranty Deed         5       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       Vegetation Survey missing: if properly supports existing native vegetation [Art. 7.E.1-3, Existing Native Vegetation] [Relates to response in Section 8 of the General Application form]         5       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       Vegetation Survey missing: if properly supports existing native vegetation [Art. 7.E.1-3, Existing Native Vegetation] [Relates to respons in Section 8 of the General Application form	No	Yes	# Iter		
Sufficient?         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:           1         Per Article 16.8.1.C.1, any penetration of the surface of Zone 1; any structure greater than 200 feet in height in Zone 2; and/or, an construction or alteration of a height greater than an imaginary surface extending outward and unward for a distance of Z0.000 feet from the reference point of any terminal avglandinal ald facility to a height of 200 feet above ground level shall submit the result of the FAA's Notice Criteria Tool as required per Article 16.8.1.H. Airspace Height Review prior to a determination of sufficiency.           Sufficient?         Image: Contact Phone Number:         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:           1         Missing, incomplete, improperly signed and notarized, or illegible Warranty Deed           ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (ERM)           Sufficient?           Image:         Contact Phone Number:           Veglect Manager: Contact Phone Number:           Veglect Manager: Conta		<u> </u>	1		
Image: Section of the section of the surface of Zone 1: any structure greater than 200 feet in height in Zone 2: and/or. an construction or alteration of a height greater than an imaginary surface extending outward and upward for a distance of 20,000 feet from the reference point of any terminal navigational and facility up to a height of 200 feet annihiston of sufficiency.           Version the reference point of any terminal navigational and facility up to a height of 200 feet surface of 20,000 feet from the reference point of any terminal navigational and facility up to a height of 200 feet substructure greater than an imaginary surface extending outward and upward for a distance of 20,000 feet from the reference point of any terminal navigational and facility up to a height of 200 feet substructure or a determination of sufficiency.           Sufficient?         Employee         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Counter Thome Number:           Sufficient?         Employee         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient?         Employee         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient?         Employee         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:           Sufficient?         Employee         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:				AIRPORTS	
vec       vec       Contact Priorie Multiber.         1       Per Article 16, B.1.C.1, any penetration of the surface of Zone 1; any structure greater than 200 feet in height in Zone 2; and/or, an construction or alteration of a height greater than an imaginary surface extending outward and upward for a distance of 20,000 feet from the reference point of any terminal navigational and facility up to a height of 200 feet time height in Zone 2; and/or, an construction or alteration of a sequer de Pride 16,8.1.1.4. Anspace Height Review prior to a determination of sufficiency.         COUNTY ATTORNEY         Sufficient?         g       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         INVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (ERM)         Sufficient?         g       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Vegetation Survey missing; if property supports existing native vegetation [Art. 7.E.1-3, Existing Native Vegetation] [Relates to resonse in Section 8 of the General Application form]         Vegetation Survey missing; if property supports existing native vegetation [Art. 7.E.1-3, Existing Native Vegetation] [Relates to resonse in Section 8 of the General Application form]         Vegetation Survey missing; if property supports existing native vegetation [Art. 7.E.1-3, Existing Native Vegetation] [Relates to resonse in Section 8 of the General Application form]         Vegetation Survey missing; if property supports existing native vegetation [Art. 7.E.1-3, Existing Native Vegetation] [Relates to resonse in Sectin 8 of the General Application form]	Suffi	icient?		Project Manager:	
Sufficient?       Enclosed       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Sufficient?       Enclosed       1       Vegetation Survey missing: if property supports existing native vegetation [Art. 7.E.1-3, Existing Native Vegetation] [Relates the response in Section 8 of the General Application form]         Sufficient?       Enclosed       1       Missing a letter related to Dust Control from the Health Department (if new construction is proposed)         Sufficient?       I       Missing a letter related to Dust Control from the Health Department (if new construction is proposed)         Sufficient?       I       Missing a letter related to Dust Control from the Health Department (if new construction is proposed)         Sufficient?	No	Yes	ttem #	Contact Phone Number:	
Sufficient?       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Sufficient?       g       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Sufficient?       g       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       Missing, incomplete, improperly signed and notarized, or illegible Warranty Deed         Sufficient?       g       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       Vegetation Survey missing: if properly supports existing native vegetation [Art. 7.E.1-3, Existing Native Vegetation] [Relates to response in Section 8 of the General Application form]         Sufficient?       g       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       Vegetation Survey missing: if properly supports existing native vegetation [Art. 7.E.1-3, Existing Native Vegetation] [Relates to response in Section 8 of the General Application form]         Sufficient?       g       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       Missing a letter related to Dust Control from the Health Department (if new construction is proposed)         2       Missing a letter from Utilities Staff to the Health Department stating how far away water and wastewater services are located         3       The applicant did not have a Pre-application Meeting with Child Care Staff (For Day Care uses only)         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       The Justification Statement does not address re			1	Per Article 16.B.1.C.1, any penetration of the surface of Zone 1; any structure greater than 200 feet in height in Zone 2; and/or, any construction or alteration of a height greater than an imaginary surface extending outward and upward for a distance of 20,000 feet from the reference point of any terminal navigational aid facility up to a height of 200 feet above ground level shall submit the results of the FAA's Notice Criteria Tool as required per Article 16.B.1.H. Airspace Height Review prior to a determination of sufficiency.	
Image: Image: Image: Image: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: I		-		COUNTY ATTORNEY	
Io       Yes       Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number: <th colsp<="" td=""><td>Suffi</td><td>icient?</td><td>۲</td><td>Project Manager:</td></th>	<td>Suffi</td> <td>icient?</td> <td>۲</td> <td>Project Manager:</td>	Suffi	icient?	۲	Project Manager:
ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (ERM)         Sufficient?       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         In Vegetation Survey missing; if property supports existing native vegetation [Art. 7.E.1-3, Existing Native Vegetation] [Relates to response in Section 8 of the General Application form]         Wegetation Survey missing; if property supports existing native vegetation [Art. 7.E.1-3, Existing Native Vegetation] [Relates to response in Section 8 of the General Application form]         Wegetation Survey missing; if property supports existing native vegetation [Art. 7.E.1-3, Existing Native Vegetation] [Relates to response in Section 8 of the General Application form]         Wegetation Survey missing; if property supports existing native vegetation [Art. 7.E.1-3, Existing Native Vegetation] [Relates to response in Section 8 of the General Application form]         Wegetation Survey missing; if property supports existing native vegetation [Art. 7.E.1-3, Existing Native Vegetation] [Relates to response in Section 8 of the General Application form]         Sufficient?       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Vegetation Statement does not address recreation (if applicable)         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Project Manager: Contact Phone	No	Yes	# Iter		
Sufficient?       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       Vegetation Survey missing: if property supports existing native vegetation [Art. 7.E.1-3, Existing Native Vegetation] [Relates to response in Section 8 of the General Application form]         HEALTH DEPARTMENT         Sufficient?         to       Yes       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Vegetation Survey missing: if property supports existing native vegetation [Art. 7.E.1-3, Existing Native Vegetation] [Relates to response in Section 8 of the General Application form]         Wegetation Survey missing: if property supports existing native vegetation [Art. 7.E.1-3, Existing Native Vegetation] [Relates to response in Section 8 of the General Application form]         Wegetation Survey missing: if property supports existing native vegetation [Art. 7.E.1-3, Existing Native Vegetation] [Relates to response in Section 8 of the General Application form]         Sufficient?       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Vegetation Statement does not address recreation (if applicable)       Property AND REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT (PREM)         Sufficient??         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Veget Manager: Contact Phone Number:			1	Missing, incomplete, improperly signed and notarized, or illegible Warranty Deed	
Io       Yes       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       Vegetation Survey missing: if property supports existing native vegetation [Art. 7.E.1-3, Existing Native Vegetation] [Relates to response in Section 8 of the General Application form]         HEALTH DEPARTMENT         Sufficient?         1       Missing a letter related to Dust Control from the Health Department (if new construction is proposed)         2       Missing a letter related to Dust Control from the Health Department stating how far away water and wastewater services are located         2       Missing a letter from Utilities Staff to the Health Department stating how far away water and wastewater services are located         3       The applicant did not have a Pre-application Meeting with Child Care Staff (For Day Care uses only)         PARKS AND RECREATION         Sufficient?       E         Veget       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         POPERTY AND REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT (PREM)         Sufficient?         Veget       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       The Justification Statement does not address recreation (if applicable)         PROPERTY AND REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT (PREM)         Sufficient?         Veget Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1		<u>.</u>		ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (ERM)	
Io       Yes       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Sufficient?       Image: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Image: Contact Phone Number:       Image: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Image: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Image: Contact Phone Number:       Image: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Image: Contact Phone Number:       Image: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Image: Contact Phone Number: Contact Phone Number:       Image: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Image: Contact Phone Number: Contact Phone Number: Contact Phone Number:       Image: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Image: Contact Phone Number: Contact Phone Number: Contact Phone Number: Contact Phone Number:       Image: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Image: Contact Phone Number:       Image: Contact Phone Number: Conta	Suffi	icient?	E	Project Manager:	
response in Section 8 of the General Application form]         HEALTH DEPARTMENT         Sufficient?       E       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       Missing a letter related to Dust Control from the Health Department (if new construction is proposed)         2       Missing a letter related to Dust Control from the Health Department stating how far away water and wastewater services are located         3       The applicant did not have a Pre-application Meeting with Child Care Staff (For Day Care uses only)         PARKS AND RECREATION         Sufficient?       E         Ves       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       The usufficiant Statement does not address recreation (if applicable)         PROPERTY AND REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT (PREM)         Sufficient?       E       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       The Justification Statement does not address recreation (if applicable)         PROPERTY AND REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT (PREM)         Sufficient?       E       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       The Justification Statement does not address recreation (if applicable)         PROPERTY AND REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT (PREM)         Sufficient?       E       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       PUD has 2% Civic: 1) Required and s	No	Yes	# Iter		
Sufficient?       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Io       Yes       1       Missing a letter related to Dust Control from the Health Department (if new construction is proposed)         Io       Io       Io       Io       Missing a letter related to Dust Control from the Health Department (if new construction is proposed)         Io       Io       Io       Io       Missing a letter related to Dust Control from the Health Department stating how far away water and wastewater services are located         Io       Io       Io       Io       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Visiticient?       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Sufficient?       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Sufficient?       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Io       Yes       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Io       Yes       Pup has 2% Civic: 1) Required and shown on the Plan(s); 2) Not required (State reasons); or 3) Already Provided (State reasons) in			1	Vegetation Survey missing; if property supports existing native vegetation [Art. 7.E.1-3, Existing Native Vegetation] [Relates to response in Section 8 of the General Application form]	
Io       Yes       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number;         I       Missing a letter related to Dust Control from the Health Department (if new construction is proposed)         I       Missing a letter related to Dust Control from the Health Department stating how far away water and wastewater services are located         I       Missing a letter from Utilities Staff to the Health Department stating how far away water and wastewater services are located         I       Missing a letter from Utilities Staff to the Health Department stating how far away water and wastewater services are located         I       The applicant did not have a Pre-application Meeting with Child Care Staff (For Day Care uses only)         PARKS AND RECREATION         Sufficient?       Image: Contact Phone Number:         I       The Justification Statement does not address recreation (if applicable)         PROPERTY AND REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT (PREM)         Sufficient?       Image: Contact Phone Number:         I       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:       Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:       Image: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:       Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:       Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:       Contact Phone Number:         Image: Co				HEALTH DEPARTMENT	
Io       Yes       Image: Contact Phone Number:         1       Missing a letter related to Dust Control from the Health Department (if new construction is proposed)         2       Missing a letter from Utilities Staff to the Health Department stating how far away water and wastewater services are located         3       The applicant did not have a Pre-application Meeting with Child Care Staff (For Day Care uses only)         PARKS AND RECREATION         Sufficient?       Image: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:       1         Image: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:       Contact Phone Number:         Image: Contact Phone Number:	Suffi	icient?	E	Project Manager:	
2       Missing a letter from Utilities Staff to the Health Department stating how far away water and wastewater services are located         3       The applicant did not have a Pre-application Meeting with Child Care Staff (For Day Care uses only)         PARKS AND RECREATION         Sufficient?         Image: term of ter	No	Yes	# Ite		
3       The applicant did not have a Pre-application Meeting with Child Care Staff (For Day Care uses only)         PARKS AND RECREATION         Sufficient?       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       The Justification Statement does not address recreation (if applicable)         PROPERTY AND REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT (PREM)         Sufficient?       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       The Justification Statement does not address recreation (if applicable)         PROPERTY AND REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT (PREM)         Sufficient?       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       Project Manager: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       PUD has 2% Civic: 1) Required and shown on the Plan(s); 2) Not required (State reasons); or 3) Already Provided (State reasons) in			1	Missing a letter related to Dust Control from the Health Department (if new construction is proposed)	
PARKS AND RECREATION         Sufficient?       E       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       The Justification Statement does not address recreation (if applicable)         PROPERTY AND REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT (PREM)         Sufficient?       E       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         In       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         In       Project Manager: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       PUD has 2% Civic: 1) Required and shown on the Plan(s); 2) Not required (State reasons); or 3) Already Provided (State reasons) in			2	Missing a letter from Utilities Staff to the Health Department stating how far away water and wastewater services are located	
Sufficient?       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       The Justification Statement does not address recreation (if applicable)         PROPERTY AND REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT (PREM)         Sufficient?       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Sufficient?       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Sufficient?       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Sufficient?       Image: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Sufficient Phone Number:       Image: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Sufficient Phone Number:       Image: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Sufficient Phone Number:       Image: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Sufficient Phone Number: Phone Number:       Image: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Sufficient Phone Number:       Image: Contact Phone Number			3	The applicant did not have a Pre-application Meeting with Child Care Staff (For Day Care uses only)	
Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Contact Phone Number:         1       The Justification Statement does not address recreation (if applicable)         PROPERTY AND REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT (PREM)         Sufficient?       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:				PARKS AND RECREATION	
Io       Yes       Image: Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       The Justification Statement does not address recreation (if applicable)         PROPERTY AND REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT (PREM)         Sufficient?       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         10       Yes         1       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       PUD has 2% Civic: 1) Required and shown on the Plan(s); 2) Not required (State reasons); or 3) Already Provided (State reasons) in	Suffi	icient?	۶	Project Manager:	
PROPERTY AND REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT (PREM)         Sufficient?       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       PUD has 2% Civic: 1) Required and shown on the Plan(s); 2) Not required (State reasons); or 3) Already Provided (State reasons) in	No	Yes	# Iter		
Sufficient?       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       PUD has 2% Civic: 1) Required and shown on the Plan(s); 2) Not required (State reasons); or 3) Already Provided (State reasons) in			1	The Justification Statement does not address recreation (if applicable)	
Io       Yes       Project Manager: Contact Phone Number:         1       PUD has 2% Civic: 1) Required and shown on the Plan(s); 2) Not required (State reasons); or 3) Already Provided (State reasons) in			-	PROPERTY AND REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT (PREM)	
Image: Notice of the system       Image: Note of the syst	Suffi	icient?	F	Project Manager:	
	No	Yes	# Iter		
Justification Statement			1	PUD has 2% Civic: 1) Required and shown on the Plan(s); 2) Not required (State reasons); or 3) Already Provided (State reasons) in	
				Justification Statement	