
Minutes for Team PDD Subcommittee

Meeting Date: 2/28/2003

Task Team	Meeting Date by	Meeting Number	Meeting Date	Staff Attending	Minutes	Goals for Next Meeting	Staff Initials Entering Info
PDD Subcommi	February 2003	<u>23</u>					

Task Team	Meeting Date by Meeting Number	Meeting Date	Staff Attending	Minutes	Goals for Next Meeting	Staff Initials Entering Info
		<u>2/28/2003</u>	Aimee, Alex, Jeff, Denis	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No quorum so no official action taken. 2. Discussion began on pg 35, #5. 3. Need to clarify secondary frontage language about being within 10 ft of easements. 4. Illustrations do not meet arch guidelines. Labels components of illustrations. 5. Add note that indicates gaps can be covered by 2nd story. 6. Sidewalk dimension may not meet ADA. 8. Cross sections elements should be based on the fxn of the street. 9. What type of parking is required for on-street or does it not matter? 10. Allow variation in cross-sections - meet x # of elements based on fxn of street. Parking tied to this, too. 11. Transparency req't is too high. Clarify measured by linear ft. Corner bldgs get breaks? 12. Balcony dimensions too large - suggest 3 by 6. Max projection not necc - self regulating due to cost. Maybe require that the 20% req'd balconies be 5 x 8 and others are smaller? 13. Need to put the min length in the text (missing now) 14. Clarify what can go on the roof - pool , rec facilities, etc. 15. Eliminate TEC. 16. Acreage threshold for PUDs in TTDs is too high and % is too limiting. (no consensus) 17. Allow more PUDs but make them meet other criteria (TND criteria?) Be responsive to marketplace demands for PUDs. 18. Clarify rec req'ts for TTDs - unclear. 19. Allow alternatives to the landscape buffer - greenway, LOS, preserves, mitigation areas. Allow passive rec and to count towards OS req't (maybe the portion above Type 3 buffer). Do no require buffer if adjacent to preserve. 	Complete review of the TDD draft.ACC We will start on the use regs (Appendix A).	