Minutes for Team Signage Subcommittee

Meeting Date: <u>2/27/2003</u>

Task Team Meeting Date by Number Meeting Number Meeting Meeting Minutes Goals for NextMeeting Staff Initials Entering Info

Signage Subco

February 2003

<u>20</u>

Thursday, February 27, 2003

Task Team Meeting Date by	eeting Meeting umber Date	Staff Attending	Minutes Goals for NextMeeting		Staff Inita Entering I
	2/27/20	Mark C, Chuck, Maury	1. Subcmt discussed alt. Sign plan language. ASP should allow relief to setback, ht, calculations, face area, # of signs and copy/content. ASP should be reviewed against -safety/welfare, Tiers, unique/public use, preserve historic signs, relate sign to landscaping & bldg (arch elements), pedestrian friendly (where applicable - TDDs & infill), compatibility with nhood plans. ASP to be used by PDDs and infill sites only. Needs to be comprehensive in its approach and approved at time of initial bldg elev approval. 2. Cmt reviewed examples of "real sites" and how the existing and proposed code affects the site. Proposed code would reduce signage and affect visibility/effectiveness of sign. 3. Discussed pgs 41-46. 4. Clarified # of signs - how is frontage considered - count each separately; allow to balance signs across ROW. 5. Separation distance is too great. 6. 5 ft setback from property line, not BBL. Use old table to the height to ROW width and use of property. See notes for specifics. No Tier distinction. 7. Graphic is wrong for median sign. Clarify ht of median sign. 8. Remove tie-in survey req't. 9. Outparcel table is too restrictive. Should be 60 sq. ft with ht of 8 to 10 ft. 10. Remove 1a under exemption and clarify that 1b is only time and temp. 11. Add "travel mode" to prohibitions. 12. Remove all but max sign face area in Table 7.14-8. 13. Add CG to 4a location. 14. Clarify 4c to be one sign per use (as they are allowed in nonPDDs).	will resume with 3a on pg. 46.	

Staff Initals Entering Info

Thursday, February 27, 2003