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Joni Brinkman, AICP, Principal
Urban Design Kilday Studios
610 Clematis Street, Suite CU02
West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

## RE: Surf Ranch at Palm Beach Park of Commerce Palm Beach County, Florida <br> Kimley-Horn \#140346000

Dear Ms. Brinkman:
Pursuant to your request, Kimley-Horn has performed a traffic statement for the proposed development to be located within Palm Beach Park of Commerce. The site location is provided in Figure 1 and a site plan is included for reference. The site is proposed to be a surf park with a wave lagoon that produces artificial waves for professional surfers and surf camp attendees.

## BACKGROUND

Palm Beach Park of Commerce is located at the northeast corner of State Route 710 (Beeline Highway) and Pratt Whitney Road in Palm Beach County, Florida. A Development of Regional Impact (DRI) application was filed for the project in the early 1980's. Various approvals and vesting were issued. In 1992, a vesting determination and agreement was made by Palm Beach County and the property owner concluding that 6.25 million square feet was approved in addition to the approximately 200,000 square feet of industrial land use that existed in 1992, at the time of the vesting determination. In 2006, concurrency vesting for the Park was further approved for 6,893 new external peak hour trips.

## TRIP GENERATION

Trip generation was based on projected employees and guests for a typical day (non-event) provided by the managing director for AW Property.

The trip generation rates used to calculate daily, AM peak hour, and PM peak hour trips were developed based on the assumption that employees will enter the development during the AM peak hour and exit the development during the PM peak hour and that the majority of patrons will enter and exit the development during the PM peak hour. Information provided by the managing director is attached to this document. As summarized in Table 1, the proposed site is expected to generate 120 net new daily trips, 23 net new external AM peak hour trips ( $23 \mathrm{in}, 0$ out), and 48 net new external PM peak hour trips (19 in, 29 out).
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| TABLE 1TRIP GENERATIONPALM BEACH PARK OF COM M ERCESURF RANCH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Intensity |  | Daily Trips | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out |
| Proposed Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surf Ranch |  | employees |  | 20 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Surf Ranch |  | guests | 100 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 38 | 19 | 19 |
| Subtotal |  |  | 120 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 48 | 19 | 29 |
| Driveway Volumes |  |  | 120 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 48 | 19 | 29 |
| Net New External Trips |  |  | 120 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 48 | 19 | 29 |
| Trip generation was calculated using the following data: Daily Trip Generation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surf Ranch (per employee) | $=$ |  | 2 trips/employee |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surf Ranch (per guest) |  |  | 2 trips/guest |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour Trip Generation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surf Ranch (per employee) |  | $=$ | T =1 trip/empl oyee ( $100 \%$ in, $0 \%$ out) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surf Ranch (per guest) |  | $=$ | $\mathrm{T}=0.25$ trips/guest ( $100 \%$ in, $0 \%$ out) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Hour Trip Generation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surf Ranch (per employee) |  |  | T = 1 trip/empl oyee ( $0 \%$ in, $100 \%$ out) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surf Ranch (per guest) |  |  | $\mathrm{T}=0.75$ trips/guest ( $50 \%$ in, $50 \%$ out) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## 2016 MONITORING STUDY

A Traffic Monitoring Study was prepared and submitted to Palm Beach County in 2016 which evaluated the current traffic conditions on roadways adjacent to the Florida Research Park. The study concluded that significant unused capacity exists on Beeline Highway and Pratt Whitney Road.
Furthermore, traffic signalization at any of the Park's existing entrances to Beeline Highway and Pratt Whitney Road is not currently warranted.
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## ANALYSIS OF BEELINE HIGHWAY \& PRATT WHITNEY ROAD

A detailed analysis of this existing intersection was conducted using HCS+ software. The intersection analysis prepared for the annual monitoring study was updated to include the impacts of this project. Existing turning movement counts were collected on March 8, 2017 during the AM and PM peak hours of 7:00 AM-9:00 AM and 4:00 PM-6:00 PM. The turning movement counts are attached to this document. Existing signal timing information provided by the Palm Beach County Traffic Division was utilized in this analysis and is attached. It should be noted that the intersection of Beeline Highway \& Pratt Whitney Road is an isolated signal and does not run on a time of day plan.

As shown in the attached HCS+ worksheets and Table 2, the signalized intersection is expected to operate at Level of Service (LOS) D or better during the AM and PM peak hours with existing timing and the addition of Surf Ranch traffic and Project Beach Ball traffic.

Queuing is not expected to occur in the northbound right and southbound right directions due to the existing free flow conditions for those movements. As shown in the attached Table 3, average queue spacing was calculated for each movement based on the percentage of project traffic, Project Beach Ball traffic, and non-project traffic expected for that movement. Half of the Project Beach Ball traffic was assumed to require 75 feet of queue space to serve delivery trucks at the intersection due to the truck-related nature of that development. All project traffic and non-project traffic was assumed to require the typical 25 feet of queue space; the Surf Ranch use is not expected to generate significant truck and trailer traffic. As shown in the attached Back-of-Queue worksheets, queues are expected to be contained within the existing storage lanes during the AM peak hour. Queues are expected to exceed the existing storage length during the PM peak hour. The existing storage length is 320 feet; the proposed storage length is approximately 500 feet.

| TABLE 2 <br> LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS PALM BEACH PARK OF COMMERCE SURF RANCH |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour | Approach | Beeline Highway \& Pratt Whitney Road |  |
|  |  | Delay | LOS |
| AM Peak Hour | NB | 44.6 | D |
|  | SB | 48.2 | D |
|  | EB | 42.3 | D |
|  | WB | 42.6 | D |
|  | Total | 43.5 | D |
| PM Peak Hour | NB | 47.9 | D |
|  | SB | 53.4 | D |
|  | EB | 41.4 | D |
|  | WB | 45.7 | D |
|  | Total | 44.3 | D |
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| TABLE 3 <br> QUEUE SPACING ADJUSTM ENT PALM BEACH PARK OF COM MERCE SURF RANCH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
|  | LT | Thru | RT | LT | Thru | RT | LT | Thru | RT | LT | Thru | RT |
| Total Traffic w/o RTOR | 14 | 2 | 0 | 105 | 133 | 321 | 43 | 251 | 5 | 188 | 576 | 164 |
| Project Beach Ball Traffic $50 \%$ at 75 ' Queue $50 \%$ at 25 ' Queue |  |  |  | 27 13 14 |  | 6 3 3 | 22 11 11 |  |  |  |  | 98 49 49 |
| Surf Ranch and Non-Project Traffic | 14 | 2 | 0 | 78 | 133 | 315 | 21 | 251 | 5 | 188 | 576 | 66 |
| 100\% at 25' Queue | 14 | 2 | 0 | 78 | 133 | 315 | 21 | 251 | 5 | 188 | 576 | 66 |
| Total Traffic at 75 ' of queue spacing Total Traffic at 25 ' of queue spacing | 0 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 92 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 133 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 318 \end{gathered}$ | 11 32 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 251 \end{gathered}$ | 0 5 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 49 \\ 115 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Average Queue Spacing (ft) | 25 | 25 | 0 | 31 | 25 | 25 | 38 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 40 |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
|  | LT | Thru | RT | LT | Thru | RT | LT | Thru | RT | LT | Thru | RT |
| Total Traffic w/o RTOR | 2 | 131 | 148 | 155 | 6 | 45 | 299 | 546 | 6 | 6 | 282 | 130 |
| Project Beach Ball Traffic |  |  |  | 79 |  | 18 | 10 |  |  |  |  | 44 |
| 50\% at 75' Queue |  |  |  | 39 |  | 9 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 22 |
| 50\% at 25' Queue |  |  |  | 40 |  | 9 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 22 |
| Surf Ranch and Non-Project Traffic | 2 | 131 | 148 | 76 | 6 | 27 | 289 | 546 | 6 | 6 | 282 | 86 |
| 100\% at 25' Queue | 2 | 131 | 148 | 76 | 6 | 27 | 289 | 546 | 6 | 6 | 282 | 86 |
| Total Traffic at 75' of queue spacing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| Total Traffic at 25' of queue spacing | 2 | 131 | 148 | 116 | 6 | 36 | 294 | 546 | 6 | 6 | 282 | 108 |
| Average Queue Spacing (ft) | 25 | 25 | 25 | 38 | 25 | 35 | 26 | 25 | - | 25 | 25 | 33 |

## SITE CIRCULATION AND TURN LANE REQUIREMENTS

Figure 2 illustrates the project traffic distribution and the future total driveway volumes generated by the project during the AM and PM peak hours.

According to the Palm Beach County "Guide to Parking Lot and Street Access Design Criteria and Standards," it is necessary to classify project entrances that provide access to the local roadway network as minor, intermediate, or major according to the following criteria:

- Minor - Provides services for a maximum average daily traffic of 500 vehicles.
- Intermediate - Provides services for a maximum average daily traffic from 501 to 2,000 vehicles.
- Major - Provides service for a maximum average daily traffic greater than 2,000 vehicles.

Based on these criteria, the driveway is classified as minor.
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The project driveway volumes were compared to the thresholds identified by the Palm Beach County Land Development Division to determine the turn lane requirements of the site's driveway. Section 300 of the Design Standards Manual identifies the threshold for installation of a right-turn lane as 75 or more inbound peak hour right-turning vehicles where street average daily traffic volumes exceed 10,000 vehicles per day and the threshold for a left-turn as 30 or more inbound peak hour left-turning vehicles.

Based on the data collected from the Palm Beach County Traffic Division, Pratt Whitney Road does not exceed 10,000 vehicles per day; therefore, the right-turn lane threshold does not apply to the project driveway. Furthermore, the DRI specifies development thresholds for implementing a rightturn lane, and the threshold has not yet been met. Turn lanes at the project driveway are required to be added when the net external two-way trips for the entire Park reach 2,570 trips. As of the 2016 Monitoring Study, the Park does not yet generate the requisite number of trips to warrant turn lanes at this location; however, to mitigate possible queuing during special events at Surf Ranch, a northbound right-turn lane and a westbound left-turn lane are proposed at the project driveway.

A northbound right-turn lane is proposed to prevent possible queuing during special events at Surf Ranch.

A westbound left-turn lane is not required for the outbound movement at the site's driveway based on the anticipated driveway volumes; however, a left-turn lane is proposed to enhance on-site operations.

## SPECIAL EVENT OPERATIONS

The code requirements in Palm Beach County's Unified Land Development Code Article 4 Chapter B Section 1.124 limit special event use to three times per year, and as such, no more than three events will occur on site each year.

To manage the traffic generated at these events, the applicant will be utilizing on-site parking for special events, however spectators who cannot be accommodated on site will be arriving via bus/shuttle from off-site, remote parking areas in the vicinity. As regulated in Article 4.B.11.C.6., special events are permitted in all Pods of a PIPD via a DRO approval. The applicant is estimating no more than approximately 5,000 attendees on any given day of a permitted special event. At this time, specific parking arrangements have not been secured by the Applicant.

As permitted in Art 6.A.1.D.3.a., Temporary Parking, the Zoning Director may consider a Special Permit for off-site parking associated with the temporary use of a special event. The parking may be located greater than 600 feet from the event provided the attendees are transported to the site. Per that section, the applicant will be required to enter into a written agreement with the owners of the offsite location acceptable to the zoning division. The ULDC provides for appropriate safeguards and limitations to assure the public is protected in this regard, and the applicant is willing to accept a condition to provide deputies for traffic/crowd control at the code limited number of special events. These deputies would likely be stationed at the entrances to the Park of Commerce and could control the signal Beeline Highway \& Pratt Whitney Road.
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## CONCLUSION

Based on the increase in trip generation ( 23 net new AM peak hour trips and 48 net new PM peak hour trips) associated with the proposed development, adequate capacity exists (as identified in the 2016 traffic monitoring study for the Florida Research Park. Significant land use vesting is available to include the proposed Surf Ranch and special events are expected to be sufficiently accommodated.

Please contact me at (561) 840-0874 or adam.kerr@kimley-horn.com should you have any
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## Kraemer，Addie

From：
Sent：
Kerr，Adam
Tuesday，January 17， 2017 9：22 AM
To：
Subject：

Kraemer，Addie
FW：Surf ranch
－－－－－－Original M essage－－－－－
From：Brian K．Waxman［mailto：BWaxman＠awproperty．com］
Sent：Wednesday，J anuary 11， 2017 4：15 PM
To：Kerr，Adam＜Adam．Kerr＠kimley－horn．com＞
Cc：jbrinkman＠udkstudios．com
Subject：RE：Surf ranch
A typical day＇s use may be 10 employees and $20-50$ guests． 20 when the members are using and 50 when the surf schools are using．

We spoke to UDKS today about possibly adding industrial buildings on the west side of the site．I＇ll let Joni advise if she thinks that should be included in your traffic analysis now or later．

Thanks．
Brian K．Waxman，M anaging Director
11780 US Highway One，Suite 305 T North Palm Beach，Florida 33408
Office：（561）687－5800＠Facsimile：（561）689－1255 bwaxman＠awproperty．com ⿴囗玉．awproperty．com
－－－－－Original M essage－－－－－
From：Adam．Kerr＠kimley－horn．com［mailto：Adam．Kerr＠kimley－horn．com］
Sent：Wednesday，January 11， 2017 8：09 AM
To：Brian K．Waxman
Cc：jbrinkman＠udkstudios．com
Subject：RE：Surf ranch
Brian－
As we alluded to at the meeting the other day，we＇ll develop traffic projections based on projected visitors／employees， etc．for a typical day（non－event）．Do you have any information that you could provide？Thanks！

Adam B．Kerr，P．E．（FL，AL）
Kimley－Horn｜ 1920 Wekiva Way，Suite 200，West Palm Beach，FL 33411
Direct： 5618400874 ｜Main： 5618450665 Connect with us：Twitter｜LinkedIn｜Facebook｜YouTube
Proud to be one of FORTUNE magazine＇s 100 Best Companies to Work For

TRAFFIC SURVEY SPECIALI\$TS, INC.

| BEELINE HIGHWAY \& PRATT WHITNEY ROAD | 85 SE 4 TH AVENUE, UNłT 109 | Site Code : 00170045 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA | DELRAY BEACH, FLORIDA. | Start Date: 03/08/17 |
| COUNTED BY: RICH MENDEZ | PHONE (561)272-3255 | File I.D. : BEE_PRAT |
| NOT SIGNALIZED |  | Page : 1 |
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Total Date 03/08/17
Peak Hour Analysis By Entire Intersection for the Period: 16:00 to \(18: 00\) on 03/08/17
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Peak star & 16:15 & & & & 16:15 & & & & 16:1\$ & & & & 16:15 & & & \\
\hline Volume & 0 & 59 & 6 & 22 & 0 & 6 & 271 & 73 & 0 & 2 & 126 & 142 & 0 & 275 & 525 & 6 \\
\hline Percent & 0\% & 68\% & 7\% & 25\% & 0\% & 2\% & 77\% & 21\% & 0\% & 1\% & 47\% & 53\% & 0\% & 34\% & 65\% & \(1 \%\) \\
\hline Pk total & 87 & & & & 350 & & & & 270 & & & & 806 & & & \\
\hline Highest & 16:30 & & & & 16:30 & & & & 17:00 & & & & 16:15 & & & \\
\hline Volume & 0 & 22 & 1 & 10 & 0 & 0 & 74 & 19 & 0 & 0 & 45 & 40 & 0 & 67 & 143 & 2 \\
\hline Hi total & 33 & & & & 93 & & & & 85 & & & & 212 & & & \\
\hline PHF & . 66 & & & & . 94 & & & & . 79 & & & & . 95 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


BEELINE HIGHWAY



\section*{CRITICAL SUM INTERSECTION ANALYSIS SHEET SURF RANCH \\ PRATT WHITNEY ROAD \& BEELINE HIGHWAY Existing Geometry}
```

Peak Season = 1 1
Existing Year = 2017 2017

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|c|}{AM Peak Hour} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Northbound} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Southbound} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Eastbound} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Westbound} \\
\hline & LT & Thru & RT* & LT & Thru & RT* & LT & Thru & RT & LT & Thru & RT \\
\hline Existing Volume on 03/08/2017 & 13 & 2 & 0 & 75 & 128 & 303 & 17 & 241 & 5 & 181 & 554 & 52 \\
\hline Peak Season Volume & 13 & 2 & 0 & 75 & 128 & 303 & 17 & 241 & 5 & 181 & 554 & 52 \\
\hline Project Beach Ball Traffic & & & & 27 & & 6 & 22 & & & & & 98 \\
\hline Project Traffic & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Inbound Traffic Assignment Inbound Traffic Volumes & & & & & & & \[
\begin{gathered}
15.0 \% \\
3
\end{gathered}
\] & & & & & \[
\begin{gathered}
50.0 \% \\
12
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Outbound Traffic Assignment Outbound Traffic Volumes & & & & \[
\begin{gathered}
50.0 \% \\
0
\end{gathered}
\] & & \[
\begin{gathered}
15.0 \% \\
0
\end{gathered}
\] & & & & & & \\
\hline Project Traffic & & & & & & & 3 & & & & & 12 \\
\hline Total Traffic w/o RTOR & 13 & 2 & 0 & 102 & 128 & 309 & 42 & 241 & 5 & 181 & 554 & 162 \\
\hline TOTAL TRAFFIC & 13 & 2 & 0 & 102 & 128 & 309 & 42 & 241 & 5 & 181 & 554 & 162 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|c|}{PM Peak Hour} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Northbound} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Southbound} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Eastbound} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Westbound} \\
\hline & LT & Thru & RT* & LT & Thru & RT* & LT & Thru & RT & LT & Thru & RT \\
\hline Existing Volume on 03/08/2017 & 2 & 126 & 142 & 59 & 6 & 22 & 275 & 525 & 6 & 6 & 271 & 73 \\
\hline Peak Season Volume & 2 & 126 & 142 & 59 & 6 & 22 & 275 & 525 & 6 & 6 & 271 & 73 \\
\hline Project Beach Ball Traffic & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline  & & & & 79 & & 18 & 10 & & & & & 44 \\
\hline Project Traffic & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Inbound Traffic Assignment Inbound Traffic Volumes & & & & & & & \[
\begin{gathered}
15.0 \% \\
3
\end{gathered}
\] & & & & & \[
\begin{gathered}
50.0 \% \\
10
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Outbound Traffic Assignment Outbound Traffic Volumes & & & & \[
\begin{gathered}
50.0 \% \\
15
\end{gathered}
\] & & \[
\begin{gathered}
15.0 \% \\
4
\end{gathered}
\] & & & & & & \\
\hline Project Traffic & & & & 15 & & 4 & 3 & & & & & 10 \\
\hline Total Traffic w/o RTOR & 2 & 126 & 142 & 153 & 6 & 44 & 288 & 525 & 6 & 6 & 271 & 127 \\
\hline TOTAL TRAFFIC & 2 & 126 & 142 & 153 & 6 & 44 & 288 & 525 & 6 & 6 & 271 & 127 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
*Channelized right-turn movement; therefore, volumes in HCS+ have been reduced to 0 due to the free-flow movement.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|c|}{BACK-OF-QUEUE WORKSHEET} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{General Information} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Project Description AM Peak Hour Existing Timing} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Average Back of Queue} \\
\hline & & EB & & & WB & & & NB & & & SB & \\
\hline & LT & TH & RT & LT & TH & RT & LT & TH & RT & LT & TH & RT \\
\hline Lane Group & L & TR & & L & \(T\) & \(R\) & L & \(T\) & \(R\) & L & T & \(R\) \\
\hline Initial Queue/Lane & 0.0 & 0.0 & & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Flow Rate/Lane Group & 44 & 259 & & 191 & 583 & 107 & 14 & 2 & 0 & 107 & 135 & 0 \\
\hline Satflow/Lane & 1033 & 1770 & & 1320 & 1775 & 1509 & 1129 & 1776 & 1509 & 1343 & 1776 & 1509 \\
\hline Capacity/Lane Group & 556 & 896 & & 923 & 899 & 401 & 286 & 450 & 382 & 340 & 450 & 382 \\
\hline Flow Ratio & 0.0 & 0.1 & & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.0 \\
\hline v/c Ratio & 0.08 & 0.29 & & 0.21 & 0.65 & 0.27 & 0.05 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.31 & 0.30 & 0.00 \\
\hline I Factor & 1.000 & 1.000 & & 1.000 & 1.000 & 1.000 & 1.000 & 1.000 & 1.000 & 1.000 & 1.000 & 1.000 \\
\hline Arrival Type & 3 & 3 & & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\
\hline Platoon Ratio & 1.00 & 1.00 & & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline PF Factor & 1.00 & 1.00 & & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Q1 & 0.9 & 4.7 & & 2.7 & 11.9 & 3.7 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 3.8 & 4.8 & 0.0 \\
\hline kB & 0.7 & 0.6 & & 0.9 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 0.5 & 0.6 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.6 & 0.5 \\
\hline Q2 & 0.1 & 0.2 & & 0.2 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.0 \\
\hline Q Average & 1.0 & 5.0 & & 3.0 & 13.0 & 3.9 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 4.0 & 5.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Percentile Back of Queue (95th percentile)} \\
\hline fB\% & 2.1 & 2.0 & & 2.0 & 1.8 & 2.0 & 2.1 & 2.1 & 2.1 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.1 \\
\hline Back of Queue & 2.0 & 9.8 & & 6.0 & 23.3 & 7.8 & 1.0 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 8.0 & 9.9 & 0.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Queue Storage Ratio} \\
\hline Queue Spacing & 38.0 & 25.0 & & 25.0 & 25.0 & 40.0 & 25.0 & 25.0 & 0.0 & 31.0 & 25.0 & 25.0 \\
\hline Queue Storage & 700 & 0 & & 1375 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 320 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Average Queue Storage Ratio & 0.1 & & & 0.1 & & & & & & 0.4 & & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 95\% Queue Storage } \\
& \text { Ratio }
\end{aligned}
\] & 0.1 & & & 0.1 & & & & & & 0.8 & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{\(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Copyright © } 2008 \text { University of Florida, All Rights Reserved } & \\ \end{array}\)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|c|}{BACK-OF-QUEUE WORKSHEET} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{General Information} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Project Description PM Peak Hour Existing Timing} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Average Back of Queue} \\
\hline & & EB & & & WB & & & NB & & & SB & \\
\hline & LT & TH & RT & LT & TH & RT & LT & TH & RT & LT & TH & RT \\
\hline Lane Group & L & TR & & L & T & \(R\) & L & T & \(R\) & L & T & \(R\) \\
\hline Initial Queue/Lane & 0.0 & 0.0 & & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Flow Rate/Lane Group & 303 & 559 & & 6 & 285 & 71 & 2 & 133 & 0 & 161 & 6 & 0 \\
\hline Satflow/Lane & 1266 & 1772 & & 1135 & 1775 & 1509 & 1339 & 1776 & 1509 & 1136 & 1776 & 1509 \\
\hline Capacity/Lane Group & 681 & 897 & & 793 & 899 & 401 & 339 & 450 & 382 & 288 & 450 & 382 \\
\hline Flow Ratio & 0.2 & 0.2 & & 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline v/c Ratio & 0.44 & 0.62 & & 0.01 & 0.32 & 0.18 & 0.01 & 0.30 & 0.00 & 0.56 & 0.01 & 0.00 \\
\hline I Factor & 1.000 & 1.000 & & 1.000 & 1.000 & 1.000 & 1.000 & 1.000 & 1.000 & 1.000 & 1.000 & 1.000 \\
\hline Arrival Type & 3 & 3 & & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\
\hline Platoon Ratio & 1.00 & 1.00 & & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline PF Factor & 1.00 & 1.00 & & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Q1 & 6.9 & 11.3 & & 0.1 & 5.2 & 2.4 & 0.1 & 4.7 & 0.0 & 6.1 & 0.2 & 0.0 \\
\hline kB & 0.8 & 0.6 & & 0.8 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 0.5 & 0.6 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.6 & 0.5 \\
\hline Q2 & 0.6 & 1.0 & & 0.0 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 0.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Q Average & 7.6 & 12.3 & & 0.1 & 5.5 & 2.5 & 0.1 & 5.0 & 0.0 & 6.7 & 0.2 & 0.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Percentile Back of Queue (95th percentile)} \\
\hline fB\% & 1.9 & 1.8 & & 2.1 & 1.9 & 2.0 & 2.1 & 2.0 & 2.1 & 1.9 & 2.1 & 2.1 \\
\hline Back of Queue & 14.3 & 22.1 & & 0.2 & 10.7 & 5.1 & 0.1 & 9.7 & 0.0 & 12.8 & 0.4 & 0.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Queue Storage Ratio} \\
\hline Queue Spacing & 26.0 & 25.0 & & 25.0 & 25.0 & 33.0 & 25.0 & 25.0 & 25.0 & 38.0 & 25.0 & 35.0 \\
\hline Queue Storage & 700 & 0 & & 1375 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 320 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Average Queue Storage Ratio & 0.3 & & & 0.0 & & & & & & 0.8 & & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 95\% Queue Storage } \\
& \text { Ratio }
\end{aligned}
\] & 0.5 & & & 0.0 & & & & & & 1.5 & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{llllll}
\hline Copyright © 2008 University of Florida, All Rights Reserved & \\
HCS
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|l|l|l|}
\hline INTERSECTION: & BEELINE HWY \& PRATT WHITNEY RD (SOUTH ENTRANCE) & CONTROLLER TYPE \\
\hline SIGNAL \# & 7020 & SYSTEM \# \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{PHASE NUMBER} & APPROACH & MIN GREEN & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { GAP } \\
& \text { EXT }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { MAX } \\
1
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { MAX } \\
2
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YEL } \\
& \text { CLR }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { RED } \\
& \text { CLR }
\end{aligned}
\] & WALK & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { PED } \\
& \text { CLR }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
MIN \\
RCL
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
MAX \\
RCL
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { PED } \\
& \text { RCL }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { LOCK } \\
& \text { CALLS }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
NA1 \\
RIW
\end{tabular} & DETECTOR SETTINGS \\
\hline & INTERVAL & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & WALT & 5.0 & 3.0 & 45.0 & & 5.5 & 2.0 & & & 0 & & & 0 & & L1:NORMAL \\
\hline 2 & EA & 20.0 & 4.0 & 40.0 & & 5.5 & 2.5 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & L2:NORMAL \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{3} \\
\hline 4 & SA & 6.0 & 4.0 & 40.0 & & 5.5 & 2.0 & & & 0 & & & 0 & & L4:NORMAL \\
\hline 5 & EALT & 5.0 & 3.0 & 55.0 & & 5.5 & 2.0 & & & 0 & & & 0 & & L5:NORMAL \\
\hline 6 & WA & 20.0 & 4.0 & 40.0 & & 5.5 & 2.5 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & L6:NORMAL \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{7} \\
\hline 8 & NA & 6.0 & 4.0 & 40.0 & & 5.5 & 2.0 & & & 0 & & & 0 & & L8:NORMAL \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{PRE-EMPTION TIMING} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{SPECIAL FUNCTIONS} \\
\hline & GREEN BEFORE & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { TRACK } \\
\text { CLR }
\end{gathered}
\] & TRACK CLR YEL & MIN DWELL & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { YEL } \\
\text { AFTER }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { RED } \\
& \text { AFTER }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { START } \\
\oplus
\end{gathered}
\] & DUAL ENTRY & DET SWITCH & \begin{tabular}{l}
OUT OF \\
FLASH
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
INTO \\
FLASH
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline & & & & & & & 2-6 & 2,4,6,8 & 1,5 & 2-6 & 4-8 & \\
\hline COMMENTS & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{*UPDATED CLEARANCES} & TIMING DESIGNED BY: & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{K. LANE-PALMER} & DATE: & 12/16/2016 \\
\hline & & & & & & & APPROVED BY: & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{G. Jeedigunta, p.e. p.t.o.e. OUT} & DATE: & \(12 / 16116\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}```

