

August 22, 2012

Land Development Regulation Advisory Board (LDRAB)
Amendments to the Agenda
(Updated 8/21/12)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58

#1	<p>Pages 22 to 38 of 40, Exhibit G – Art. 13, Impact Fees is hereby withdrawn</p> <p>Reason for amendments: Per provisions in the Unified Land Development Code (ULDC) Art. 2.G.3, Appointed Bodies, amendments to Article 13, Impact Fees are recommended and reviewed by the Impact Fee Review Committee (IFRC) instead of the Land Development Regulation Advisory Board (LDRAB). Amendments to Art. 13 must be consistent with the Palm Beach County Comprehensive Plan which is verified by the Land Development Regulations Commission (LDRC) before the amendments are presented to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC).</p>
----	---

U:\Zoning\CODEREV\2012\LDRABMeetings\8-22-12\4 Final Packet\0 Amendments to the Agenda 8-22-12 final.docx

Notes:
Double underlined indicates new text or previously stricken text to remain.
~~Double Stricken~~ indicates text to be ~~deleted~~.
Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets [**Relocated from:**].
... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.



August 15, 2012

**Department of Planning,
Zoning & Building**

2300 North Jog Road
West Palm Beach, FL 33411-2741
(561) 233-5000

Planning Division 233-5300
Zoning Division 233-5200
Building Division 233-5100
Code Enforcement 233-5500
Contractors Certification 233-5525
Administration Office 233-5005
Executive Office 233-5228

www.pbcgov.com/pzb



**Palm Beach County
Board of County
Commissioners**

Shelley Vana, Chair

Steven L. Abrams, Vice Chairman

Karen T. Marcus

Paulette Burdick

Burt Aaronson

Jess R. Santamaria

Priscilla A. Taylor

County Administrator

Robert Weisman

Mr. Wesley Blackman, AICP, Chairman, and
Members of the Land Development Regulation Advisory Board (LDRAB)
241 Columbia Drive
Lake Worth, FL 33460

RE: August 22, 2012 LDRAB Meeting

Dear Mr. Blackman & Board Members:

Attached please find the agenda and supporting materials to assist you in preparing for the LDRAB meeting on Wednesday, August 22, 2012.

The meeting will commence at **2:00 p.m.** in the Vista Center 1st Floor Kenneth S. Rogers Hearing Room (VC-1W-47), located at 2300 North Jog Road, West Palm Beach, Florida.

If you should have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at (561) 233-5206 or via email at WCross@pbcgov.org, or Monica Cantor, Senior Site Planner at (561) 233-5205, or via email at MCantor@pbcgov.org.

Sincerely,

William Cross, AICP
Principal Site Planner, Zoning Division

Attachments: August 22, 2012 LDRAB Agenda

- c: Verdenia C. Baker, Deputy County Administrator
- Rebecca D. Caldwell, Executive Director, PZB
- Leonard W. Berger, Assistant County Attorney
- Robert P. Banks, Assistant County Attorney
- Jon MacGillis, ASLA, Zoning Director
- Maryann Kwok, Chief Planner, Zoning
- Monica Cantor, Senior Site Planner, Zoning
- Bryan Davis, Principal Planner, Planning
- John Rupertus, Senior Planner, Planning

*"An Equal Opportunity
Affirmative Action Employer"*

U:\Zoning\CODEREV\2012\LDRAB\Meetings\8-22-12\4 Final Packet\1 Transmittal Letter.docx

PALM BEACH COUNTY

LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATION ADVISORY BOARD (LDRAB) LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATION COMMISSION (LDRC)

AUGUST 22, 2012

BOARD MEMBERS

Wesley Blackman, AICP, Chair (PBC Planning Congress)

David Carpenter, RLA, Vice Chair (District 2)

Raymond Puzzitiello (Gold Coast Build. Assoc.)

Joanne Davis (District 1)

Joni Brinkman (League of Cities)

Barbara Katz (District 3)

Terrence N. Bailey (Florida Engineering Society)

Jim Knight (District 4)

Jerome Baumoehl (American Institute of Architects)

Lori Vinikoor (District 5)

Rosa Durando (Environmental Organization)

Mike Zimmerman (District 6)

Frank Gulisano (PBC Board of Realtors)

Martin Klein, Esq. (District 7)

Gary Rayman (Fl. Surveying and Mapping Society)

Leo Plevy (Member at Large/Alternate)

Maurice Jacobson (Condominium Association)

Vacant (Member at Large/Alternate)

Vacant (Association Gen. Cont. of America)

Board of County Commissioners

Shelley Vana
Chair, District 3

Steven L. Abrams
Vice Chair, District 4

Burt Aaronson
Commissioner, District 5

Paulette Burdick
Commissioner, District 2

Karen T. Marcus
Chair, District 1

Jess R. Santamaria
Commissioner, District 6

Priscilla A. Taylor
Commissioner, District 7

Robert Weisman
County Administrator



"An Equal Opportunity – Affirmative Action Employer"
2300 North Jog Road, West Palm Beach, Florida 33411 (561) 233-5200



LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATION ADVISORY BOARD (LDRAB)

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 22, 2012 AGENDA

2300 NORTH JOG ROAD

KENNETH S. ROGERS HEARING ROOM - 1ST FLOOR (VC-1W-47)

2:00 P.M. – 4:00 P.M.

A. CALL TO ORDER/CONVENE AS LDRAB

1. Roll Call
2. Additions, Substitutions and Deletions
3. Motion to Adopt Agenda
4. Adoption of June 13, 2012 Minutes (Exhibit A)

B. 2012-02 WORK PLAN

1. LDRAB meetings (Exhibit B)
2. Summary of Amendments (Exhibit C)
3. Subcommittees

C. ULDC AMENDMENTS

1. Exhibit D AGR PUD Perimeter Buffer Width Reductions
2. Exhibit E Article 6, Parking
3. Exhibit F Article 11, Subdivision, Platting, and Required Improvements
4. Exhibit G Article 13, Impact Fees
5. Exhibit H Article 15, Health Regulations

D. PUBLIC COMMENTS

E. STAFF COMMENTS

F. ADJOURN

EXHIBIT A

**PALM BEACH COUNTY
LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATION ADVISORY BOARD (LDRAB)**

Minutes of June 13, 2012 Special Meeting

On Wednesday, June 13, 2012 the Palm Beach County Land Development Regulation Advisory Board (LDRAB), also sitting as the Land Development Regulation Commission (LDRC), met in the Kenneth S. Rogers Hearing Room, (VC-1W-47), at 2300 North Jog Road, West Palm Beach, Florida.

A. Call to Order/Convene as LDRAB

1. Roll Call

Chair Wes Blackman called the meeting to order at 2:05 p.m. Zona Case, Code Revision Zoning Technician, called the roll.

Members Present: 15

Wesley Blackman (PBC Planning Congress)
Joanne Davis (District 1)
David Carpenter (District 2)
Barbara Katz (District 3)
Jim Knight (District 4)
Lori Vinikoor (District 5)
Michael Zimmerman (District 6)
Martin Klein (District 7)**
Joni Brinkman (League of Cities)
Gary Rayman (Fl. Surveying & Mapping Society)
Raymond Puzzitiello (Gold Coast Builders Assoc.)*
Terrence Bailey (Florida Engineering Society)
Rosa Durando (Environmental Organization)
Jerome Baumoehl (AIA)
Leo Plevy (Member at Large, Alternate)

Members Absent: 2

Frank Gulisano (PBC Board of Realtors)
Maurice Jacobson (Condominium Association)

Vacancies: 2

Vacant (Assoc. General Contractors of America)
Vacant (Member At Large, Alternate)

County Staff Present:

Rebecca Caldwell, Executive Director, PZ&B
Leonard Berger, Assistant County Attorney
John MacGillis, Director, Zoning
Barbara Pinkston-Nau, Principal Planner, Zoning
William Cross, Principal Site Planner, Zoning
John Rupertus, Senior Planner, Planning
Zona Case, Zoning Technician, Zoning

2. Additions, Substitutions, and Deletions

Mr. Blackman noted that staff had distributed an add/delete item.

3. Motion to Adopt Agenda

Motion to adopt agenda and add/delete by Mr. Klein, seconded by Ms. Vinikoor. The motion passed (14 – 0*).

4. Adoption of May 23, 2012 Minutes (Exhibit A)

Motion to adopt by Mr. Klein, seconded by Ms. Vinikoor. The motion passed (14 - 0*).

* Raymond Puzzitiello arrives at 2:09 p.m.

B. ULDC Amendments

1. Exhibit B – Livestock Keeping

Mr. Blackman noted that some members of the public had made written requests to speak and they would be allowed to do so after discussion on the Exhibit.

Ms. Barbara Pinkston-Nau, Principal Planner made a presentation on the proposed amendments, which included an overview of the location of the Rural and Exurban Tiers, and a fact sheet regarding Livestock Keeping as accessory to a single family residence, which was also circulated among attendees.

The Fact Sheet contained information on the following:

- Clarification on properties affected and those unaffected by the proposed amendments;
- Definition of livestock;
- Permanent and temporary/portable accessory structures location and setbacks;
- Temporary and accessory structures maximum square footage;
- Animal Care and Control requirements;
- Six-month timeline for compliance with Code enforcement;
- Maximum number of six animal sales allowed per year; and,
- Clarification that retail sales has always been prohibited from residential uses.

Ms. Caldwell, introduced herself as the Executive Director of the Planning, Zoning & Building Department. She stated that the current ULDC addresses livestock issues under two headings, Bona Fide Agriculture and Hobby Breeder. Neither of the two is fully

EXHIBIT A

PALM BEACH COUNTY LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATION ADVISORY BOARD (LDRAB)

Minutes of June 13, 2012 Special Meeting

appropriate for livestock keeping as an accessory use to a single family dwelling. She explained the proposed amendments could be deemed as less stringent than current regulations, and will in most cases give greater freedom to residents.

Ms. Davis posed hypothetical farm scenarios which raised questions about permanent versus temporary/portable structures. She further went on to explain situations with setbacks of 25 feet and recommended setback changes to 15 feet and reminded some keeping in mind that agricultural activities and uses are to be expected in the Agricultural Residential Zoning District.

** Mr. Klein left at 2:30 p.m.

A discussion followed in which questions were raised by the Board and addressed by staff, regarding the following:

- Keep setbacks at a certain level to protect adjacent properties as many lots are around 1.25 acres or less. Animals penned next to a neighbor's property all day create greater impact, therefore pens have to be subject to setback; and,
- Noise and odor are characteristic of life in the Rural and Exurban Tiers and the Department of Health or Animal Care and Control have regulations that address these issues.

Ms. Pinkston-Nau confirmed to Mr. Bailey that the proposed amendments do not apply to Bona Fide Agriculture parcels.

Mr. Carpenter stressed the importance of setting a minimum lot size so that residents can also enjoy residential life. It is impossible for Code Enforcement staff to cope with controlling noise, odor, and waste, and these issues have to be addressed.

Mr. Blackman asked the public to limit presentations to three minutes. Summarized comments from residents of the Rural and Exurban Tiers, more particularly from the Acreage and Jupiter Farms communities include:

- Residents enjoy the rural lifestyle and should expect to have animals around;
- Animal Care and Control already has regulations in place for livestock;
- Apply Best Management Practices (BMP) for the livestock;
- The size of temporary structures and the regulation of six sales per year should be re-considered; and,
- Property located on a corner is rendered useless as in most cases it will not meet setback requirements for accessory use.

Mr. Blackman also read a letter from a resident who stated that one acre is too small to keep chickens and roosters and that the roosters near their home in the Acreage crow continually, day and night.

Ms. Brinkman questioned the urgency to pass the amendments and recommended they be postponed until Round 2012-02 to allow more time for discussion. Ms. Caldwell explained that Zoning is complying with the BCC's request to address the issue in Round 2012-01. The language for Hobby Breeder will not change but the new language for Livestock Keeping will pull in domestic fowl and birds.

Ms. Davis stressed the importance of agriculture to Palm Beach County and said she supports staff's efforts to protect hobby and low acreage agriculture. She said that some areas of the amendment should be re-examined, such as cross fencing and permanent versus temporary accessory structures. The residents are already there, and their animals are allowed to roam over their property as it is an agricultural area.

Mr. Bailey stated that it appeared to him that the new language provides more flexibility but that does not seem to be the general perspective of the public. He requested further clarification. Ms. Davis added that perhaps the public has not had a chance to study the latest changes to the original exhibit. In her opinion the new language is doable with the exception of the 25 feet setback.

Ms. Caldwell explained:

EXHIBIT B

**LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATION ADVISORY BOARD (LDRAB)
LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATION COMMISSION (LDRC)**

2012 MEETING DATES

(Updated 7/5/12)

DATE	DAY
January 25, 2012	Wednesday (4 th)
February 22, 2012	Wednesday (4 th)
March 28, 2012 (Canceled)	Wednesday (4 th)
April 25, 2012	Wednesday (4 th)
May 23, 2012	Wednesday (4 th)
June 13, 2012**	Wednesday (2 nd)**
July 25, 2012 (Canceled)	Wednesday (4 th)
August 22, 2012	Wednesday (4 th)
October 24, 2012	Wednesday (4 th)
November 14, 2012	Wednesday (2 nd)*
January 23, 2013	Wednesday (4 th)

Notes:

- * Meeting dates rescheduled to accommodate holidays.
- ** Special Meeting

Meeting location and start times are typically as follows:

Planning, Zoning and Building Department
Vista Center
2300 North Jog Road
West Palm Beach, Florida 33411
Kenneth S. Rogers Hearing Room (VC-1W-47)
Meetings typically commence at 2:00 p.m.

(1) **DISCLAIMER:** Meetings are subject to change, cancellation, or may be continued, rescheduled, relocated, or commenced at a different time as necessary. (Reasons for the change include but not limited to length of agenda, as needed to respond to Hurricanes or other similar natural disasters, etc.).

EXHIBIT C



**Department of Planning,
Zoning & Building**

2300 North Jog Road
West Palm Beach, FL 33411-2741
(561) 233-5000

Planning Division 233-5300
Zoning Division 233-5200
Building Division 233-5100
Code Enforcement 233-5500
Contractors Certification 233-5525
Administration Office 233-5005
Executive Office 233-5228
www.pbcgov.com/pzb



**Palm Beach County
Board of County
Commissioners**

Karen T. Marcus, Chair
Shelley Vana, Vice Chair

Paulette Burdick

Steven L. Abrams

Burt Aaronson

Jess R. Santamaria

Priscilla A. Taylor

County Administrator

Robert Weisman

*"An Equal Opportunity
Affirmative Action Employer"*

**INTER-OFFICE COMMUNICATION
PALM BEACH COUNTY
PLANNING, ZONING AND BUILDING**

TO: The Honorable Shelley Vana, Chair
and Members of the Board of County Commissioners

THRU: Rebecca D. Caldwell, PZ&B Executive Director *RDC*

FROM: Jon MacGillis, ASLA, Zoning Director *JM*

DATE: July 6, 2012

RE: **Unified Land Development Code Amendment Round 2012-02**

This memo serves to solicit feedback from the Board on key amendment topics that have been prioritized for inclusion in Unified Land Development Code (ULDC) Amendment Round 2012-02 (Attachment 1). This item will be placed on the July 26, 2012 BCC Zoning Hearing for discussion under Zoning Director Comments.

Fall 2012-02 Amendment Round Priorities:

As staff noted to the Board earlier this year, Code Revision staff has begun work on the "Use Regulations Project" which may require two or more years to complete, and priorities have been evaluated to ensure this project continues to move forward. This project will engage industry and the general public to solicit input on Use Regulations and Standards, eliminate redundancy or glitches, recognize new industry trends, streamline approval processes where feasible to improve ease of use and enforcement, and ensure consistency with the Comprehensive Plan, etc.

Aside from routine amendments required to implement new State laws, Plan amendments or response to industry trends, staff have prioritized several specific tasks the Board directed staff to address, including: Internet Café's, Pot Bellied Pigs, and Recycling Drop-off Bins, among others. In addition, Attachment 1 identifies amendments to the ULDC that are administered by other County Departments, including: the Land Development Division, Impact Fee Coordinator, Environmental Resources Management, and the PBC Health Department.

EXHIBIT C



Future Amendment Priorities:

Also included (Attachment 1) is a short list of amendment tasks that will likely need to be accommodated in 2013 or some point in the near future, including a few critical tasks such as the Glades Area Master Plan, which is being coordinated by the PBC Department of Economic Sustainability and will likely require several ULDC amendments.

Industry Requests for ULDC Amendments:

As outlined in my February 6, 2012 memo to the BCC, the Zoning Division has developed a process to expand opportunities for the public or industry to submit applications to initiate ULDC amendments. To date, no applications have been submitted for the 2012-02 Round; however, the right to submit an application exists, and additional staff time to review/process will need to be factored accordingly, if applicable.

If you should have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at (561) 233-5234.

JM/WJC

Attachments: Attachment 1 – Summary of ULDC Amendment Round 2012-02

C: Verdenia Baker, Deputy County Administrator
Lenny Berger, Assistant County Attorney
Robert Banks, Assistant County Attorney
Lorenzo Aghemo, Planning Director
Maryann Kwok, AICP, Chief Planner, Zoning
William Cross, AICP, Principal Site Planner, Zoning
Monica Cantor, Senior Site Planner, Zoning
Zoning Division Staff

ATTACHMENT 1
SUMMARY OF ULDC AMENDMENT ROUND 2012-02
 (Updated July 9, 2012)

ULDC AMENDMENT ROUND 2012-02 SUMMARY OF KEY MEETINGS AND PUBLIC HEARINGS	
BCC ZONING HEARINGS:	LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATION ADVISORY BOARD (LDRAB) MEETINGS:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ December 5, 2012: Request for Permission to Advertise ▪ January 3, 2013: 1st Reading ▪ January 24, 2013: 2nd Reading/Adoption 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ July 25, 2012 ▪ August 22, 2012 ▪ October 24, 2012 ▪ November 14, 2012 (LDRC)

PRIORITIZATION AND STAFFING:
<p>On a scale of 1 to 3, staff has ranked both the priority and the level of staffing required for each of the proposed amendments, as follows:</p> <p>“Priority” Column:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Indicates an issue required to ensure compliance with Federal or State law, or the Comprehensive Plan, or most in need of updating for relevancy or efficiency of operations. 2 Generally refers to an issue that is highly recommended for inclusion but not required. 3 Includes issues recommended for inclusion but may be postponed to a later Amendment Round. <p>“Staffing” Column:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Will require a higher level of staff resources, including but not limited to: need for in depth research, public or industry outreach, LDRAB Subcommittee's or other Task Force, coordination with IPARC or LOC, or other labor intensive process. 2 Generally requires a moderate level of staff resources, typically involving lower profile issues that still require public or industry outreach. 3 Routine updates requiring lower levels of staff resources or time.

AMENDMENT/TASK	LEAD AGENCY	SUMMARY OF TASK REQUIREMENTS	PRIORITY	STAFFING
USE REGULATIONS PROJECT [Two Year Task – 2012-2014]	Zoning	Significant rewrite of ULDC standards for Use Regulations to simplify, streamline approval processes to maximum extent feasible, update to match current industry trends, and improve overall use, among others. Will entail: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Update Definitions, Use Matrices (identifies where uses are permitted and approval process), Supplementary Standards and Thresholds. ▪ Cross referencing of County standards and terminology with technical and industry resources, including the American Planning Association, the North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) and others as may be identified through input during process. 	1	1

ATTACHMENT 1
SUMMARY OF ULDC AMENDMENT ROUND 2012-02
(Updated July 9, 2012)

AMENDMENT/TASK	LEAD AGENCY	SUMMARY OF TASK REQUIREMENTS	PRIORITY	STAFFING
USE REGULATIONS PROJECT [Two Year Task – 2012-14] <p align="center">CONTINUED</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Expansive webpage outlining scope of project and providing opportunities for interested parties to complete surveys on specific uses classifications. ▪ Establishment of LDRAB Subcommittee, with extensive outreach to solicit additional interested parties to participate in subcommittee proceedings. ▪ Establishment of internal processes to coordinate with other Development Review agencies to solicit feedback on shortcomings and identify solutions or improvements. ▪ Cross referencing of County standards with a minimum of 8 large jurisdictions within PBC, other State or National jurisdictions for emerging trends, etc. ▪ Assessment of compliance with applicable Policies of the Plan. ▪ Amend Art. 2.B.1.I.1, General, to clarify procedures for "permitted uses allowed in conjunction or in place of Conditional or Requested Uses." 	1	1
Non-conforming Site Elements	Zoning	Update to be consistent with current application and review practices: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Clarify notes for Table 1.F.1.G, Nonconformities Percentage and Approval Process for Maintenance, Renovation and Natural Disaster Damage Repair; and, ▪ Update definition for substantial renovation to change method of calculating value of structures from assessed value to improvement value. 	1	1
Art. 2.A.1.S, Appeals	Zoning	Art. 2.A.1.S, Appeals - Clarify Documentation to be Considered for Appeals. Correct scrivener's error to language outlining applicability of documentation to be considered when considering an appeal.	2	3
Commercial Recreation (CRE) Zoning district and RR-10 FLU Designation	Zoning	Review all Official Zoning Quad Maps to ascertain applicability of ULDC provisions permitting existing Commercial Recreation (CRE) Zoning District on parcels with a Rural Residential 10 FLU designation, as referenced in Table 3.A.3.B, FLU Designation and Corresponding Zoning Districts, Art. 3.A.3.B.1.f, and FLUE Policy 2.2.3-a of the Plan.	3	3
Mixed Use in PUD Commercial Pod	Zoning	Amend provisions for Planned Unit Developments (PUD) to address mixed use as provided for under FLUE Policy 4.4.3-a.3 of the Plan.	2	3

ATTACHMENT 1
SUMMARY OF ULDC AMENDMENT ROUND 2012-02
(Updated July 9, 2012)

AMENDMENT/TASK	LEAD AGENCY	SUMMARY OF TASK REQUIREMENTS	PRIORITY	STAFFING
AGR PUD Rural Parkway Landscape Buffer Requirements	Zoning	Amend Art. 3.E.2.F.4.d, Landscape Buffer, to allow alternative AGR-PUD perimeter buffer between Development Area and Rural Parkway, to be consistent with similar revisions granted to AGR-TMD's in 2005.	2	3
Internet Café	Zoning	Coordinate with IPARC to ascertain need to develop regulations for Internet Café's.	1	1
Congregate Living Facilities	Zoning		1	2
2010 SB 550, State to Expedite Renewable Energy Projects	Zoning	Review existing ULDC provisions for Renewable Energy Facilities and amend if necessary for compliance with F.S.	1	2
2011 Commercial Communication Tower (aka: Cell Towers)	Zoning	Review existing ULDC Commercial Communication Tower (Cell Tower) requirements to correct minor glitches and amend if necessary for compliance with HB 3630, Public Safety Spectrum and Wireless Innovation Act of 2011.	1	2
Pot Bellied Pigs	Zoning	Pending August 21, 2012 BCC Workshop	1	1
Recreation Facility Standards	Zoning	Correct minor scrivener's error to clarify applicability of supplementary standards for recreational facilities.	2	2
Sluggett Property Commercial	Zoning	Amend Art. 5.C.1.I.1.a, CL FLU (Related to Large Scale Commercial Development), to exempt the Sluggett parcel from the Commercial Low 65,000 square foot single-tenant limitation concurrent with Planning Text Amendment Round 2012-02.	2	3
Golf Cart Parking for Golf Courses	Zoning	Amend Art. 6.A.1.D.7, Golf Cart Parking (limited to PDDs) to be permitted in non-PDD's where the golf course is operated by an HOA or other similar entity that ensures that the golf course is for use by residents of the development.	2	3
Recycling Drop Off Bins and Collection Stations	Zoning	Respond to request of Gulfstream Goodwill to prohibit or further regulate Recycling Drop Off Bins (aka Donation Boxes). Includes June 5 BCC Public Hearing; June 29, 2012 Public Meeting to solicit feedback from industry and interested parties; and, a Fall 2012 BCC Workshop.	1	1
Funeral Home and Crematorium	Zoning	Art. 4.B.1.A.59, Funeral Home, Clarify Approval Processes for Crematoriums: The use of Funeral Home or Crematorium are considered co-located uses.	1	3

ATTACHMENT 1
SUMMARY OF ULDC AMENDMENT ROUND 2012-02
(Updated July 9, 2012)

AMENDMENT/TASK	LEAD AGENCY	SUMMARY OF TASK REQUIREMENTS	PRIORITY	STAFFING
Art. 8, Signage – Way-finding Signage	Zoning	Respond to inquiries to allow for identification signage for specific areas of the County, to be located off site on private parcels (any signage within Roadways (R-O-W) would not within the authority of Zoning): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Comments noted during BCC AGR-Tier tour to allow for signage identifying the boundaries of the AGR-Tier; and, ▪ Request of Indian Trail Improvement District (ITID) to allow identification signage on private parcels along key access points to ITID jurisdiction (e.g. Royal Palm Beach Boulevard). 	1	1
Art. 11, Subdivision, Platting and Required Improvements	Land Development	General updates, including revisions to legal access, roof overhang measurements, preservation area location and access, limited access easement, minimum storm sewerage pipe, drainage, berms, and utility easements (Art. 1, 3 and 11).	1	3
Art. 13, Impact Fees	Impact Fee Coordinator	Update several impact fees as part of bi-annual review.	1	3
Art. 14, Environmental Standards	ERM	Multiple updates and revisions, as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ ERM Well-field Protection – Water Utility Cost Share Program; ▪ Modifications to Art. 14.C, Vegetation Preservation and Protection Code and Art. 4.D, Excavation to specify minimum criteria for vegetation removal and to revise the options for complying with excavation criteria. ▪ Coastal High Hazard Area [CHHA] (see Plan Amendment Round 2012-02): Review ULDC definition for CHHA and applicability of any articles, including Art. 18, Flood Damage Protection. 	1	3
Art. 15, Health Regulations	Health Dept.	Update for compliance with F.S. 381, 386 and 403 regarding conditions for non-approval and appeals of Onsite Treatment and Disposal Systems (OSTDS), and connection and notification requirements for drinking water supply systems.	1	3
2012 Legislative Session	Zoning	PENDING: Review of all new laws adopted in 2012 Session to determine if any ULDC amendments are required for consistency with F.S.	1	PENDING
ULDC Amendments Identified for Future Rounds				
Green Development	Zoning	Adopt Green Development Programs as may be required by industry trends or feedback, etc.	1	1

ATTACHMENT 1
SUMMARY OF ULDC AMENDMENT ROUND 2012-02
(Updated July 9, 2012)

AMENDMENT/TASK	LEAD AGENCY	SUMMARY OF TASK REQUIREMENTS	PRIORITY	STAFFING
Glades Area Projects	PBC Dept. of Economic Sustain-ability (DES)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Glades Region Master Plan: DES coordinated HUD Grant to develop a road map for planned development/redevelopment that incorporates the six (6) "Livability Principles" developed by the U.S. Departments of HUD, DOT and EPA. ▪ Glades Area Overlay: Update to address several critical glitches to terminology for expedited approval processes, and to ensure consistency with the Plan. These changes will likely be incorporated into the above Glades Region Master Plan effort. 	1	1
Art. 7, Landscaping	Zoning	<p>Multiple updates and revisions to Landscaping requirements, as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Determine if any additional flexibility can be permitted for overlapping of drainage swales, easements or detention areas and landscape buffers (note: drainage areas typically prohibit most types of landscaping). ▪ General updates to clarify requirements for shade trees, standards for wall and hedge measurements, and general clean up of Article. ▪ Determine feasibility of permitting Bio-swales in Urbanized areas. ▪ Update Alternative Landscape Plan (ALP) to include updates to Appeal procedures, consider simplifying by switching to Type II Variance process, among other minor revisions. ▪ Clarify minimum landscaping requirements for certain multi-family developments. ▪ Correct glitch in Art. 7.F.9.B, Determining Compatibility Buffer for Minor Utilities. ▪ Water Conservation: Determine feasibility of implementing water conservation updates. ▪ Signed and Sealed Documents: Determine if requirements for signed and sealed Landscape documentation can be specified in the Zoning Technical Manual, or whether such must be codified. 	1	1
Development Review Officer Authority	Zoning	Ascertain feasibility of expanding DRO authority to amend BCC/ZC approvals for minor items – responds to issues raised by industry regarding process required for minor DOA's requiring Public Hearings.	1	1

ATTACHMENT 1
SUMMARY OF ULDC AMENDMENT ROUND 2012-02
(Updated July 9, 2012)

AMENDMENT/TASK	LEAD AGENCY	SUMMARY OF TASK REQUIREMENTS	PRIORITY	STAFFING
HB 7207	Planning/ Zoning	Pending final confirmation from Planning on analysis of impacts from HB 7207 on current Development Review processes and requirements.	1	1
Rezoning for Non-conforming Legal Lot of Record	Zoning	Codify policy regarding non-conforming lots and eligibility to rezone to a more intense district when the current district is consistent with the underlying FLU designation (without requiring Variance approval to address non-conformities).	2	2
Freestanding Buildings	Zoning	Update Art. 3.E.3.B.2.a, Freestanding Buildings, to address discrepancy between different sized projects.	1	1
Commercial Low – Neighborhood Commercial (CN), Community Commercial (CC) and CL Pods of PDDs	Zoning	Ascertain feasibility of limiting certain uses and other site development or operational limitations necessary to implement the CL “Neighborhood Oriented” requirements of the Plan.	2	1
Accessory Daycare in Industrial Pod	Zoning	Ascertain feasibility of allowing use to be permitted by right instead of requiring BCC approval – provided use is limited to workers within specific project or development.	2	3
Art. 5.E.4.E, Outdoor Lighting	Zoning	Updates to County Dark Sky based Lighting Ordinance.	3	2
Art. 6.C.1.B, Double Frontage and Corner Lots	Zoning	Address limitation precluding multiple driveways to homes built on double frontage or corner lots (e.g. current provisions limit access to frontage and would not allow a side or rear load garage).	2	2
Public Requests for ULDC Amendments				
No Applications Submitted to Date				
Currently no applications submitted, but industry has right to submit requests to staff at any point in the Round for consideration.			N/A	N/A

U:\Zoning\CODEREV\2012\BCC Hearings\Round 2012-02\Other BCC Meetings\July 26 BCC Zoning Hearing\0 - FINAL Attachment 1 Summary of ULDC Amendment Round 2012-02.docx

EXHIBIT D

AGR PUD PERIMETER BUFFER WIDTH REDUCTIONS
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 8-9-12)

1
2 Part 1. ULDC Art. 3.E.2.f.4.d, Landscape Buffer (Related to AGR PUD) (page 163 to 164 of 228),
3 is hereby amended as follows:
4

Reason for amendments: [Industry/Zoning] This amendment is the result of discussions with industry in conclusion to multiple approvals for Type II Variance relief from the requirements for increased perimeter buffering between the Development Area of an AGR PUD and a Rural Parkway (reductions to 15 feet in width). A minimum 50 foot wide Type 3 Incompatibility Buffer is required between the Development Area of an AGR-PUD when located adjacent to agricultural lands, mitigating any potential adverse impacts from agricultural activities with the intent of protecting agricultural rights. Width reductions are permitted for perimeter's abutting certain roadways, other PUDs or open space such as a lake; however, these reductions are not applicable to a Rural Parkway used to meet AGR-PUD Preserve Area requirements. The Rural Parkways system is primarily planned to be located along the Lyons Road corridor and provides a 100 foot wide naturalistic pathway system for pedestrians, cyclists, and equestrians. As such, the uses are generally compatible with the Development Area of an AGR-PUD, and the increased buffer width is not necessary.

5 CHAPTER E PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS (PDDS)

6 Section 2 Planned Unit Development (PUD)

7 F. AGR PUD

8 4. Development Area

9 d. Landscape Buffer

10 A Type 3 incompatibility buffer shall be required between the Development Area and all
11 adjacent properties zoned AGR, AP, SA, or AR; including Preservation Areas. The buffer
12 shall be a minimum of 50 feet in width and installed in accordance with Article 7,
13 LANDSCAPING, except that a wall shall not be required. [Ord. 2006-004] [Ord. 2008-
14 003]

15 1) **Buffer Width Reduction**

16 *The minimum 50 foot buffer width required along the perimeter of an AGR-PUD*
17 *Development Area may be reduced for the following:*

18 a) **Abutting R-O-W, Open Space or Another Buffer**

19 A ~~buffer required along the perimeter of a Development Area may be reduced by~~
20 50 percent reduction (minimum of 25 feet in width) shall be permitted if:

21 (1)~~a)~~ the buffer is within a nonresidential pod and adjacent to a R-O-W greater
22 than 50 feet in width;

23 (2)~~b)~~ the buffer is adjacent to another platted PUD buffer a minimum of 20 feet
24 in width; or

25 (3)~~e)~~ the buffer is adjacent to open space (e.g. lake, canal, etc.) greater than
26 50 feet in width.

27 b) **Abutting a Rural Parkway**

28 A reduction to a minimum of 15 feet in width shall be permitted if the buffer is
29 abutting a Rural Parkway a minimum of 100 feet in width.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

Notes:
Underlined indicates **new** text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets [**Relocated to:**].
~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be **deleted**.
Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets [**Relocated from:**].
.... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT E

**ARTICLE 6 – PARKING
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 8/9/12)**

1
2 **Part 1. ULDC Table 6.A.1.B - Minimum Off-Street Parking and Loading Requirements (page 11**
3 **of 39), is hereby amended as follows:**
4

Reason for amendments: [Zoning] Amend to recognize that residential developments may have residents that use golf carts or Low Speed Electric Vehicles (LSEV) within the development which creates a need to accommodate golf carts parking areas, particularly within neighborhood recreation areas. This amendment allows a percentage of the required recreation area parking for golf courses, swimming pools and tennis courts to be reduced to the minimum dimension used in LSEV.

5

Table 6.A.1.B - Minimum Off-Street Parking and Loading Requirements

Use Type: Recreational	Parking (1)	Loading (2)
....		
Clubhouse (Recreational Pod) (7)	1 space per 300 sq.ft. of air conditioned area (includes all interior uses); and 1 bicycle parking rack shall be provided	N/A
....		
Golf course (7)	4 spaces per hole; plus 1 space per 250 sq. ft. of clubhouse	N/A
....		
Swimming pool (7)	1 space per 200 sq. ft. of pool area; and 1 bicycle parking rack shall be provided	N/A
Tennis Courts (6)(7) And Basketball Courts (7)	1.5 spaces per court; and 1 bicycle parking rack shall be provided	N/A
....		
[Ord. 2005-002]		
Loading Key:		
....		
Notes:		
6.		
7. Golf cart parking may be used to satisfy a portion of the required parking for residential PDD rec. pods, pursuant to Art. 6.A.1.D.7, Golf Cart Parking [Ord. 2007-001]		
8.		

6
7
8 **Part 2. ULDC Art. 6.A.1.D.7, Golf Cart Parking (page 15 of 39), is hereby amended as follows:**
9

Reason for amendments: [Zoning] 1) Respond to industry trend of increasing use of golf carts as a transportation system within residential developments; 2) Only recreational pods of PDDs are currently allowed to request reduction of parking spaces size to accommodate golf carts. This amendment covers any prior approved large-scaled residential subdivisions such as Lost Tree and Delray Dunes Subdivisions that without this provision would be subject to variance when looking to use the golf cart parking reduction; 3) This amendment allows recreation areas owned and operated by Property Owners Associations (POA) and intended to be used by the residents to utilize a maximum of 25 percent of the recreational uses required parking space to accommodate golf carts; and, 4) Clarify that parking spaces used for golf carts can be reduced in size to a minimum dimension of six feet consistent with Low Speed Electric Vehicles (LSEV).

10 **CHAPTER A PARKING**

11 **Section 1 General**

12 **D. Off-Street Parking**

13
14 **7. Golf Cart Parking**

15 Residential developments with recreation areas such as recreation pods, golf courses or
16 recreational facilities designed and intended for use by occupants of residential
17 developments or subdivisions, owned and operated by POA, may accommodate golf carts or
18 LSEVs subject to the following:
19 a. Utilize a A maximum of 25 percent of the recreational uses required parking spaces in a
20 recreation pods of a residential PDD may be reduced in size and redesigned to
21 accommodate golf carts.

Notes:
Underlined indicates **new** text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated to:]**.
~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be **deleted**.
Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated from:]**.
.... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT E

ARTICLE 6 – PARKING
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 8/9/12)

b. Parking dimension may be reduced consistent with Low Speed Electric Vehicle (LSEV) minimum dimensions as indicated in Table 6.A.1.D, Minimum Parking Dimensions For Nonresidential Uses and Residential Uses with Shared Parking Lots.

....

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68

U:\Zoning\CODEREV\2012\LDRAB\Meetings\8-22-12\4 Final Packet\Exh. E - Art. 6 Parking.docx

Notes:

Underlined indicates **new** text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated to:]**.

~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be **deleted**.

Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated from:]**.

.... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT F

ARTICLE 11 – SUBDIVISION, PLATTING, AND REQUIRED IMPROVEMENTS
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 08/01/12)

1 Part 1. ULDC Art. 1.H.1.B.2, Legal Access (page 29 of 115), is hereby amended as follows:
2

Reason for amendments: [Land Development] To clarify that a new lot is not required to have direct access onto a right of way on the PBC Thoroughfare ROW Identification Map. Also, current code language allows for any access provided to a lot when the lot was created regardless of whether the lot was created legally. The term “legally created” is being added to indicate that a lot cannot have been illegally created and have its access be considered legal.

3 CHAPTER H LOT OF RECORD

4 Section 1 Potentially Buildable Lot

5 B. Standards

6 2. Legal Access

7 The lot has legal access ~~(that was in existence at the time the lot was created, and which~~
8 ~~remains in place) to street that ultimately connects to a right of way~~ currently identified on the
9 PBC Thoroughfare R-O-W Identification Map, as follows:

- 10 a. In accordance with Art. 11, Subdivision, Platting and Required Improvements, Table
11 11.E.2.A, Chart of Access Hierarchy; or **[Ord. 2007-001]**
- 12 b. From a recorded easement exclusively for the purposes of ingress and egress
13 access, a minimum of 20 feet in width, granting the owner, its successors and
14 assigns a perpetual right of useable access across all properties lying between said
15 lot and a street, provided that the easement was in existence at the time the lot was
16 legally created, and which remains in place. **[Ord. 2007-001] [Partially relocated**
17 **from above Art. 1.H.1.B.2, Legal Access]**

18
19
20 Part 2. ULDC Art. 3.D.2.C.9.c.), Maintenance at Roof Overhang Easement (page 133 - 134 of
21 228), is hereby amended as follows:
22

Reason for amendments: [Land Development] To ensure consistency between language that has been approved for plat recordation by the County Attorney’s office and Engineering Department and the language that is included in the code. The goal is to keep the language on the recorded plat simple, concise and for the purposes of dedication and not to include design or permitting requirements.

23 CHAPTER D PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS (PDRS)

24 Section 2 PDRs for Specific Housing Types

25 C. ZLL Design Standards

26 9. Permitted Openings and Attachments

27 c. Maintenance and Roof Overhang Easement

28 The subdivision plan and plat shall indicate a maintenance and roof eave encroachment
29 easement along the ZLL for each ZLL lot for the purpose of allowing maintenance of the
30 portion of the home with a zero setback and to accommodate any overhang of the roof
31 eave and gutter. *Should a fence or wall traverse or be located within a maintenance and*
32 *roof easement, written permission from all easement beneficiaries will be required prior to*
33 *the issuance of a permit by PBC. A gate for access and maintenance purposes will be*
34 *required. Access for the owner of the lot abutting the easement and the easement*
35 *beneficiaries shall be provided after advanced notification and during reasonable hours.*
36 *No construction, landscaping, mechanical equipment, fence or wall shall prevent*
37 *perpetual access to said easement by the owner of the lot abutting the easement or the*
38 *easement beneficiaries.* **[Partially relocated from Art. 3.D.2.C.9.c.4), Plat]**

39 ...

40 4) Plat

41 The following language shall be on the plat for each ZLL subdivision: Maintenance
42 and roof overhang easements are hereby reserved in perpetuity to the owner of the
43 lot abutting the easement and the HOA for the purpose of access to and
44 maintenance of improvements, the roof overhang, eave, gutters, drainage and utility
45 services within and adjacent to said easement without recourse to PBC. ~~Should a~~
46 ~~fence or wall traverse or be located within said easement, written permission from the~~
47 ~~HOA will be required prior to the issuance of a permit by PBC. A gate for access and~~
48 ~~maintenance purposes will be required. Access for the owner of the lot abutting the~~
49 ~~easement and the HOA shall be provided after advanced notification and during~~
50 ~~reasonable hours. No construction, landscaping, mechanical equipment, fence or~~
51 ~~wall shall prevent perpetual access to said easement by the owner of the lot abutting~~
52 ~~the easement and the HOA.~~ **[Partially relocated to Art. 3.D.2.C.9.c, Maintenance**
53 **and Roof Overhang Easement]**

Notes:

Underlined indicates **new** text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated to:]**.

~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be **deleted**.

Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated from:]**.

.... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT F

ARTICLE 11 – SUBDIVISION, PLATTING, AND REQUIRED IMPROVEMENTS
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 08/01/12)

1
2 Part 3. ULDC Art. 3.E.2.F.3.a., Location and Access (page 162 of 228), is hereby amended as
3 follows:
4

Reason for amendments: [Land Development] To simplify the code by indicating that a preserve area must have legal access rather than specifying that the preserve access must be onto a street because there are preserve parcels that access from easements that are considered legal.

5 CHAPTER E PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS (PDDS)

6 Section 2 Planned Unit Development (PUD)

7 F. AGR PUD

8 4. Preservation Area

9 a. Locations and Access

10 Preservation ~~A~~areas which are not contiguous to ~~D~~development ~~A~~areas may be situated
11 anywhere in the AGR FLU designations, provided they ~~are accessible by a street~~ have
12 legal access.
13

14
15 Part 4. ULDC Art. 11.E.2.A.19., Limited Access Easements (page 36 of 47), is hereby amended
16 as follows:
17

Reason for amendments: [Land Development] To allow the County Engineer flexibility if a limited access easement is not necessary to control access to a street. Further, since limited access easement often conflict with other requirements such as landscape buffers, this change will lessen the number of overlap conflicts that are created.

18 CHAPTER E REQUIRED IMPROVEMENTS

19 Section 2 Access and Circulation Systems

20 A. Vehicular Circulation Systems

21 19. Limited Access Easements

22 Limited access easements ~~may~~ shall be required along ~~all~~-non-plan collector streets and ~~all~~
23 major streets in order to control access to such streets from abutting property, when
24 necessary. Easements for controlling access to local and residential access streets may also
25 be required by the County Engineer in order to ensure continued control of access to such
26 streets from abutting property. All limited access easements shall be conveyed or dedicated
27 to PBC.
28

29
30 Part 5. ULDC Art. 11.E.4.E.5.c., Storm Sewerage (page 42 of 47), is hereby amended as
31 follows:
32

Reason for amendments: [Land Development] To increase the minimum pipe size for roads that are to be publicly maintained which will help to prevent clogging and collection of sediment debris and allow a reduction in maintenance frequency.

33 CHAPTER E REQUIRED IMPROVEMENTS

34 Section 4 Stormwater Management

35 E. Tertiary Stormwater System Design and Performance

36 5. Storm Sewerage

37 c. Storm sewer pipe shall have a nominal diameter of not less than 15 inches, or equivalent
38 oval pipe size. ~~However, for stormwater sewerage systems to be maintained by PBC,~~
39 ~~storm sewer pipe shall have a nominal diameter of not less than 18 inches, or equivalent~~
40 ~~oval pipe size, unless otherwise approved by the County Engineer.~~
41

....
Reason for amendments: [Land Development] To prohibit metal pipe from being used beneath the pavement within a street due to the shorter life span expected from metal pipe and to require structures to meet Florida Department of Transportation standard specifications since Palm Beach County does not have applicable standards.

42 i. ~~When metal~~ Metal pipe ~~is shall not be~~ used beneath pavement within a street, ~~it shall be~~
43 ~~designed to provide a joint-free installation or, where joint-free installations are not~~
44 ~~feasible, shall be jointed with a 12-inch wide band having a mastic or neoprene gasket~~

Notes:

Underlined indicates new text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets [**Relocated to:**].

~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be ~~deleted~~.

Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets [**Relocated from:**].

.... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT F

ARTICLE 11 – SUBDIVISION, PLATTING, AND REQUIRED IMPROVEMENTS
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 08/01/12)

~~providing a watertight joint. Other jointing techniques meeting or exceeding these requirements may be used upon submittal to and approval by the County Engineer.~~

j. Drainage pipe shall be fitted with headwalls, endwalls, inlets and other appropriate terminating and intermediate structures. Structure design shall meet or exceed FDOT PBC standards specifications and policies applicable to the intended use.

....

Part 6. ULDC Art. 11.E.7.B., Easements (page 45 of 47), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendments: [Land Development] To allow for flexibility in the requirement of a utility easement due to frequent conflicts between these easements with other requirements such as landscape buffers and standard utility easements. These proposed changes will allow the County Engineer flexibility in the location and size of utility easements.

CHAPTER E REQUIRED IMPROVEMENTS

Section 7 Utilities

B. Easements

Utility easements 12 feet wide shall be provided where necessary for the particular development or for continuity purposes to accommodate all required utilities across lots and shall have convenient access for maintenance. Where possible, utility easements shall be centered on lot lines. ~~Where possible, utility easements and~~ should be provided for underground utilities across the portion(s) of the lot abutting a street or parking area. When a utility easement is to abut a street, the width may be reduced as approved by the County Engineer to ten feet. Additional utility easements may be required by PBC when, in the opinion of the County Engineer, such easements are necessary for continuity of utility service between subdivisions or other development and where necessary for maintenance and service. Utility easements and drainage easements shall not be combined. Where crossings occur, drainage easements shall take precedent. Easements shall be coordinated with requisite utility authorities and shall be provided as prescribed by this Article for the installation of underground utilities or relocating existing facilities in conformance with the respective utility authority's rules and regulations.

U:\Zoning\CODEREV\2012\LDRAB\Meetings\8-22-12\4 Final Packet\Exh. F - Article 11 - Subdivision, Platting, and Required Improvements.docx

Notes:

Underlined indicates new text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets [**Relocated to:**].

~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be ~~deleted~~.

Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets [**Relocated from:**].

.... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT G

ARTICLE 13 – IMPACT FEES
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 07/13/2012)

Part 1. ULDC Tables 13.B.3-2 - Parks and Recreation Fee Schedule for Unincorporated PBC through Table 13.B.3-13 - Parks and Recreation Impact Fee Schedule for Schedule "Y" Municipalities [Related to Fee Schedule] (page 19 - 23 of 45), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendments: [OFMB] Changes to the Park and Recreation impact fee schedule.

**Table 13.B.3-2 – Parks and Recreation Fee Schedule for Unincorporated PBC
Effective 12:01 AM, 10/01/2010**

Land Use Type (Unit) Residential Units By Size	Persons Per Unit	Cost Per Unit	Credits	Park Impact Fee	Discount	Net Park Impact Fee
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.815	\$1,781.11	\$320.09	\$1,461.02	\$487.41	\$973.61
Dwelling unit, 801 - 1,399 sq. ft.	2.196	2,155.00	387.28	1,767.72	589.98	1,177.74
Dwelling unit, 1,400 - 1,999 sq. ft.	2.510	2,463.14	442.65	2,020.49	674.10	1,346.39
Dwelling unit, 2,000 - 3,599 sq. ft.	2.871	2,817.40	506.32	2,311.08	771.21	1,539.87
Dwelling unit, 3,600 sq. ft. and over	3.152	3,093.15	555.87	2,537.28	846.61	1,690.67
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	858.66	154.31	704.35	210.60	493.75

[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]

**Table 13.B.3-3 Park & Recreation Impact Fee Table For Schedule "A" Municipalities*
Effective 12:01 AM, 10/01/2010**

Land Use Type (Unit) Residential Units By Size	Persons Per Unit	Cost Per Unit	Credits	Park Impact Fee	Discount	Net Park Impact Fee
Dwelling Unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.815	\$1,781.11	\$320.09	\$1,461.02	\$487.41	\$973.61
Dwelling Unit, 801 - 1,399 sq. ft.	2.196	2,155.00	387.28	1,767.72	589.98	1,177.74
Dwelling Unit, 1,400 - 1,999 sq. ft.	2.510	2,463.14	442.65	2,020.49	674.10	1,346.39
Dwelling Unit, 2,000 - 3,599 sq. ft.	2.871	2,817.40	506.32	2,311.08	771.21	1,539.87
Dwelling Unit, 3,600 sq. ft. and over	3.152	3,093.15	555.87	2,537.28	846.61	1,690.67
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	858.66	154.31	704.35	210.60	493.75

[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]

Note:
* Schedule "A" municipalities consist of Atlantis, Cloud Lake, Glen Ridge, Village of Golf, Haverhill, Hypoluxo, Lake Clark Shores, Loxahatchee Groves, and Mangonia Park.

**Table 13.B.3-4 – Parks and Recreation Impact Fee Schedule for Schedule "B" Municipalities*
Effective 12:01 AM, 10/01/2010**

Land Use Type (Unit) Residential Units By Size	Persons Per Unit	Cost Per Unit	Credits	Park Impact Fee	Discount	Net Park Impact Fee
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.815	\$1,683.23	\$310.10	\$1,373.13	\$477.59	\$895.54
Dwelling unit, 801 - 1,399 sq. ft.	2.196	2,036.57	375.20	1,661.37	578.07	1,083.30
Dwelling unit, 1,400 - 1,999 sq. ft.	2.510	2,327.77	428.85	1,898.93	660.50	1,238.43
Dwelling unit, 2,000 - 3,599 sq. ft.	2.871	2,662.57	490.52	2,172.04	755.64	1,416.40
Dwelling unit 3,6000 sq. ft. and over	3.152	2,923.16	538.53	2,384.63	829.53	1,555.10
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	811.48	149.50	661.98	207.81	454.17

[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]

Note:
* —Schedule "B" municipalities consist of Greenacres, Lake Park, and Palm Springs.

**Table 13.B.3-5 – Parks and Recreation Impact Fee Schedule for Schedule "E" Municipalities*
Effective Date 12:01 AM, 10/01/2010**

Land Use Type (Unit) Residential Units By Size	Persons Per Unit	Cost Per Unit	Credits	Park Impact Fee	Discount	Net Park Impact Fee
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.815	\$1,389.58	\$280.15	\$1,109.43	\$448.08	\$661.35
Dwelling unit, 801 - 1,399 sq. ft.	2.196	1,681.28	338.96	1,342.32	542.31	800.01
Dwelling unit, 1,400 - 1,999 sq. ft.	2.510	1,921.68	387.42	1,534.26	619.69	914.57
Dwelling unit, 2,000 - 3,599 sq. ft.	2.871	2,198.07	443.15	1,754.92	708.92	1,046.00
Dwelling unit 3,6000 sq. ft. and over	3.152	2,413.20	486.52	1,926.68	778.25	1,148.43
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	669.91	135.06	534.85	199.45	335.40

[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]

Note:
* Schedule "E" municipalities consist of, Palm Beach Gardens, Royal Palm Beach, Wellington, and West Palm Beach

Notes:

- Underlined indicates **new** text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets [Relocated to:].
- ~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be **deleted**.
- Italicized* indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets [Relocated from:].
- A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT G

ARTICLE 13 – IMPACT FEES
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 07/13/2012)

1

**Table 13.B.3-6 – Parks and Recreation Impact Fee for Schedule “F” Municipalities*
Effective 12:01 AM, 10/01/2010**

Land Use Type (Unit) Residential Units By Size	Persons Per Unit	Cost Per Unit	Credits	Park Impact Fee	Discount	Net Park Impact Fee
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.815	\$1,648.71	\$306.58	\$ 1,342.13	\$429.13	\$913.00
Dwelling unit, 801 – 1,399 sq. ft.	2.196	1,994.80	370.94	1,623.87	519.45	1,104.42
Dwelling unit, 1,400 – 1,999 sq. ft.	2.510	2,280.03	423.98	1,856.06	593.48	1,262.58
Dwelling unit, 2,000 – 3,599 sq. ft.	2.871	2,607.96	484.95	2,123.00	678.98	1,444.02
Dwelling unit 3,6000 sq. ft. and over	3.152	2,863.21	532.42	2,330.79	745.36	1,585.43
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	794.83	147.80	647.03	184.01	463.02
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						
Note:						
* Schedule "F" municipalities consist of Gulfstream, Highland Beach, Manalapan, and South Palm Beach.						

2

**Table 13.B.3-7 – Parks and Recreation Impact Fee Schedule for Schedule “I” Municipality*
Effective 12:01 AM, 10/01/2010**

Land Use Type (Unit) Residential Units By Size	Persons Per Unit	Cost Per Unit	Credits	Park Impact Fee	Discount	Net Park Impact Fee
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.815	\$1,355.06	\$276.63	\$1,078.43	\$399.64	\$678.79
Dwelling unit, 801 – 1,399 sq. ft.	2.196	1,639.51	334.70	1,304.81	403.69	821.12
Dwelling unit, 1,400 – 1,999 sq. ft.	2.510	1,873.94	382.55	1,491.39	552.69	938.70
Dwelling unit, 2,000 – 3,599 sq. ft.	2.871	2,143.46	437.58	1,705.88	632.28	1,073.60
Dwelling unit 3,6000 sq. ft. and over	3.152	2,353.25	480.40	1,872.85	694.13	1,178.72
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	653.27	133.36	519.91	175.67	344.24
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						
Note:						
* Schedule "I" municipalities consist of Tequesta.						

3

**Table 13.B.3-8 – Parks and Recreation Impact Fee Schedule for Schedule “J” Municipality*
Effective 12:01 AM, 10/01/2010**

Land Use Type (Unit) Residential Units By Size	Persons Per Unit	Cost Per Unit	Credits	Park Impact Fee	Discount	Net Park Impact Fee
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.815	\$1,257.18	\$266.64	\$990.53	\$389.80	\$600.73
Dwelling unit, 801 – 1,399 sq. ft.	2.196	1,521.08	322.62	1,198.46	471.77	726.69
Dwelling unit, 1,400 – 1,999 sq. ft.	2.510	1,738.58	368.75	1,369.83	539.07	830.76
Dwelling unit, 2,000 – 3,599 sq. ft.	2.871	1,988.63	421.78	1,566.84	616.70	950.14
Dwelling unit 3,6000 sq. ft. and over	3,152	2,183.26	463.06	1,720.20	677.01	1,043.19
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	606.08	128.55	477.53	172.86	304.67
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						
Note:						
* Schedule "J" municipality consists of North Palm Beach						

4

**Table 13.B.3-9 – Parks and Recreation Impact Fee Schedule for Schedule “K” Municipality*
Effective 12:01 AM, 10/01/2010**

Land Use Type (Unit) Residential Units By Size	Persons Per Unit	Cost Per Unit	Credits	Park Impact Fee	Discount	Net Park Impact Fee
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.815	\$1,516.31	\$293.08	\$1,223.23	\$370.85	\$852.38
Dwelling unit, 801 – 1,399 sq. ft.	2.196	1,834.60	354.60	1,480.01	448.92	1,031.09
Dwelling unit, 1,400 – 1,999 sq. ft.	2.510	2,096.93	405.30	1,691.63	512.89	1,178.74
Dwelling unit, 2,000 – 3,599 sq. ft.	2.871	2,398.52	463.59	1,934.93	586.80	1,348.13
Dwelling unit 3,6000 sq. ft. and over	3,152	2,633.28	508.97	2,124.31	644.16	1,480.15
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	731.00	141.29	589.71	157.44	432.27
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						
Note:						
* Schedule "K" municipality consists of Ocean Ridge.						

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

(This space intentionally left blank)

Notes:

Underlined indicates **new** text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated to:]**.

~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be **deleted**.

Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated from:]**.

.... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT G

ARTICLE 13 – IMPACT FEES
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 07/13/2012)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

**Table 13.B.3-10 – Parks and Recreation Impact Fee Schedule for Schedule “P” Municipalities*
Effective 12:01 AM, 10/01/2010**

Land Use Type (Unit) Residential Units By Size	Persons Per Unit	Cost Per Unit	Credits	Park Impact Fee	Discount	Net Park Impact Fee
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.815	\$1,383.90	\$279.57	\$1,104.33	\$312.56	\$791.77
Dwelling unit, 801 - 1,399 sq. ft.	2.196	1,674.41	338.26	1,336.15	378.37	957.78
Dwelling unit, 1,400 – 1,999 sq. ft.	2.510	1,913.82	386.62	1,527.20	432.28	1,094.92
Dwelling unit, 2,000 - 3,599 sq. ft.	2.871	2,189.08	442.23	1,746.85	494.58	1,252.27
Dwelling unit 3,6000 sq. ft. and over	3,152	2,403.34	485.51	1,917.83	542.92	1,374.91
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	667.17	134.78	532.39	130.85	401.54
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						
Note:						
* Schedule "P" municipalities consist of Briny Breezes, Juno Beach, Jupiter Inlet Colony, and Palm Beach Shores.						

**Table 13.B.3-11 – Parks and Recreation Impact Fee Schedule for Schedule “W” Municipalities*
Effective 12:01 AM, 10/01/2010**

Land Use Type (Unit) Residential Units By Size	Persons Per Unit	Cost Per Unit	Credits	Park Impact Fee	Discount	Net Park Impact Fee
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.815	\$1055.73	\$246.10	\$809.63	\$234.60	\$575.03
Dwelling unit, 801 – 1,399 sq. ft.	2.196	1,277.35	297.76	979.59	284.00	695.59
Dwelling unit, 1,400 - 1,999 sq. ft.	2.510	1,459.99	340.33	1,119.66	324.46	795.20
Dwelling unit, 2,000 - 3,599 sq. ft.	2.871	1,669.97	389.28	1,280.69	371.22	909.47
Dwelling unit 3,6000 sq. ft. and over	3,152	1,833.42	427.38	1,406.04	407.50	998.54
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	508.96	118.64	390.32	98.70	291.62
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						
Note:						
* Schedule "W" municipality is Lantana.						

**Table 13.B.3-12 – Parks and Recreation Impact Fee Schedule for Schedule “X” Municipality*
Effective 12:01 AM, 10/01/2010**

Land Use Type (Unit) Residential Units By Size	Persons Per Unit	Cost Per Unit	Credits	Park Impact Fee	Discount	Net Park Impact Fee
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.815	\$957.85	\$236.11	\$721.74	\$224.78	\$496.96
Dwelling unit, 801 - 1,399 sq. ft.	2.196	1,158.92	285.68	873.24	272.08	601.16
Dwelling unit, 1,400 - 1,999 sq. ft.	2.510	1,324.63	326.52	998.10	310.86	687.24
Dwelling unit, 2,000 - 3,599 sq. ft.	2.871	1,515.14	373.49	1,141.65	355.65	786.00
Dwelling unit, 3,600 sq. ft. and over	3,152	1,663.44	410.04	1,253.39	390.43	862.96
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	461.77	113.83	347.94	95.91	252.03
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						
Note:						
* Schedule "X" municipality is Palm Beach.						

**Table 13.B.3-13 – Parks and Recreation Impact Fee Schedule for Schedule “Y” Municipalities*
Effective 12:01 AM, 10/01/2010**

Residential Units By Size Land Use Type (Unit)	Persons Per Unit	Cost Per Unit	Credit	Park Impact Fee	Discount	Net Park Impact Fee
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.815	\$859.97	\$226.13	\$633.84	\$214.95	\$418.89
Dwelling unit, 801 – 1,399 sq. ft.	2.196	1,040.49	273.60	766.89	260.17	506.72
Dwelling unit, 1,400 - 1,999 sq. ft.	2.510	1,189.26	312.72	876.55	297.28	579.27
Dwelling unit, 2,000 - 3,599 sq. ft.	2.871	1,360.31	357.69	1,002.61	340.08	662.53
Dwelling unit, 3,600 sq. ft. and over	3,152	1,493.45	392.70	1,100.75	373.34	727.41
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	414.58	109.02	305.57	93.13	212.44
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						
Note:						
* Schedule "Y" municipalities consist of Riviera Beach, Boca Raton, Boynton Beach, Delray Beach, Lake Worth, and Jupiter.						

(This space intentionally left blank)

Notes:

Underlined indicates **new** text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated to:]**.
~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be **deleted**.
Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated from:]**.
.... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT G

ARTICLE 13 – IMPACT FEES
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 07/13/2012)

1

Table 13.B.3-2 - Parks and Recreation Fee Schedule for Unincorporated PBC
Effective 12:01 AM, 04/01/2013

<u>Land Use Type (Unit)</u> <u>Residential Units By Size</u>	<u>Persons</u> <u>Per Unit</u>	<u>Cost</u> <u>Per Unit</u>	<u>Credits</u>	<u>Park</u> <u>Impact Fee</u>	<u>Discount</u>	<u>Net Park</u> <u>Impact Fee</u>
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.555	\$623.09	\$111.95	\$511.14	\$25.56	\$485.58
Dwelling unit, 801 – 1,399 sq. ft.	3.105	1,244.42	223.58	1,020.84	51.04	969.80
Dwelling unit, 1,400 – 1,999 sq. ft.	3.221	1,290.80	231.92	1,058.88	52.94	1,005.94
Dwelling unit, 2,000 – 3,599 sq. ft.	3.374	1,362.04	242.92	1,109.12	55.46	1,053.66
Dwelling unit, 3,600 sq. ft. and over	3.516	1,408.99	253.15	1,155.84	57.79	1,098.05
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	350.63	63.00	287.63	14.38	273.25
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						

2

Table 13.B.3-3 Park & Recreation Impact Fee Table For Schedule "A" Municipalities*
Effective 12:01 AM, 04/01/2013

<u>Land Use Type (Unit)</u> <u>Residential Units By Size</u>	<u>Persons</u> <u>Per Unit</u>	<u>Cost</u> <u>Per Unit</u>	<u>Credits</u>	<u>Park</u> <u>Impact Fee</u>	<u>Discount</u>	<u>Net Park</u> <u>Impact Fee</u>
Dwelling Unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.555	\$623.09	\$111.95	\$511.14	\$24.80	\$486.34
Dwelling Unit, 801 - 1,399 sq. ft.	3.105	1,244.42	223.58	1,020.84	51.04	969.80
Dwelling Unit, 1,400 - 1,999 sq. ft.	3.221	1,290.80	231.92	1,058.88	137.57	921.31
Dwelling Unit, 2,000 - 3,599 sq. ft.	3.374	1,352.04	242.92	1,109.12	55.46	1,053.66
Dwelling Unit, 3,600 sq. ft. and over	3.516	1,408.99	253.15	1,155.84	57.79	1,098.05
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	350.63	63.00	287.63	14.38	273.25
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						
Note:						
* Schedule "A" municipalities consist of Atlantis, Cloud Lake, Glen Ridge, Village of Golf, Haverhill, Hypoluxo, Lake Clark Shores, Loxahatchee Groves, and Mangonia Park.						

3

Table 13.B.3-4 - Parks and Recreation Impact Fee Schedule for Schedule "B" Municipalities*
Effective 12:01 AM, 04/01/2013

<u>Land Use Type (Unit)</u> <u>Residential Units By Size</u>	<u>Persons</u> <u>Per Unit</u>	<u>Cost</u> <u>Per Unit</u>	<u>Credits</u>	<u>Park</u> <u>Impact Fee</u>	<u>Discount</u>	<u>Net Park</u> <u>Impact Fee</u>
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.555	\$586.09	\$108.51	\$477.58	\$23.88	\$453.70
Dwelling unit, 801 - 1,399 sq. ft.	3.105	1,170.55	216.71	953.84	47.69	906.11
Dwelling unit, 1,400 - 1,999 sq. ft.	3.221	1,214.17	224.79	989.38	49.47	939.91
Dwelling unit, 2,000 - 3,599 sq. ft.	3.374	1,271.77	235.45	1,036.32	51.82	984.50
Dwelling unit 3,6000 sq. ft. and over	3.516	1,325.34	245.37	1,079.97	54.00	1,025.97
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	329.81	61.06	268.75	13.44	255.31
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						
Note:						
Schedule "B" municipalities consist of Greenacres, Lake Park, and Palm Springs.						

4

5

Table 13.B.3-5 - Parks and Recreation Impact Fee Schedule for Schedule "E" Municipalities*
Effective Date 12:01 AM, 04/01/2013

<u>Land Use Type (Unit)</u> <u>Residential Units By Size</u>	<u>Persons</u> <u>Per Unit</u>	<u>Cost</u> <u>Per Unit</u>	<u>Credits</u>	<u>Park Impact</u> <u>Fee</u>	<u>Discount</u>	<u>Net Park</u> <u>Impact Fee</u>
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.555	\$475.14	\$98.19	\$376.95	\$18.85	\$358.10
Dwelling unit, 801 - 1,399 sq. ft.	3.105	948.94	196.10	752.84	37.64	715.20
Dwelling unit, 1,400 - 1,999 sq. ft.	3.221	984.30	203.41	780.89	39.04	741.85
Dwelling unit, 2,000 - 3,599 sq. ft.	3.374	1,031.00	213.06	817.94	40.90	777.04
Dwelling unit 3,6000 sq. ft. and over	3.516	1,074.43	222.04	852.39	42.62	809.77
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	267.37	55.25	212.12	10.61	201.51
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						
Note:						
* Schedule "E" municipalities consist of, Palm Beach Gardens, Royal Palm Beach, Wellington, and West Palm Beach						

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

(This space intentionally left blank)

Notes:

Underlined indicates **new** text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated to:]**.

~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be **deleted**.

Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated from:]**.

.... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT G

ARTICLE 13 – IMPACT FEES
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 07/13/2012)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

Table 13.B.3-6 - Parks and Recreation Impact Fee for Schedule “F” Municipalities*
Effective 12:01 AM, 04/01/2013

<u>Land Use Type (Unit)</u> <u>Residential Units By Size</u>	<u>Persons Per Unit</u>	<u>Cost Per Unit</u>	<u>Credits</u>	<u>Park Impact Fee</u>	<u>Discount</u>	<u>Net Park Impact Fee</u>
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.555	\$576.31	\$107.60	\$ 468.71	\$23.44	\$445.27
Dwelling unit, 801 - 1,399 sq. ft.	3.105	1,151.01	214.90	936.11	46.81	889.30
Dwelling unit, 1,400 - 1,999 sq. ft.	3.221	1,193.91	222.91	971.00	48.55	922.45
Dwelling unit, 2,000 - 3,599 sq. ft.	3.374	1,250.55	233.48	1,017.07	50.85	966.22
Dwelling unit 3,6000 sq. ft. and over	3.516	1,303.22	243.31	1,059.91	53.00	1,006.91
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	324.31	60.55	263.76	13.19	250.57
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						
Note:						
* Schedule "F" municipalities consist of Gulfstream, Highland Beach, Manalapan, and South Palm Beach.						

Table 13.B.3-7 - Parks and Recreation Impact Fee Schedule for Schedule “I” Municipality*
Effective 12:01 AM, 04/01/2013

<u>Land Use Type (Unit)</u> <u>Residential Units By Size</u>	<u>Persons Per Unit</u>	<u>Cost Per Unit</u>	<u>Credits</u>	<u>Park Impact Fee</u>	<u>Discount</u>	<u>Net Park Impact Fee</u>
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.555	\$465.36	\$97.28	\$368.08	\$18.40	\$349.68
Dwelling unit, 801 - 1,399 sq. ft.	3.105	929.41	194.29	735.12	36.77	698.35
Dwelling unit, 1,400 - 1,999 sq. ft.	3.221	964.04	201.53	762.51	38.13	724.38
Dwelling unit, 2,000 - 3,599 sq. ft.	3.374	1,009.78	211.09	798.69	39.93	758.76
Dwelling unit 3,6000 sq. ft. and over	3.516	1,052.31	219.98	832.33	41.62	790.71
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	261.87	54.74	207.13	10.36	196.77
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						
Note:						
* Schedule "I" municipalities consist of Tequesta.						

Table 13.B.3-8 - Parks and Recreation Impact Fee Schedule for Schedule “J” Municipality*
Effective 12:01 AM, 04/01/2013

<u>Land Use Type (Unit)</u> <u>Residential Units By Size</u>	<u>Persons Per Unit</u>	<u>Cost Per Unit</u>	<u>Credits</u>	<u>Park Impact Fee</u>	<u>Discount</u>	<u>Net Park Impact Fee</u>
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.555	\$428.36	\$93.84	\$334.52	\$16.73	\$317.79
Dwelling unit, 801 - 1,399 sq. ft.	3.105	855.53	187.42	668.11	33.41	634.70
Dwelling unit, 1,400 - 1,999 sq. ft.	3.221	887.41	194.40	693.01	34.65	658.36
Dwelling unit, 2,000 - 3,599 sq. ft.	3.374	929.51	203.62	725.89	36.29	689.60
Dwelling unit 3,6000 sq. ft. and over	3.516	968.66	212.20	756.46	37.82	718.64
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	241.05	52.81	188.24	9.41	178.83
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						
Note:						
* Schedule "J" municipality consists of North Palm Beach						

Table 13.B.3-9 - Parks and Recreation Impact Fee Schedule for Schedule “K” Municipality*
Effective 12:01 AM, 04/01/2013

<u>Land Use Type (Unit)</u> <u>Residential Units By Size</u>	<u>Persons Per Unit</u>	<u>Cost Per Unit</u>	<u>Credits</u>	<u>Park Impact Fee</u>	<u>Discount</u>	<u>Net Park Impact Fee</u>
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.555	\$529.54	\$103.25	\$426.29	\$21.31	\$404.98
Dwelling unit, 801 - 1,399 sq. ft.	3.105	1,057.60	206.21	851.39	42.57	808.82
Dwelling unit, 1,400 - 1,999 sq. ft.	3.221	1,097.02	213.90	883.12	44.16	838.96
Dwelling unit, 2,000 - 3,599 sq. ft.	3.374	1,149.06	224.04	925.02	46.25	878.77
Dwelling unit 3,6000 sq. ft. and over	3.516	1,197.46	233.48	963.98	48.20	915.78
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	297.99	58.10	58.10	2.91	55.19
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						
Note:						
* Schedule "K" municipality consists of Ocean Ridge.						

(This space intentionally left blank)

Notes:

Underlined indicates **new** text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets [**Relocated to:**].
~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be **deleted**.
Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets [**Relocated from:**].
.... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT G

ARTICLE 13 – IMPACT FEES
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 07/13/2012)

1

Table 13.B.3-10 - Parks and Recreation Impact Fee Schedule for Schedule “P” Municipalities*
Effective 12:01 AM, 04/01/2013

<u>Land Use Type (Unit)</u> <u>Residential Units By Size</u>	<u>Persons Per Unit</u>	<u>Cost Per Unit</u>	<u>Credits</u>	<u>Park Impact Fee</u>	<u>Discount</u>	<u>Net Park Impact Fee</u>
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.555	\$482.75	\$98.90	\$383.85	\$19.19	\$364.66
Dwelling unit, 801 - 1,399 sq. ft.	3.105	964.16	197.52	766.64	38.33	728.31
Dwelling unit, 1,400 - 1,999 sq. ft.	3.221	1,000.09	204.88	795.21	39.76	755.45
Dwelling unit, 2,000 - 3,599 sq. ft.	3.374	1,047.53	214.60	832.93	41.65	791.28
Dwelling unit 3,6000 sq. ft. and over	3.516	1091.65	223.64	868.01	43.40	824.61
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	271.66	55.65	216.01	10.80	205.21
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						
Note:						
* Schedule "P" municipalities consist of Briny Breezes, Juno Beach, Jupiter Inlet Colony, and Palm Beach Shores.						

2

Table 13.B.3-11 - Parks and Recreation Impact Fee Schedule for Schedule “W” Municipality*
Effective 12:01 AM, 04/01/2013

<u>Land Use Type (Unit)</u> <u>Residential Units By Size</u>	<u>Persons Per Unit</u>	<u>Cost Per Unit</u>	<u>Credits</u>	<u>Park Impact Fee</u>	<u>Discount</u>	<u>Net Park Impact Fee</u>
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.555	\$362.02	\$87.67	\$274.35	\$13.72	\$260.63
Dwelling unit, 801 - 1,399 sq. ft.	3.105	723.02	175.09	547.93	27.40	520.53
Dwelling unit, 1,400 - 1,999 sq. ft.	3.221	749.96	181.62	568.34	28.42	539.92
Dwelling unit, 2,000 - 3,599 sq. ft.	3.374	785.54	190.24	595.30	29.78	565.52
Dwelling unit 3,6000 sq. ft. and over	3.516	818.63	198.25	620.38	31.02	589.36
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	203.72	49.33	154.39	7.72	146.67
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						
Note:						
* Schedule "W" municipality is Lantana.						

3

Table 13.B.3-12 - Parks and Recreation Impact Fee Schedule for Schedule “X” Municipality*
Effective 12:01 AM, 04/01/2013

<u>Land Use Type (Unit)</u> <u>Residential Units By Size</u>	<u>Persons Per Unit</u>	<u>Cost Per Unit</u>	<u>Credits</u>	<u>Park Impact Fee</u>	<u>Discount</u>	<u>Net Park Impact Fee</u>
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.555	\$325.02	\$84.23	\$240.79	\$12.04	\$228.75
Dwelling unit, 801 - 1,399 sq. ft.	3.105	649.14	168.22	480.92	24.05	456.87
Dwelling unit, 1,400 - 1,999 sq. ft.	3.221	673.33	174.49	498.84	24.94	473.90
Dwelling unit, 2,000 - 3,599 sq. ft.	3.374	705.27	182.77	522.50	26.13	496.37
Dwelling unit, 3,600 sq. ft. and over	3.516	734.98	190.47	544.51	27.22	517.29
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	182.90	47.40	135.50	6.78	128.72
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						
Note:						
* Schedule "X" municipality is Palm Beach.						

4

Table 13.B.3-13 - Parks and Recreation Impact Fee Schedule for Schedule “Y” Municipalities*
Effective 12:01 AM, 04/01/2013

<u>Land Use Type (Unit)</u> <u>Residential Units By Size</u>	<u>Persons Per Unit</u>	<u>Cost Per Unit</u>	<u>Credits</u>	<u>Park Impact Fee</u>	<u>Discount</u>	<u>Net Park Impact Fee</u>
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and under	1.555	\$288.03	\$80.79	\$207.24	\$10.36	\$196.88
Dwelling unit, 801 - 1,399 sq. ft.	3.105	575.26	161.35	413.91	20.70	393.21
Dwelling unit, 1,400 - 1,999 sq. ft.	3.221	598.70	167.37	429.33	21.47	407.86
Dwelling unit, 2,000 - 3,599 sq. ft.	3.374	625.00	175.30	449.70	22.49	427.21
Dwelling unit, 3,600 sq. ft. and over	3.516	651.33	182.69	468.64	23.43	445.21
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.875	162.09	45.46	116.63	5.83	110.80
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						
Note:						
* Schedule "Y" municipalities consist of Riviera Beach, Boca Raton, Boynton Beach, Delray Beach, Lake Worth, and Jupiter.						

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

(This space intentionally left blank)

Notes:

- Underlined indicates **new** text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated to:]**.
- ~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be **deleted**.
- Italicized* indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated from:]**.
- A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT G

ARTICLE 13 – IMPACT FEES
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 07/13/2012)

1 Part 2. ULDC Table 13.C.2-14 – Fire Rescue Fee Schedule (page 26 of 45), is hereby amended
2 as follows:
3

4 Reason for amendments: [OFMB] Changes to Fire Rescue impact fee schedule.

**Table 13.C.2-14 – Fire Rescue Fee Schedule
Effective 12:01 AM, 10/01/2010**

Land Use Type (Unit) Residential Units, by Type	Calls For Service	Cost Per Unit	Credits	Fire-Rescue Impact Fee	Adjustment	Net Fire- Rescue Impact Fee
Single Family Detached	0.3810	\$732.66	\$0.00	\$732.66	\$204.21	\$528.45
Single Family Attached	0.3810	732.66	0.00	732.66	204.21	528.45
Multi-Family	0.1560	300.08	0.00	300.08	51.66	248.42
Mobile Home	0.1560	300.08	0.00	300.08	51.66	248.42
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.6044	1,162.20	0.00	1,162.20	207.56	954.64
Non-Residential						
Office 100,000 sq. ft. & Under	0.0874	\$168.06	\$0.00	\$168.06	\$17.52	\$150.54
100,001 – 125,000 sq. ft.	0.0874	168.06	0.00	168.06	17.52	150.54
125,001 – 150,000	0.0874	168.06	0.00	168.06	17.52	150.54
150,001 – 175,000	0.0874	168.06	0.00	168.06	17.52	150.54
175,001 – 199,999	0.0874	168.06	0.00	168.06	17.52	150.54
Medical Office	0.0874	168.06	0.00	168.06	17.52	150.54
Warehouse Per 1,000 sq. ft	0.0310	59.57	0.00	59.57	5.49	54.08
Gen. Industrial Per 1,000 Ft	0.1110	213.45	0.00	213.45	68.27	145.18
Retail Per 1,000 Sq. Ft.						
Retail Per 1,000 Sq. Ft.	0.1467	\$282.18	\$0.00	\$282.18	\$55.93	\$226.25
80,000 sq. ft. & Under	0.1467	282.18	0.00	282.18	55.93	226.25
80,001 – 99,999	0.1467	282.18	0.00	282.18	55.93	226.25
100,000 – 199,999	0.1467	282.18	0.00	282.18	55.93	226.25
200,000 – 499,999	0.1467	282.18	0.00	282.18	55.93	226.25
500,000 – 999,999	0.1467	282.18	0.00	282.18	55.93	226.25
1,000,000 & Over	0.1467	282.18	0.00	282.18	55.93	226.25
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						

5

**Table 13.C.2-14 – Fire Rescue Fee Schedule
Effective 12:01 AM, 04/01/2013**

Land Use Type (Unit) Residential Units, by Type	Calls For Service	Cost Per Unit	Credits	Fire-Rescue Impact Fee	Adjustment	Net Fire- Rescue Impact Fee
Single Family Detached	0.4040	\$556.26	\$0.00	\$556.26	\$556.26	\$0.00
Single Family Attached	0.4040	556.26	0.00	556.26	556.26	0.00
Multi-Family	0.1899	261.49	0.00	261.49	261.49	0.00
Mobile Home	0.1899	261.49	0.00	261.49	261.49	0.00
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.7299	1,004.88	0.00	1,004.88	1004.88	0.00
Non-Residential						
Office 100,000 sq. ft. & Under	0.1151	\$158.46	\$0.00	\$158.46	\$158.46	\$0.00
100,001 - 125,000 sq. ft.	0.1151	158.46	0.00	158.46	158.46	0.00
125,001 - 150,000	0.1151	158.46	0.00	158.46	158.46	0.00
150,001 - 175,000	0.1151	158.46	0.00	158.46	158.46	0.00
175,001 - 199,999	0.1151	158.46	0.00	158.46	158.46	0.00
Medical Office	0.1151	158.46	0.00	158.46	158.46	0.00
Warehouse Per 1,000 sq. ft	0.0414	56.93	0.00	56.93	56.93	0.00
Gen. Industrial Per 1,000 Ft	0.1110	152.82	0.00	152.82	152.82	0.00
Retail Per 1,000 Sq. Ft.						
Retail Per 1,000 Sq. Ft.	0.1730	\$238.16	\$0.00	\$238.16	\$238.16	\$0.00
80,000 sq. ft. & Under	0.1730	238.16	0.00	238.16	238.16	0.00
80,001 - 99,999	0.1730	238.16	0.00	238.16	238.16	0.00
100,000 – 199,999	0.1730	238.16	0.00	238.16	238.16	0.00
200,000 – 499,999	0.1730	238.16	0.00	238.16	238.16	0.00
500,000 – 999,999	0.1730	238.16	0.00	238.16	238.16	0.00
1,000,000 & Over	0.1730	238.16	0.00	238.16	238.16	0.00
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						

6
7
8

Notes:

Underlined indicates **new** text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets [Relocated to:].
~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be **deleted**.
Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets [Relocated from:].
.... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT G

ARTICLE 13 – IMPACT FEES
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 07/13/2012)

1
2 Part 3. ULDC Table 13.D.2-15 – Library Fee Schedule (page 29 of 45), is hereby amended as
3 follows:
4

Reason for Amendments: [OFMB] Changes to Library impact fee schedule.

~~Table 13.D.2-15 – Library Fee Schedule~~
~~Effective 12:01 AM, 10/01/2010~~

Land Use Type (Unit) Residential Units by sq. ft	Functional Population	Cost Per Unit	Credits	Library Impact Fee	Discount	Net Library Impact Fee
Dwelling units:						
800 sq. ft. and Under	1.815	\$309.55	\$77.80	\$231.75	\$130.06	\$101.69
801 - 1,399	2.196	374.53	94.13	280.40	157.38	123.02
1,400 - 1,999	2.510	428.08	107.59	320.49	179.86	140.63
2,000 - 3,599	2.871	489.65	123.07	366.58	205.74	160.84
3,600 and Over	3.152	537.57	135.11	402.46	225.87	176.59
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						

Table 13.D.2-15 - Library Fee Schedule
Effective 12:01 AM, 04/01/2013

<u>Land Use Type (Unit)</u> <u>Residential Units by sq. ft</u>	<u>Functional</u> <u>Population</u>	<u>Cost</u> <u>Per Unit</u>	<u>Credits</u>	<u>Library</u> <u>Impact Fee</u>	<u>Discount</u>	<u>Net Library</u> <u>Impact Fee</u>
<u>Dwelling units:</u>						
<u>800 sq. ft. and Under</u>	<u>1.555</u>	<u>\$258.89</u>	<u>\$84.60</u>	<u>\$174.29</u>	<u>\$8.71</u>	<u>\$165.58</u>
<u>801 - 1,399</u>	<u>3.105</u>	<u>517.06</u>	<u>168.97</u>	<u>348.09</u>	<u>17.40</u>	<u>330.69</u>
<u>1,400 - 1,999</u>	<u>3.221</u>	<u>536.33</u>	<u>175.26</u>	<u>361.07</u>	<u>18.05</u>	<u>343.02</u>
<u>2,000 - 3,599</u>	<u>3.374</u>	<u>561.77</u>	<u>183.58</u>	<u>378.19</u>	<u>18.91</u>	<u>359.28</u>
<u>3,600 and Over</u>	<u>3.516</u>	<u>585.44</u>	<u>191.31</u>	<u>394.13</u>	<u>19.71</u>	<u>374.42</u>
<u>[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]</u>						

(This space intentionally left blank)

Notes:

Underlined indicates **new** text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets [**Relocated to:**].
~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be **deleted**.
Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets [**Relocated from:**].
.... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT G

ARTICLE 13 – IMPACT FEES
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 07/13/2012)

Part 4. ULDC Table 13.E.2-17 – Law Enforcement Patrol Fee Schedule for Unin. PBC Benefit Zone 2 (page 33 of 45), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendments: [OFMB] Changes to Law Enforcement impact fee schedule.

~~Table 13.E.2-17 – Law Enforcement Patrol Fee Schedule for Unin. PBC Benefit Zone 2 Effective 12:01 AM, 10/01/2010~~

Land Use Type (Unit) Residential units by sq. ft.	Service Calls	Cost Per Unit	Credits	Law Enforcement Impact Fee	Discount	Net Law Enforcement Impact Fee
Single Family, Detached	3.206	\$248.60	\$5.47	\$243.13	\$73.52	\$169.61
Single Family, Attached	3.206	248.60	5.14	243.13	73.52	169.61
Multi-Family	0.906	70.25	1.55	68.70	22.35	46.35
Mobile Home	0.906	70.25	1.55	68.70	22.35	46.35
Hotel/Motel	1.099	85.23	1.88	83.35	29.24	54.11
Non-Residential per 1,000 sq.ft.						
Office:						
100,000 & Under	0.338	\$26.18	\$0.58	\$25.60	\$1.28	\$24.32
100,001 – 125,000	0.338	26.18	0.58	25.60	1.28	24.32
125,001 – 150,000	0.338	26.18	0.58	25.60	1.28	24.32
150,001 – 175,000	0.338	26.18	0.58	25.60	1.28	24.32
175,001 – 199,999	0.338	26.18	0.58	25.60	1.28	24.32
200,000 & Over	0.338	26.18	0.58	25.60	1.28	24.32
Medical Office	0.338	26.18	0.58	25.60	1.28	24.32
Warehouse Per 1,000 Ft.	0.378	29.29	0.64	28.65	14.82	13.83
Gen. Industrial Per 1,000 Ft.	0.988	76.56	1.68	74.88	70.00	4.88
Retail Per 1,000 Ft.						
80,000 & Under	0.737	\$57.11	\$1.26	\$55.85	\$2.79	\$53.06
80,001 – 99,999	0.737	57.11	1.26	55.85	2.79	53.06
100,000 – 199,999	0.737	57.11	1.26	55.85	2.79	53.06
200,000 – 499,999	0.737	57.11	1.26	55.85	2.79	53.06
500,000 – 999,999	0.737	57.11	1.26	55.85	2.79	53.06
1,000,000 & Over	0.737	57.11	1.26	55.85	2.79	53.06
	0.737	57.11	1.26	55.85	2.79	53.06
[Ord, 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						
Note:						
Includes Cloud Lake, Haverhill, Glen Ridge, and Village of Golf.						

(This space intentionally left blank)

Notes:

Underlined indicates **new** text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated to:]**.
~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be **deleted**.
Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated from:]**.
.... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT G

ARTICLE 13 – IMPACT FEES
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 07/13/2012)

1

**Table 13.E.2-17 – Law Enforcement Patrol Fee Schedule for Unin. PBC Benefit Zone 2
Effective 12:01 AM, 04/01/2013**

<u>Land Use Type (Unit) Residential units by sq. ft.</u>	<u>Service Calls</u>	<u>Cost Per Unit</u>	<u>Credits</u>	<u>Enforcement Impact Fee</u>	<u>Discount</u>	<u>Enforcement Impact Fee</u>
Single Family, Detached	1.712	\$136.67	\$1.78	\$134.89	\$6.74	\$128.15
Single Family, Attached	1.712	136.67	1.78	134.89	6.74	128.15
Multi-Family	1.036	82.67	1.07	81.60	4.08	77.52
Mobile Home	1.036	82.67	1.07	81.60	4.08	77.52
Hotel/Motel	1.404	112.08	1.46	110.62	5.53	105.09
Non-Residential per 1,000 sq.ft.						
Office:						
100,000 & Under	0.140	\$11.17	\$0.15	\$11.02	\$0.55	\$10.47
100,001 - 125,000	0.140	11.17	0.15	11.02	0.55	10.47
125,001 - 150,000	0.140	11.17	0.15	11.02	0.55	10.47
150,001 - 175,000	0.140	11.17	0.15	11.02	0.55	10.47
175,001 - 199,999	0.140	11.17	0.15	11.02	0.55	10.47
200,000 & Over	0.140	11.17	0.15	11.02	0.55	10.47
Medical Office	0.338	11.17	0.15	11.02	0.55	10.47
Warehouse Per 1,000 Ft.	0.501	40.02	0.52	39.50	1.98	37.52
Gen. Industrial Per 1,000 Ft.	0.227	18.10	0.24	17.86	0.89	16.97
Retail Per 1,000 Ft.						
80,000 & Under	0.766	\$61.15	\$0.79	\$60.36	\$3.02	\$57.34
80,001 - 99,999	0.766	61.15	0.79	60.36	3.02	57.34
100,000 - 199,999	0.766	61.15	0.79	60.36	3.02	57.34
200,000 - 499,999	0.766	61.15	0.79	60.36	3.02	57.34
500,000 - 999,999	0.766	61.15	0.79	60.36	3.02	57.34
1,000,000 & Over	0.766	61.15	0.79	60.36	3.02	57.34
.	0.766	61.15	0.79	60.36	3.02	57.34
[Ord, 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						
Note:						
Includes Cloud Lake, Haverhill, Glen Ridge, and Village of Golf.						

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

(This space intentionally left blank)

Notes:

Underlined indicates **new** text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated to:]**.
~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be **deleted**.
Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated from:]**.
.... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT G

ARTICLE 13 – IMPACT FEES
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 07/13/2012)

1
2 Part 5. ULDC Table 13.F.2-18 – Public Buildings Fee Schedule (page 36 of 45), is hereby
3 amended as follows:
4

5 Reason for amendments: [OFMB] Changes to Public Building impact fee schedule.

**Table 13.F.2-18 – Public Buildings Fee Schedule
Effective 12:01 AM, 10/01/2010**

Land Use Type (Unit) Residential units by Sq. Ft.	Functional Population	Cost Per Unit	Credits	Public Buildings Impact Fee	Discount	Net Public Buildings Impact Fee
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and Under	0.9080	\$944.00	\$493.00	\$413.63	\$320.58	\$93.35
Dwelling unit, 801 – 1,399 sq. ft.	1.0980	1,141.00	597.00	544.80	431.86	112.94
Dwelling unit, 1,400 – 1,999 sq. ft.	1.2550	1,305.00	682.00	622.70	493.64	129.06
Dwelling unit, 2,000 – 3,599 sq. ft.	1.4360	1,493.00	780.00	712.51	564.96	147.55
Dwelling unit, 3,600 sq. ft. & Over	1.5760	1,638.00	856.00	781.08	619.00	162.06
Hotel/Motel Per Room	0.3500	364.00	190.00	173.66	135.79	37.87
Non-Residential per 1,000 Sq. Ft.						
Office						
50,000 & Under	0.801	\$833.00	\$435.00	\$397.44	\$310.89	\$86.65
50,001 – 99,999	0.878	913.00	477.00	435.65	340.67	94.98
100,000 – 149,999	1.095	1,138.00	595.00	543.31	424.85	118.46
150,000 – 199,999	1.067	1,109.00	580.00	529.43	414.00	115.43
200,000 – 399,999	1.053	1,095.00	572.00	522.47	408.56	113.91
400,000 – 499,999	1.044	1,085.00	567.00	518.01	405.07	112.94
Medical Office	1.702	1,769.00	771.00	844.49	660.37	184.12
Warehouse Per 1,000 sq. ft.	0.201	209.00	109.00	99.73	76.14	23.59
Gen. Industrial Per 1,000 sq. ft.	0.450	468.00	245.00	223.28	174.59	48.69
Retail Per 1,000 Sq. Ft.						
50,000 Ft. & Under	2.050	\$2,131.00	\$1,114.00	\$1,017.17	\$794.86	\$222.31
50,001 – 99,999	2.001	2,080.00	1087.00	992.85	776.16	216.69
100,000 – 199,999	1.983	2,061.00	1,078.00	983.92	769.40	214.52
200,000 – 299,999	2.178	2,264.00	1,183.00	1,080.68	845.17	235.51
300,000 – 399,999	2.199	2,286.00	1,195.00	1,091.09	853.52	237.57
400,000 – 499,999	2.223	2,311.00	1,208.00	1,103.00	863.06	239.94
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

(This space intentionally left blank)

Notes:

Underlined indicates **new** text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated to:]**.
~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be **deleted**.
Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated from:]**.
.... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT G

ARTICLE 13 – IMPACT FEES
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 07/13/2012)

1

Table 13.F.2-18 – Public Buildings Fee Schedule
Effective 12:01 AM, 01/01/2013

<u>Land Use Type (Unit)</u> <u>Residential units by Sq.Ft.</u>	<u>Functional</u> <u>Population</u>	<u>Cost</u> <u>Per Unit</u>	<u>Credits</u>	<u>Public</u> <u>Buildings</u> <u>Impact Fee</u>	<u>Discount</u>	<u>Net Public</u> <u>Buildings</u> <u>Impact Fee</u>
<u>Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft. and Under</u>	<u>0.7770</u>	<u>\$770</u>	<u>\$304</u>	<u>\$466</u>	<u>\$23.30</u>	<u>\$442.70</u>
<u>Dwelling unit, 801 - 1,399 sq. ft.</u>	<u>1.5530</u>	<u>1,538</u>	<u>607</u>	<u>931</u>	<u>46.55</u>	<u>884.46</u>
<u>Dwelling unit, 1,400 - 1,999 sq. ft.</u>	<u>1.6110</u>	<u>1,596</u>	<u>630</u>	<u>966</u>	<u>48.30</u>	<u>917.70</u>
<u>Dwelling unit, 2,000 - 3,599 sq. ft.</u>	<u>1.6870</u>	<u>1,671</u>	<u>660</u>	<u>1011</u>	<u>50.55</u>	<u>960.45</u>
<u>Dwelling unit, 3,600 sq. ft. & Over</u>	<u>1.7580</u>	<u>1,741</u>	<u>687</u>	<u>1054</u>	<u>52.70</u>	<u>1001.30</u>
<u>Hotel/Motel Per Room</u>	<u>0.3500</u>	<u>347</u>	<u>137</u>	<u>210</u>	<u>10.50</u>	<u>199.50</u>
<u>Non-Residential per 1,000 Sq. Ft.</u>						
<u>Office</u>						
<u>50,000 & Under</u>	<u>0.801</u>	<u>\$793</u>	<u>\$313</u>	<u>\$480</u>	<u>\$24.00</u>	<u>\$456.00</u>
<u>50,001 - 99,999</u>	<u>0.878</u>	<u>870</u>	<u>343</u>	<u>526</u>	<u>26.30</u>	<u>499.70</u>
<u>100,000 - 149,999</u>	<u>1.095</u>	<u>1,085</u>	<u>428</u>	<u>656</u>	<u>32.80</u>	<u>623.20</u>
<u>150,000 - 199,999</u>	<u>1.067</u>	<u>1,057</u>	<u>417</u>	<u>640</u>	<u>32.00</u>	<u>608.00</u>
<u>200,000 - 399,999</u>	<u>1.053</u>	<u>1,095</u>	<u>412</u>	<u>631</u>	<u>31.55</u>	<u>599.45</u>
<u>400,000 - 499,999</u>	<u>1.044</u>	<u>1,034</u>	<u>408</u>	<u>625</u>	<u>31.25</u>	<u>593.75</u>
<u>Medical Office</u>	<u>1.702</u>	<u>1,686</u>	<u>665</u>	<u>1,020</u>	<u>51.00</u>	<u>969.00</u>
<u>Warehouse Per 1,000 sq. ft.</u>	<u>0.201</u>	<u>199</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>121</u>	<u>6.05</u>	<u>114.95</u>
<u>Gen. Industrial Per 1,000 sq. ft.</u>	<u>0.450</u>	<u>446</u>	<u>176</u>	<u>270</u>	<u>13.50</u>	<u>256.50</u>
<u>Retail Per 1,000 Sq. Ft.</u>						
<u>50,000 Ft. & Under</u>	<u>2.050</u>	<u>\$2,030</u>	<u>\$801</u>	<u>\$1,229</u>	<u>\$61.45</u>	<u>\$1,167.55</u>
<u>50,001 - 99,999</u>	<u>2.001</u>	<u>1,982</u>	<u>782</u>	<u>1,200</u>	<u>60.00</u>	<u>1,140.00</u>
<u>100,000 - 199,999</u>	<u>1.983</u>	<u>1,964</u>	<u>775</u>	<u>1,189</u>	<u>59.45</u>	<u>1,129.55</u>
<u>200,000 - 299,999</u>	<u>2.178</u>	<u>2,157</u>	<u>851</u>	<u>1,306</u>	<u>65.30</u>	<u>1,239.70</u>
<u>300,000 - 399,999</u>	<u>2.199</u>	<u>2,178</u>	<u>860</u>	<u>1,318</u>	<u>65.90</u>	<u>1,252.10</u>
<u>400,000 - 499,999</u>	<u>2.223</u>	<u>2,202</u>	<u>869</u>	<u>1,333</u>	<u>66.65</u>	<u>1,266.35</u>
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

(This space intentionally left blank)

Notes:

Underlined indicates **new** text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated to:]**.
~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be **deleted**.
Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated from:]**.
.... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT G

ARTICLE 13 – IMPACT FEES
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 07/13/2012)

1
2 Part 6. ULDC Table 13.G.2-19 – School Fee Schedule (page 39 of 45), is hereby amended as
3 follows:
4

5 Reason for amendments: [OFMB] Changes to School impact fee schedule.

~~Table 13.G.2-19 – School Fee Schedule~~
~~Effective Date 12:01 AM, 10/01/2010~~

Residential units By Square Footage	Average Total Occ.	Occupancy Ages 5-17	School Impact	School Impact Fee	Discount	Net School Impact Fee
Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft and under	1.815	0.113	0.096	\$2,595.26	\$1,828.99	\$766.27
Dwelling unit, 801 - 1,399 sq. ft	2.196	0.286	0.243	6,569.26	4,638.00	1,931.26
Dwelling unit, 1,400 - 1,999 sq. ft.	2.510	0.428	0.364	9,840.37	6,946.67	2,893.70
Dwelling unit, 2,000 - 3,599 sq. ft.	2.871	0.592	0.503	13,598.09	9,600.16	3,997.92
Dwelling unit, 3,600 sq. ft. and over	3.152	0.719	0.611	16,517.76	11,659.29	4,858.47
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]						

6
7 Table 13.G.2-19 – School Fee Schedule
Effective Date 12:01 AM, 04/13/2013

<u>Residential Units By Square Footage</u>	<u>Average Total Occ.</u>	<u>Occupancy Ages 5-17</u>	<u>School Impact</u>	<u>School Impact Fee</u>	<u>Discount</u>	<u>Net School Impact Fee</u>
<u>Dwelling unit, 800 sq. ft and under</u>	<u>1.815</u>	<u>0.113</u>	<u>0.096</u>	<u>\$2,595.26</u>	<u>\$1,828.99</u>	<u>\$766.27</u>
<u>Dwelling unit, 801 - 1,399 sq. ft</u>	<u>2.196</u>	<u>0.286</u>	<u>0.243</u>	<u>6,569.26</u>	<u>4,638.00</u>	<u>1,931.26</u>
<u>Dwelling unit, 1,400 - 1,999 sq. ft.</u>	<u>2.510</u>	<u>0.428</u>	<u>0.364</u>	<u>9,840.37</u>	<u>6,946.67</u>	<u>2,893.70</u>
<u>Dwelling unit, 2,000 - 3,599 sq. ft.</u>	<u>2.871</u>	<u>0.592</u>	<u>0.503</u>	<u>13,598.09</u>	<u>9,600.16</u>	<u>3,997.92</u>
<u>Dwelling unit, 3,600 sq. ft. and over</u>	<u>3.152</u>	<u>0.719</u>	<u>0.611</u>	<u>16,517.76</u>	<u>11,659.29</u>	<u>4,858.47</u>
<u>[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]</u>						

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 (This space intentionally left blank)
26
27

Notes:

Underlined indicates **new** text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets [**Relocated to:**].
~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be **deleted**.
Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets [**Relocated from:**].
.... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT G

ARTICLE 13 – IMPACT FEES
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 07/13/2012)

1
2 Part 7. ULDC Table 13.H.4-20 – Fair Share Road Impact Fee Schedule (page 43 - 44 of 45), is
3 hereby amended as follows:
4

5 Reason for amendments: [OFMB] Changes to Fair Share Road impact fee schedule.

Table 13.H.4-20 – Fair Share Road Impact Fee Schedule
Effective 12:01 AM, 10/01/2010

Type of Land Development Activity	Official Daily Trip Generation Per Rate Dwelling Unit or Area	Pass-By Trip Rate (percentage)	Gross Impact Fee	Discount	Net Road Impact Fee Per Unit
Residential:					
Single family detached (per unit)	10	0 percent	\$7,348.00	\$2,526.44	\$4,821.56
Single family Attached (per unit)	7	0 percent	5,149.00	1,773.91	3,375.09
Congregate Living Facility (per unit)	2.02	0 percent	1,497.00	460.66	1,036.34
Mobile Home (in mobile home park)	5	0 percent	3,674.00	1,263.22	2,410.78
Over 55 Restricted SFD-Detached	8	0 percent	5,880.00	2,022.75	3,857.25
Over 55 Restricted Attached Home	6	0 percent	4,410.00	1,517.06	2,892.94
Nonresidential per 1000 sq. ft.:					
Drive-in Bank	148.15	47 percent	\$19,260.00	\$672.00	\$18,297.00
Mini-Warehouse	2.50	10 percent	549.00	167.29	381.71
Hotel per room	8.92	10 percent	1,964.00	602.07	1,361.93
Movie Theater per seat	1.80	5 percent	410.00	127.14	282.86
Racquet Club per court	38.70	5 percent	9,018.00	2,508.89	6,509.11
Church/Synagogue	9.11	5 percent	2,122.00	657.85	1,464.15
Day Care Center	79.26	50 percent	9,732.00	815.01	8,916.99
Quality Restaurant	89.95	44 percent	12,356.00	618.00	11,738.00
High Turnover Sit-Down Restaurant	127.15	43 percent	17,777.00	889.00	16,888.00
New Car Sales	33.34	15 percent	6,960.00	1,234.40	5,725.60
Office Building-Medical	36.13	10 percent	7,982.00	2,465.57	5,516.43
Hospital	16.50	10 percent	3,633.00	1,070.98	2,562.02
Nursing Home	2.37	10 percent	523.00	26.00	497.00
Warehouse (per 1,000 sq. ft.)	3.56	10 percent	786.00	39.00	747.00
General Industrial (Light)	6.97	10 percent	1,531.00	466.80	1,064.20
General Office:					
10,000 sq. ft.	22.60	10 percent	\$4,993.00	\$1,542.34	\$3,450.66
50,000 sq. ft.	15.59	10 percent	3,439.00	1058.68	2,380.32
100,000 sq. ft.	13.27	10 percent	2,928.00	901.90	2,026.10
150,000 sq. ft.	12.08	10 percent	2,675.00	830.59	1,844.41
200,000 sq. ft.	11.30	10 percent	2,497.00	771.67	1,725.33
400,000 sq. ft.	9.62	10 percent	2,122.00	653.18	1,468.82
500,000 sq. ft.	9.14	10 percent	2,027.00	631.47	1,395.53
600,000 sq. ft.	8.76	10 percent	1,923.00	585.49	1,337.51
700,000 sq. ft.	8.45	10 percent	1,868.00	577.90	1,290.10
800,000 sq. ft.	8.19	10 percent	1,808.00	557.52	1,250.48
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]					

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13 (This space intentionally left blank)
14
15

Notes:
Underlined indicates **new** text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated to:]**.
~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be **deleted**.
Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated from:]**.
.... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT G

ARTICLE 13 – IMPACT FEES
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 07/13/2012)

1
2

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

Table 13.H.4-20 – Fair Share Road Impact Fee Schedule – Continued
Effective 12:01 AM, 10/01/2010

Type of Land Development Activity	Official Daily Trip Generation Per Rate Dwelling Unit or Area	Pass-By Trip Rate (percentage)	Gross Impact Fee	Discount	Net Road Impact Fee Per Unit
General Commercial Retail:					
10,000 sq. ft. & Under	152.03	62 percent	\$14,246.00	\$712.30	\$13,533.70
50,000 sq. ft.	86.56	47 percent	11,304.00	3,445.89	7,858.11
75,000 sq. ft.	75.1	44 percent	10,482.00	3,610.37	6,871.63
100,000 sq. ft.	67.91	40 percent	9,949.00	3,693.01	6,255.99
200,000 sq. ft.	53.28	34 percent	8,651.00	3,570.25	5,080.75
300,000 sq. ft.	46.23	30 percent	7,920.00	3,360.93	4,559.07
400,000 sq. ft.	41.80	27 percent	7,426.00	3,157.82	4,268.18
500,000 sq. ft.	38.66	25 percent	7,076.00	2,999.76	4,076.24
600,000 sq. ft.	36.27	24 percent	6,778.00	2,824.86	3,953.14
800,000 sq. ft.	32.80	21 percent	6,346.00	2,544.03	3,801.97
1,000,000 sq. ft.	30.33	19 percent	6,035.00	2,308.09	3,726.91
1,200,000 sq. ft.	28.46	17 percent	5,778.00	2,083.19	3,694.81
Non-Residential Short Trips:					
Fast Food Restaurant	496.12	49 percent	\$31,031.00	\$9,103.67	\$21,927.33
Service Station per fueling position	168.56	50 percent	10,336.00	4,917.85	5,418.15
Convenience Store	737.99	61 percent	35,299.00	11,577.24	23,721.76
Pharmacy with Drive-Thru	88.16	50 percent	5,405.00	935.41	4,469.59
The cost per vehicular trip is:					
Residential:	6 mile trip length	Gross	Net		
Non-Residential:	2 mile trip length	\$735.87	\$482.16		
Non-Residential, short trip:	1 mile trip length	245.29	169.65		
		122.64	110.49		
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]					
Notes					
** Interpolation between impact fee amounts presented in the examples is acceptable in lieu of the calculation for that development whose square footage is in the range between example square footages. The formulae are as follows:					
1 Office Total Daily Trips = Ln (T) = 0.77 Ln (X) + 3.65 T = Total Daily Trips, X = Area in 1,000 sq. ft., Ln = Natural Logarithm					
2 General Commercial Total Daily Trips = Ln (T) = 0.65 Ln (X) + 5.83 T = Total Daily Trips, X = Area in 1,000 sq. ft., Ln = Natural Logarithm					
3 Pass-by percent Formula (for general commercial): Pass-by percent = [.8318 - (.093*LN (A))*100% (10,000 square feet or less capped at 62%) A = Area in 1,000 sq. ft. of leasable area					

(This space intentionally left blank)

Notes:

Underlined indicates **new** text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated to:]**.
~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be **deleted**.
Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated from:]**.
.... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT G

ARTICLE 13 – IMPACT FEES
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 07/13/2012)

1

Table 13.H.4-20 – Fair Share Road Impact Fee Schedule
Effective 12:01 AM, 04/01/2013

<u>Type of Land Development Activity</u>	<u>Official Daily Trip Generation Per Rate Dwelling Unit or Area</u>	<u>Pass-By Trip Rate (percentage)</u>	<u>Gross Impact Fee</u>	<u>Discount</u>	<u>Net Road Impact Fee Per Unit</u>
Residential:					
<u>Single family detached (per unit)</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>0 percent</u>	<u>\$8,592</u>	<u>\$429.60</u>	<u>\$8,162.40</u>
<u>Single family Attached (per unit)</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>0 percent</u>	<u>6,020</u>	<u>301.00</u>	<u>5,719.00</u>
<u>Congregate Living Facility (per unit)</u>	<u>2.02</u>	<u>0 percent</u>	<u>1,749</u>	<u>87.45</u>	<u>1,661.55</u>
<u>Mobile Home (in mobile home park)</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0 percent</u>	<u>4,297</u>	<u>214.85</u>	<u>4,082.15</u>
<u>Over 55 Restricted SFD-Detached</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>0 percent</u>	<u>6,874</u>	<u>343.70</u>	<u>6,530.30</u>
<u>Over 55 Restricted Attached Home</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>0 percent</u>	<u>5,155</u>	<u>297.75</u>	<u>4,857.25</u>
Nonresidential per 1000 sq. ft.:					
<u>Drive-in Bank</u>	<u>148.15</u>	<u>63 percent</u>	<u>\$15,720</u>	<u>\$786.00</u>	<u>\$14,934.00</u>
<u>Mini-Warehouse</u>	<u>2.50</u>	<u>10 percent</u>	<u>643</u>	<u>32.15</u>	<u>610.85</u>
<u>Hotel per room</u>	<u>8.92</u>	<u>10 percent</u>	<u>2,297</u>	<u>114.85</u>	<u>2,182.15</u>
<u>Movie Theater per seat</u>	<u>1.80</u>	<u>5 percent</u>	<u>480</u>	<u>24.00</u>	<u>456.00</u>
<u>Racquet Club per court</u>	<u>40.50</u>	<u>5 percent</u>	<u>11,030</u>	<u>551.50</u>	<u>10,478.50</u>
<u>Church/Synagogue</u>	<u>9.11</u>	<u>5 percent</u>	<u>2,482</u>	<u>124.10</u>	<u>2,357.90</u>
<u>Day Care Center</u>	<u>79.26</u>	<u>50 percent</u>	<u>11,380</u>	<u>569.00</u>	<u>10,811.00</u>
<u>Quality Restaurant</u>	<u>89.95</u>	<u>25 percent</u>	<u>19,351</u>	<u>967.55</u>	<u>18,383.45</u>
<u>High Turnover Sit-Down Restaurant</u>	<u>127.15</u>	<u>10 percent</u>	<u>32,817</u>	<u>1,640.84</u>	<u>31,176.16</u>
<u>New Car Sales</u>	<u>33.34</u>	<u>15 percent</u>	<u>8,138</u>	<u>406.90</u>	<u>7,731.10</u>
<u>Office Building-Medical</u>	<u>36.13</u>	<u>10 percent</u>	<u>9,332</u>	<u>466.60</u>	<u>8,865.40</u>
<u>Hospital</u>	<u>16.50</u>	<u>10 percent</u>	<u>4,249</u>	<u>212.45</u>	<u>4,036.55</u>
<u>Nursing Home</u>	<u>3.72</u>	<u>10 percent</u>	<u>967</u>	<u>48.35</u>	<u>918.65</u>
<u>Warehouse (per 1,000 sq. ft.)</u>	<u>3.56</u>	<u>10 percent</u>	<u>919</u>	<u>81.95</u>	<u>837.05</u>
<u>General Industrial (Light)</u>	<u>6.97</u>	<u>10 percent</u>	<u>1,791</u>	<u>89.55</u>	<u>1,701.46</u>
General Office:					
<u>10,000 sq. ft.</u>	<u>22.60</u>	<u>10 percent</u>	<u>\$5,839</u>	<u>\$291.95</u>	<u>\$5,547.05</u>
<u>50,000 sq. ft.</u>	<u>15.59</u>	<u>10 percent</u>	<u>4,021</u>	<u>201.05</u>	<u>3,819.95</u>
<u>100,000 sq. ft.</u>	<u>13.27</u>	<u>10 percent</u>	<u>3,424</u>	<u>171.20</u>	<u>3,252.80</u>
<u>150,000 sq. ft.</u>	<u>12.08</u>	<u>10 percent</u>	<u>3,128</u>	<u>156.40</u>	<u>2,971.60</u>
<u>200,000 sq. ft.</u>	<u>11.30</u>	<u>10 percent</u>	<u>2,920</u>	<u>146.00</u>	<u>2,774.00</u>
<u>400,000 sq. ft.</u>	<u>9.62</u>	<u>10 percent</u>	<u>2,481</u>	<u>124.05</u>	<u>2,356.95</u>
<u>500,000 sq. ft.</u>	<u>9.14</u>	<u>10 percent</u>	<u>2,370</u>	<u>118.50</u>	<u>2,251.50</u>
<u>600,000 sq. ft.</u>	<u>8.76</u>	<u>10 percent</u>	<u>2,250</u>	<u>979.37</u>	<u>1,270.63</u>
<u>700,000 sq. ft.</u>	<u>8.45</u>	<u>10 percent</u>	<u>2,184</u>	<u>109.20</u>	<u>2,074.80</u>
<u>800,000 sq. ft.</u>	<u>8.19</u>	<u>10 percent</u>	<u>2,115</u>	<u>105.75</u>	<u>2,009.25</u>
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]					

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

(This space intentionally left blank)

Notes:

Underlined indicates **new** text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated to:]**.
~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be **deleted**.
Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated from:]**.
.... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT G

ARTICLE 13 – IMPACT FEES
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 07/13/2012)

1

Table 13.H.4-20 – Fair Share Road Impact Fee Schedule - Continued
Effective 12:01 AM, 04/01/2013

Type of Land Development Activity	Official Daily Trip Generation Per Rate Dwelling Unit or Area	Pass-By Trip Rate (percentage)	Gross Impact Fee	Discount	Net Road Impact Fee Per Unit
General Commercial Retail:					
10,000 sq. ft. & Under	152.03	62 percent	\$16,658	\$832.90	\$15,825.10
50,000 sq. ft.	86.56	47 percent	13,217	660.85	12,556.15
75,000 sq. ft.	75.1	44 percent	10,482.00	524.10	9,957.90
100,000 sq. ft.	67.91	40 percent	11,632	581.60	11,050.40
200,000 sq. ft.	53.28	34 percent	10,116	505.80	9,610.20
300,000 sq. ft.	46.23	30 percent	9,261	463.05	8,797.95
400,000 sq. ft.	41.80	27 percent	8,684	434.20	8,249.80
500,000 sq. ft.	38.66	25 percent	8,273	413.65	7,859.35
600,000 sq. ft.	36.27	24 percent	7,925	396.25	7,528.75
800,000 sq. ft.	32.80	21 percent	7,421	371.05	7,049.95
1,000,000 sq. ft.	30.33	19 percent	7,057	352.85	6,704.15
1,200,000 sq. ft.	28.46	17 percent	6,757	337.85	6,419.15
Non-Residential Short Trips:					
Fast Food Restaurant	496.12	60 percent	\$28,450	\$1,422.50	\$27,027.50
Service Station per fueling position	168.56	70 percent	7,265	363.25	6,901.75
Convenience Store	737.99	70 percent	31,735	1,586.75	30,148.25
Pharmacy with Drive Thru	88.16	50 percent	6,320	316.00	6,004.00
The cost per vehicular trip is:					
		Gross	Net		
Residential:	6 mile trip length	\$859.20	\$816.24		
Non-Residential:	2 mile trip length	286.40	272.08		
Non-Residential, short trip:	1 mile trip length	143.20	136.04		
[Ord. 2010-018] [Ord. 2011-016]					
Notes					
** Interpolation between impact fee amounts presented in the examples is acceptable in lieu of the calculation for that development whose square footage is in the range between example square footages. The formulae are as follows:					
1	Office Total Daily Trips = Ln (T) = 0.77 Ln (X) + 3.65 T= Total Daily Trips, X = Area in 1,000 sq. ft., Ln = Natural Logarithm				
2	General Commercial Total Daily Trips = Ln (T) = 0.65 Ln (X) + 5.83 T= Total Daily Trips, X = Area in 1,000 sq. ft., Ln = Natural Logarithm				
3	Pass-by percent Formula (for general commercial). Pass-by percent = [.8318 – (.093*LN (A))*100% (10,000 square feet or less capped at 62%) A = Area in 1,000 sq. ft. of leasable area				

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

U:\Zoning\CODEREV\2012\LDRAB\Meetings\8-22-12\4 Final Packet\Exh. G - Art. 13 - Impact Fees.docx

Notes:

Underlined indicates **new** text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated to:]**.
~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be **deleted**.
Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets **[Relocated from:]**.
.... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT H

ARTICLE 15 – HEALTH REGULATIONS
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 07/12/12)

1
2 Part 1. ULDC Art. 15.A.8.A.1.d, [Related to Conditions for Non-Approval of an OSTDS] (page 7
3 of 24), is hereby amended as follows:
4

Reason for amendments: [Health] Changes to FS. 401; 403; Chapter 64E-6 FAC. changing maximum flow from 5,000 gallons per day to 10,000. To accommodate industry.

5 CHAPTER A (PBC ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL RULE I) - ONSITE SEWAGE TREATMENT AND
6 DISPOSAL SYSTEMS (OSTDS)

7 Section 8 Conditions for Non-Approval of an OSTDS

8 An OSTDS shall not be approved:

9 A. Where an existing sanitary sewer is available. A municipal or investor-owned sewage system
10 shall be deemed available for connection if the following conditions exist:

11 1. The system is not under a FDEP moratorium, the sewage system has adequate hydraulic
12 capacity to accept the quantity of sewage to be generated by the proposed establishment,
13 and the existing sewer line is within the following distance from the property:

14

15 d. For estimated sewage flows greater than 2,500 gallons per day to ~~5,000~~ 10,000 gallons
16 per day, if a sewer line (gravity or force main) exists in a public easement or R-O-W
17 which is within 1,000 feet of the property.
18

19
20 Part 2. ULDC Art. 15.A.13 Appeals (page 7 and 9 of 24), is hereby amended as follows:
21

Reason for amendments: [Health] Changes to FS. 401; 403; Chapter 64E-6 FAC. Change from set fee to fee schedule.

22 CHAPTER A (PBC ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL RULE I) - ONSITE SEWAGE TREATMENT AND
23 DISPOSAL SYSTEMS (OSTDS)

24 Section 13 Appeals

25 A. Persons aggrieved by a requirement, interpretation or determination of this Article made by the
26 Department or the ECO may appeal to the EAB by filing a written notice of appeal, with the ECO
27 within 30 days from the determination to be appealed. However, no appeal shall be filed which
28 requests relief from the construction standards required under Rule 64E-6, F.A.C. The notice
29 shall be accompanied by a certified check or money order, made payable to the Department to
30 defray the cost of processing and administering the appeal. The fee for filing the appeal shall be
31 ~~non-refundable and in the following amounts:~~ in accordance with the fee schedule [PBC Code
32 Chapter 11, Art. II Sect. 11-24]

33 1. ~~\$100.00 for a single family residence~~

34 2. ~~\$125.00 for all others, including, but not limited to, multiple family, commercial or~~
35 ~~subdivisions.~~

36 3. ~~However, no appeal shall be filed which requests relief from the construction standards~~
37 ~~required under Rule 64E-6, F.A.C.~~
38

39

40 L. Except where the relief granted is to exempt an applicant from the requirement to connect to a
41 sanitary sewer under Article 15.A.8.A, any relief granted shall automatically terminate upon the
42 availability of sewer service to the lot or parcel. Unless otherwise provided in an order issued
43 pursuant to Article 15.A.13.J, relief granted under this Article shall automatically lapse if action for
44 which the appeal was granted has not been initiated within ~~one year~~ 24 months from the date of
45 granting such appeal by the EAB or, if judicial proceedings to review the EABs decision shall be
46 instituted, from the date of entry of the final order in such proceedings, including all appeals.
47

48
49
50
51

(This space intentionally left blank)

Notes:

Underlined indicates new text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets [**Relocated to:**].

~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be ~~deleted~~.

Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets [**Relocated from:**].

.... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT H

ARTICLE 15 – HEALTH REGULATIONS
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 07/12/12)

1
2 Part 3. ULDC Art. 15.B.13.I.2. [Related to Operation and Maintenance], (page 16 of 24), is
3 hereby amended as follows:
4

Reason for amendments: [Health] Clarification of responsibility. Test results shall be reported to the local fire department or local water utility instead of the Health Department. Eliminates middleman.

5 CHAPTER B (PBC ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL RULE II) DRINKING WATER SUPPLY SYSTEMS

6 Section 13 Operation and Maintenance

7 I. Fire hydrant maintenance and fire flow testing shall be the responsibility of the owner of the fire
8 hydrant. Maintenance and fire flow testing shall be performed in accordance with the "Standards
9 of the American Water Works Association Manual M-17" and as indicated below: [Ord. 2006-004]

10
11 2. Fire flow testing of hydrants shall be performed on a three year cycle, such that all hydrants
12 in a system are fire flow tested at least once every three years unless recommended by the
13 manufacturer or the Department to be more frequent. Owners of fire hydrants which do not
14 utilize local fire departments or water utility departments to perform or oversee the fire flow
15 testing shall report all available results of testing to the ~~Department~~ local fire department and
16 water utility department by January 1st of each year with all hydrants accounted for within the
17 required cycle. [Ord. 2006-004]
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59

U:\Zoning\CODEREV\2012\LDRAB\Meetings\8-22-12\4 Final Packet\Exh. H Art 15 Health Regulations.docx

Notes:
Underlined indicates **new** text. If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets [Relocated to:].
~~Stricken~~ indicates text to be **deleted**.
Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets [Relocated from:].
.... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.