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Mr. Wesley Blackman, AICP, Chairman, and Members of the Land Development Regulation Advisory Board (LDRAB)
241 Columbia Drive
Lake Worth, FL 33460

RE: October 28, 2015 LDRAB Meeting

Dear Mr. Blackman & Board Members:

Attached please find the agenda and supporting materials to assist you in preparing for the LDRAB hearing on Wednesday, October 28, 2015.

The meeting will commence at 2:00 p.m. in the Vista Center 1st Floor Kenneth S. Rogers Hearing Room (VC-1W-47), located at 2300 North Jog Road, West Palm Beach, Florida.

If you should have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at (561) 233-5206 or via email at WCross@pbcgov.org, or Monica Cantor, Senior Site Planner at (561) 233-5205, or via email at MCantor@pbcgov.org.

Sincerely,

William Cross, AICP
Principal Site Planner, Zoning Division
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A. CALL TO ORDER/CONVENE AS LDRAB
   1. Roll Call
   2. Additions, Substitutions and Deletions
   3. Motion to Adopt Agenda
   4. Adoption of September 30, 2015 Minutes (Exhibit A)

B. ULDC AMENDMENTS
   1. Exhibit B  Art. 8.H.2, Billboards
   2. Exhibit C  Northlake Boulevard Overlay Zone (NBOZ)

C. PUBLIC COMMENTS

D. STAFF COMMENTS
   1. Public and Civic URP Subcommittee rescheduled to Nov. 9, 2015
   2. October 28, 2015 BCC Direction on PIA for Agricultural Recycling
   3. December 9, 2015 LDRAB Meeting

E. ADJOURN
Minutes of September 30, 2015 Meeting

On Wednesday, September 30, 2015 the Palm Beach County Land Development Regulation Advisory Board (LDRAB), met in the Ken Rogers Hearing Room, (VC-1W-47), at 2300 North Jog Road, West Palm Beach, Florida.

A. Call to Order/Convene as LDRAB

1. Roll Call

   Chair Wes Blackman called the meeting to order at 2:03 p.m. Zona Case, Code Revision Zoning Technician, called the roll.

   Members Present: 15
   Wesley Blackman (PBC Planning Congress)
   David Carpenter, Vice Chair (District 2)
   Michael J. Peragine (District 1)
   Barbara Katz (District 3)
   Lori Vinikoor (District 5)
   Stuart R. Fischer (District 6)
   Henry Studstill (District 7)
   * Daniel J. Walesky (Gold Coast Build. Assoc.)
   Joni Brinkman (League of Cities)
   Jerome Baumoehl (AIA)
   Tommy B. Strowd (Environmental Org.)
   Frank Gulisano (PBC Board of Realtors)
   Gary Rayman (Fl. Surveying & Mapping Soc.)
   Leo Plevy (Member at Large, Alt.)

   Members Absent: 2
   Jim Knight (District 4)
   James Brake (Member At Large, Alt.)

   Vacancies: 1
   (Assoc. General Contractors of America)

   County Staff Present
   Leonard Berger, Chief Assistant County Attorney
   Jon MacGillis, Zoning Director
   John Rupertus, Senior Planner, Planning
   William Cross, Principal Site Planner, Zoning
   Monica Cantor, Senior Site Planner
   Zona Case, Zoning Technician, Zoning

2. Additions, Substitutions, and Deletions

   Mr. Blackman noted that there were no additions, substitutions or deletions.

3. Motion to Adopt Agenda

   Motion to adopt the agenda by Ms. Vinikoor, seconded by Mr. Carpenter. Motion passed (14* - 0).

4. Adoption of July 22, 2015 Minutes (Exhibit A)

   Motion to adopt by Ms. Vinikoor, seconded by Ms. Katz. Motion passed (14* - 0).

   * Daniel Walesky arrives at 2:05 p.m.

B. ULDC AMENDMENTS

1. Exhibit B - Art 2.A.1.J, Notification

   Ms. Cantor explained that this was a minor amendment to clarify that public notices are to be posted in accordance with specifications in the Zoning Technical Manual to ensure uniformity.

   Motion by Mr. Gulisano, seconded by Mr. Carpenter. Motion passed (15 - 0).

2. Exhibit C - Agriculture Recycling Privately Initiated Amendment (PIA)

   Mr. Cross explained that the Privately Initiated Amendment process was established to facilitate a cursory review of a code amendment that might be presented to the BCC for their consideration and direction.

   He highlighted several of the key points outlined in the staff report, as follows:
   - Over the years various jurisdictions have made attempts to address equestrian waste disposal in Palm Beach County.
   - Due to the industrial characteristics associated with the recycling of equestrian waste using the technology highlighted in the applicant’s request, the use is classified as a Recycling Plant, which is limited to industrial zoning districts.
   - The applicant obtained a Class B Conditional use approval for a Recycling Plant to be located in the Light Industrial (IL) zoning district, however the applicant was unable to close on the property.
Clarified that the use is not considered an agricultural use and noted concerns with request to allow in the Agriculture Production (AP) zoning district, which equates to the Everglades Agricultural Area (EAA). A map of the EAA was delineated onscreen.

Outlined similar concerns with request to allow in the Agricultural Residential (AR) zoning district, confirming if initiated the applicant’s proposal to work with Zoning staff to develop standards to mitigate any adverse impact on adjacent properties.

Zoning does not recommend initiating the amendment at this time, but has noted some areas that would have to be addressed if it were permitted in the future.

The Chairman requested clarification of the question before the Board: to consider the application and convey to the BCC whether the Board wishes to consider it in further detail for a formal amendment in the future. Mr. Cross affirmed and added that the proposal will be presented at the October BCC Zoning Hearing, and if initiated, would be brought back to the LDRAB.

Mr. Kevin McGinley of Land Research Management Inc., representing the applicant, Equine Bedding of Florida, summarized as follows:

- Presently there is no property under contract in relation to this particular amendment.
- He understands that a Recycling Plant is currently permitted in industrial zoning districts, but requires a minimum of 5 acres. He opined that there were insufficient industrial locations available in the County.
- Many committees and sub-committees have met on the waste issue over the years without a solution, and the need to find a place still exists.
- The system pasteurizes the bedding and produces a clean marketable product, the method is dry, there is no by-product, nothing gets flushed, it is self-contained, quiet and all done indoors. It makes sense to have it close to the equestrian waste sources.
- The intention of the application is to get the Board’s recommendation, to move the discussion forward and get it to a forum where it can be thoroughly discussed so a long term solution can be found. Currently haulers and trucks spread the waste over properties in the agricultural areas.
- This is an agricultural waste and it belongs in the agricultural areas and there is enough need for this in the Wellington, Loxahatchee and Palm Beach County areas to effect that type of change. Thought should be given to finding where the process can be carried out most effectively in an agricultural area. It may not be in the AP as this is the Everglades Agricultural Area. We were hoping to get the AR and the AGR, the Ag reserve areas, those to me make the most sense. He cited Chipping and Mulching being allowed in the Ag Reserve areas AR and AGR with DRO approval and that process is not agricultural.

In the discussion that followed, members of the Board made the following comments:

- Ms. Vinikoor was of the view that the plant should not be in the AR as it is a processing plant and does not belong in a residential area.
- Ms. Katz supported Ms. Vinikoor’s statement and recommended more time to study before making a decision on how to proceed.
- Mr. Blackman was concerned that the language written for this particular amendment might lock out competing technologies, thus limiting others.
- Ms. Brinkman opined that the proposed use is both good and green. She suggested that rather than having to find industrial properties, effort should be made to accommodate by protecting residences in the agricultural area.
- Mr. Carpenter agreed that the use is good and suggested that perhaps Wellington could find a place in their jurisdiction.
- Ms. Vinikoor added her concern about drugs given to horses getting into the environment. The only way she could recommend is if it is kept away from the AR and AGR. The AP zoning district is more suitable.
Minutes of September 30, 2015 Meeting

- Mr. Bailey suggested keeping it in the IL for consistency with industries using chemicals, also there would be more inspections, and adjusting the acreage criterion to less than 5 acres which would make more sites available and help to keep it out of the farm areas. Mr. MacGillis was of the view that such a criterion could be established.

Mr. Chip Coulter, Managing partner for Greenscene Agritek (GSA) South Florida (aka Equine Bedding of Florida), elaborated on the process that was outlined by Mr. McGinley. He emphasized that no chemicals are involved, it is a proprietary dry process, after pasteurization no chemicals remain and 8 years of testing have had good results from all over the country.

- Mr. Wallesky said that some industries are already in the AP and he favored looking at it in that district but not in the AR. Mr. Cross responded that the desire is to stem the increase of new uses in that area.

- Ms. Brinkman supported looking at it further as it is a problem and a solution should be found. Wellington equestrian community brings in a lot of money to the County.

Motion to support staff by Mr. Gulisano, seconded by Ms. Katz. There was a discussion on the motion.

Ms. Caldwell clarified that if the Board supports staff’s recommendation they would recommend not to support it in the AP and AR, and that the recommendation would not preclude Zoning from looking at it in the Use Regulations Project. As Mr. Bailey suggested, look at what can be done to increase site locations. Zoning is not against it totally.

The Chairman clarified the Motion: not to consider in the Agricultural Production and Agricultural Residential districts and to consider reducing minimum acreage requirements in the Light Industrial (IL) district, as part of the Use Regulations Project. Motion passed (9 – 6). Messrs. Blackman, Rayman, Fischer, Walesky, Plevy and Ms. Brinkman voted Nay.

C. PUBLIC COMMENTS

There were no public comments.

D. STAFF COMMENTS

1. Digital Signage Pilot Project

Mr. Cross explained that a Power Point presentation was made to the BCC to broach the topic of digital signage. The BCC directed staff to prepare additional backup to initiate amendments to allow for a limited introduction of digital signage as a pilot project for limited areas within the Urban Redevelopment Area (URA). Digital billboards will not be considered at this time. In 2 to 3 months Zoning will update the Board and if the results are satisfactory, the amendment will go forward. After a year or more, staff will provide an update to the BCC to determine if the pilot project should be expanded or discontinued. If expanded, staff advised that the hiring of a consultant would be recommended.

2. Amendment Round 2015-02

Mr. Cross advised that the initiation of Round 2015-02 was postponed. He highlighted a few of the amendments staff were proposing to initiate, including amendments to the Northlake Boulevard Overlay Zone (NBOZ), Article 18 Flood Plain Management, and update to the County's Billboard Ordinance, which relates to the Billboard settlement agreement, which he briefly explained. He further elaborated that the NBOZ amendments would establish a waiver process to bring the County more in line with how the other partner jurisdictions evaluate redevelopment within the corridor.

3. Landscape Subcommittee

Ms. Cantor invited new Board Member Stuart Fischer, to join the Landscape Sub-Committee. Mr. Fischer accepted. Motion to nominate by Ms. Katz, seconded by Mr. Carpenter. Motion passed (15 - 0).
4. AGR Contiguity and Indian Trail Groves PUD

Mr. Cross reminded members that AGR Contiguity amendment is scheduled for adoption on October 26, 2015. He also advised that the LDRAB may be asked to convene a special meeting in December to review the Privately Initiated Amendment for Indian Trail Groves.

E. ADJOURN

The Land Development Regulation Advisory Board meeting adjourned at 3:03 p.m.

Recorded tapes of all LDRAB meeting are kept on file in the Palm Beach County Zoning/Code Revision office and can be requested by contacting the Code Revision Section at (561) 233-5213.

Minutes drafted by: ___________________________ ___________________________

Zona Case, Zoning Technician Date
ART. 8.H.2, BILLBOARDS
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 10-21-15)

Part 1. ULDC Art. 8.H.2, Billboards (pages 36 to 40 of 40), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendments: Eliminate reference to settlement agreement since it will expire on February 6, 2016; and, clarify the billboard special permit process for maintenance requiring building permits, demolition, relocation, and replacement of billboards.

 CHAPTER H OFF-SITE SIGNS

Section 2 Billboards

A. Purpose and Intent

It is the purpose and intent of the BCC to restrict prohibit billboards and similar off-site signs in order to improve the aesthetic appearance of unincorporated PBC. And, it is the purpose and intent of the BCC to remove and amortize all billboards and similar large off-site signs in order to cure minimize the visual and aesthetic degradation caused by these structures and to achieve the goal of an aesthetically improved built environment. It is the purpose and intent of this Section to leave the regulations that were adopted consistent with the Billboard Stipulated Settlement Agreement in place after the expiration of the agreement. Existing billboards with valid billboard registrations permits may be relocated or replaced subject to the requirements of this Section. No new billboards are permitted in unincorporated Palm Beach County other than those relocated or replaced pursuant to this Section.

B. WCRAO Prohibitions

Billboard signs are prohibited in the WCRAO, unless existing as of January 10, 2004 and the subject of a valid billboard registration permit issued pursuant to this Section. Billboards may not be relocated within the WCRAO at the time of this amendment and identified in the stipulated settlement agreement, Art. 8.H.2, Billboards. [Ord. 2006-004]

1. Each billboard company shall be provided with a complete copy of the 1988 billboard inventory.
2. The 1988 billboard inventory shall be revised by each billboard company to reflect the current status of billboards it owns or controls.
3. Each revised billboard inventory shall include the location, height, size, and number of billboard faces.

C. Annual Billboard Registration Renewal Registration Permits

The Zoning Division shall establish a system of billboard registration permits. The 1998 Billboard inventory of registered billboards is required to be renewed annually by the respective owner(s) in compliance with the terms of this Section.

1. An application for a billboard registration permit shall include the following information:
   a. name, address, and telephone number of the billboard company owning or controlling the billboard;
   b. name of applicant;
   c. agent's authorization for the applicant to act on behalf of a billboard company;
   d. location, height, number of sign faces, and size of sign faces; and
   e. permit number or other acceptable evidence the billboard was lawfully erected.
2. Billboard registration permits shall be issued annually.
3. Applications for initial billboard registration permits shall be submitted no later than January 1, 1998 with the exception of registration permits for lawfully erected billboards located on federal aid primary highways. Applications for permits for the unregistered billboards on federal aid primary highways described above shall be submitted no later than January 10, 2004.
4. Billboard registration permits shall be valid for a period of one year and shall be renewed annually upon compliance with the terms of this Section, and the billboard stipulated settlement agreement.

51. Renewals for billboard registrations permits shall be submitted by the Billboard Owners at least 60 days prior to expiration date of the existing registration. The Owners have the responsibility to notify the County of any billboards that have been annexed or are otherwise removed from the registration list in a format acceptable to the Zoning Director.
52. PBC may establish charge a fee of $50.00 by resolution in the Official Schedule of Fees to be charged for the renewal of each registered Billboard for the issuance of each billboard registration permit. This fee may be increased by the BCC from time to time.
53. Billboard registration permits shall be transferable if ownership of the billboard or Company changes.

Notes:

Underlined indicates new text.
Stricken indicates text to be deleted. Stricken and italicized means text to be totally or partially relocated.

If being relocated destination is noted in bolded brackets [Relocated to: ].

Italicized indicates text to be relocated. Source is noted in bolded brackets [Relocated from: ].

... A series of four bolded ellipses indicates language omitted to save space.
This billboard registration system shall not require “tagging” of the billboards structure by the owner, of the Billboard structure.

Violations

a. If a permit registration holder fails to submit fees required by this Section prior to or upon the expiration date, PZB shall:
   1) Immediately issue a notice of violation as specified below; and
   2) Suspend acceptance of any applications for Special Permit for demolition, relocation, replacement, or maintenance of billboards off-premises signs from the same permit holder. No new Special Permit applications shall be accepted from the same permit holder until final resolution of any disputes arising from the PZB's actions.

b. In the event that disputes arise regarding the amount of annual license fees charged, the permit holder may establish an escrow account into which he/she shall pay an amount equal to that portion of fees and other charges assessed by PZB which is in dispute. PZB shall be named as the beneficiary of the escrow account. This escrow account shall be established prior to the annual expiration date and shall remain in effect until final resolution of the dispute. Affected billboards off-premises signs shall continue to be treated as illegal signs; however, as long as the escrow account remains in effect, they shall not be removed as provided in this subsection.

c. The notice of violation in accordance with Article 10.B.1.A, shall be sent by certified mail, return receipt requested. At a minimum, it shall:
   1) Indicate the total amount of annual fees due.
   2) Indicate that the registration permit holder has 30 days from the date of mailing in which to pay the total fee due.
   3) Assess an additional delinquency fee equal to 25 percent of the amount due.
   4) Inform the registration permit holder that failure to pay all required fees within the time allowed shall constitute a violation of this chapter. Section and his/her billboard off-premises signs shall thereupon be considered to be illegal.
   5) Inform the registration permit holder of the process established by this chapter for the removal of illegal signs.
   6) Inform the registration permit holder of his right to appeal the action of PZB, as provided in this subsection.

d. A copy of the notice of violation may also be prominently affixed to each billboard off-premises sign.

D. Billboard Owners Not Party to the Stipulated Settlement Agreement

Any firm or individual owning billboards may become eligible to utilize the provisions of this Section provided they execute an agreement consistent with the stipulated billboard settlement agreement. Such firms or individuals shall execute an agreement as approved by the County Attorney's Office.

E. Removal of Billboard Sign Faces

Each billboard company that has signed or agreed to the stipulated billboard settlement agreement or similar agreement as approved by the County Attorney, shall permanently remove ten percent of the total of sign faces it owns or controls. Billboard companies that have signed the stipulated settlement agreement shall remove the sign faces within one year following adoption of this amendment to the ULDC. Billboard companies that execute an agreement approved by the County Attorney shall remove the sign faces within one year following execution of the agreement.

1. The total amount of sign faces to be removed shall be calculated utilizing the billboard inventory. The sign faces shall be removed utilizing the procedure set forth below.

2. The sign faces to be removed shall be identified in Exhibit A of the billboard stipulated settlement agreement or similar agreement. However, the sign faces to be removed as identified in Exhibit A may be substituted for reasons established in the stipulated billboard settlement agreement.

3. The Building Division, with the written approval of the Zoning Division, shall issue a demolition permit for each sign face to be removed.

4. The demolition permit shall be in a form prepared by the Zoning Division, and shall include the location, permit number, name of billboard company, and date when such sign face is to be removed.

5. Each billboard company shall provide a statement, in a form approved by the PBC Attorney's Office, certifying the removal of a sign face. Removal of the sign face shall include the entire billboard structure.

DF. Demolition, Relocation, Replacement or Maintenance of Billboards

Billboards, maintenance requiring building permits, and billboard demolition, may be relocated, relocation, or replacement shall be subject to the provisions of the billboard stipulated settlement agreement or similar agreement. Billboard relocation shall occur as indicated below:

Notes:
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ART. 8.H.2, BILLBOARDS
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS
(Updated 10-21-15)

1. Submittal Process
   a. A billboard company shall submit an application for a Special Permit to the Zoning Division requesting its intent for demolition, relocation, replacement, or maintenance of a billboard in the format acceptable to the Zoning Director. In writing of its intent to relocate a billboard, the application written notification shall be submitted provided at least 30 days prior to the requested intended date for the Special Permit of demolition and relocation, unless otherwise waived by the Zoning Division. Each Special Permit application shall include the registration issued in 1998 that is associated with the subject billboard.

b. Each billboard to be relocated shall be assigned a billboard registration permit. The Zoning Division shall verify the request for relocation, subject to the billboard stipulated settlement agreement. Upon verification of the request for relocation, the Building Division shall issue a demolition permit for removal of the affected billboard.

2. Demolition
   a. For each billboard demolished, demolition Special Permit issued by the Zoning Division, a the billboard company owner shall also submit an application to the Building Division requesting a provide verification of the demolition permit. The application shall include the Zoning Division’s Demolition Special Permit. Upon demolition of the billboard, a Certificate of Completion of Demolition from issued by the Building Division shall act as the verification of the demolition. A copy of the Certificate of Completion shall be submitted to the Zoning Division. [Ord. 2005 – 002

b. Each billboard demolished subject to this Section may be relocated. The combination of a proof of billboard registration from the Zoning Division, a billboard demolition Special Permit from the Zoning Division, and a Certificate of Completion of Demolition from the Building Division shall be required prior to submitting application for a billboard relocation Special Permit. [Ord. 2005 - 002]

c. A billboard relocation Special Permit application shall be submitted within four years from the issuance of the Certificate of Completion of demolition from the Building Division. The relocation of the billboard shall be confirmed with a Building Department Certificate of Completion submitted to the Zoning Division no later than the end of the fifth year. Failure of the applicant to submit to the Zoning Division the Certificate of Completion from the Building Division for the relocation of the billboard by the end of the fifth year, or by date specified in a condition of approval in the Special Permit, shall result in the relocation Special Permit becoming null and void. This requirement shall not be applicable to previously completed billboard relocations. [Ord. 2005 - 002]

3. Relocation
   a. A billboard relocation Special Permit shall allow construction of a billboard with the same or lesser number of faces as contained on the demolished billboard. Two relocated single face, single billboard structures may be combined into a new two-face billboard structure.

b. A relocated billboard may be constructed only within the following comprehensive plan land use categories: “CH” (Commercial High), “CL” (Commercial Low), or “I” (Industrial).

c. Within the CH, CL, and I future land use plan categories, a relocated billboard may only be located within the following zoning districts: CG, CC, IL, IG, MUPD, and PIPD.

d. Any billboard proposed for relocation within a conditional use, planned development, or similar project with an approved signage plan shall obtain approval for the relocation from the BCC, which shall retain the same discretion it exercised when granting the original development approval. If the billboard relocation requires modification of a signage plan that does not require BCC approval, the relocation shall be approved by the DRO, subject to the requirements of this Section and the billboard stipulated settlement agreement.

e. Relocation of a billboard to a PDD shall comply with the height and setback requirements for structures approved in the master plan. If modification of signage located within a PDD does not require BCC approval, such modification of signage shall be approved by the DRO.

f. A relocated billboard shall not be relocated on property assigned a residential, agricultural, or conservation zoning designation. For the purposes of this Section, residential, agricultural, and conservation zoning districts shall be as described in the billboard stipulated settlement agreement, and the corresponding zoning districts to the residential, agricultural, or conservation FLU designations as indicated in Tables 3.A.3.B and 3.A.3.C of the ULDC. For purposes of this Section, Traditional Development Districts are considered residential districts. Billboards may not be relocated to property assigned a Traditional Development District. Billboards may not be relocated to the residential, recreational and civic pods of a PIPD.
**ART. 8.H.2, BILLBOARDS**

**SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS**

(Updated 10-21-15)

---

1. All relocated billboards shall be located within an area containing a front dimension containing at least 500 linear feet. This linear dimension may include property abutting a public R-O-W.

2. The height of any relocated billboard shall not exceed 40 feet above finished grade, excluding temporary embellishments.

3. A relocated billboard shall comply with the setbacks listed below:
   - **1a.** Front: the lesser of 15 feet or the required district setback.
   - **2a.** Side: the lesser of the billboard's previous setback or the required district setback.
   - **3a.** Clear: the lesser of the billboard's previous setback or the required district setback.
   - **4a.** Side corner: the lesser of the billboard's previous setback or the required district setback. If applicable, the required district side corner setback may be reduced to 15 feet when the specific lot configuration makes relocation of the sign structure impossible based on application of the required district setback.

4. A relocated billboard shall not be constructed within a lateral distance of at least 250 feet of any residential zoning district located on the same side of the street. The lateral distance shall be measured along the street R-O-W, and shall include public R-O-W. This requirement shall supersede any other setback requirements established by this Section.

5. When a relocated billboard will be placed on a public R-O-W which:
   - **1a.** is designated by PBC for an ultimate width of 120 feet less, and,
   - **2a.** abuts a residential zoning district across the street, then a residential "clear zone" shall be established.

6. The "clear zone" shall extend at least 170 feet from the front setback of the billboard. The "clear zone" shall be the public R-O-W. Any portion of the "clear zone" located within the abutting residential district shall not contain any existing or proposed residential use.

7. When a relocated billboard will be placed on a public R-O-W which:
   - **1a.** is designated by PBC for an ultimate width of more than 120 feet but less than 170 feet, and,
   - **2a.** then a residential "clear zone" shall be established;

8. The "clear zone" shall extend at least 170 feet from the front setback of the billboard. The "clear zone" shall include the public R-O-W. Any portion of the "clear zone" located within the abutting residential district shall not contain any existing or proposed residential use.

9. When a relocated billboard will be placed on a public R-O-W which:
   - **1a.** is designated by PBC for an ultimate width of more than 170 feet, and,
   - **2a.** then a residential "clear zone" is not required.

10. For the purposes of this Section, a residential "clear zone" may include such uses as landscaping, perimeter buffers, vegetation preservation areas, drainage facilities, roads, recreational areas, and similar nonresidential uses.

11. A relocated billboard shall not be located within 120 feet of any residential zoning district located across from, but not directly abutting, a public R-O-W. For the purposes of this Section, the 120 feet distance shall be measured from the rear of the billboard to the nearest point of the residential zoning district.

12. A billboard shall not be relocated to a site on a road with an R-O-W width of less than 80 feet.

13. The number of billboards to be relocated during any 12-month period shall be limited by the stipulated billboard settlement agreement.

14. A minimum separation of at least 500 feet from any other existing or relocated billboard that is not on the same structure must be maintained.

15. A replacement billboard may be constructed consistent with the provisions of this Section.

16. Shall be located within the permitted billboard location.

17. A replacement billboard shall remain on the same side of the public R-O-W.

18. Existing billboard or the setbacks provided by the zoning district.

19. For replacement billboards, the front setback shall be measured from the property line.

20. A replacement billboard may be constructed at the same or lesser height of the existing billboard.

21. The sign face or faces of the replacement billboard shall not exceed the size of the sign face or faces of the existing billboard.

22. A replacement billboard shall contain the same number, or lesser number, of sign faces as the existing billboard.

---
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When an existing billboard is located on property that is being or has been acquired for public road R-O-W purposes, the billboard location criteria of this Section may be waived subject to approval of a Type I Waiver. The DRO may approve the Type I Waiver for billboard location criteria when the width of the R-O-W to be acquired will not allow billboard replacement consistent with the intent of this Section. [Ord. 2012-027]

1) Supplemental billboard regulations.
   a) Roof-mounted billboards are prohibited.
   b) Billboards shall not be relocated to a site on a road with an R-O-W width of less than 80 feet.
2) The number of billboards to be relocated during any 12-month period shall be limited by the stipulated billboard settlement agreement.
3) Billboard illumination shall be directed only towards the billboard face.
4) Following execution of the stipulated billboard settlement agreement, billboards with valid registration permits shall be legal, conforming structures, and may be repaired and maintained as provided by the applicable building codes of PBC. Billboards to be removed by the operation of the stipulated billboard settlement agreement may be repaired and maintained as legal structures. However, any expenses incurred for such repair and maintenance shall be the sole responsibility of the billboard owner, and PBC shall incur no liability for such expenses.
5) Registered billboards registration permits may be sold, transferred, or exchanged without regard to participation in the stipulated billboard settlement agreement.

E.H. Repair and Maintenance of Billboards
All billboards shall be maintained in good repair. Repair and maintenance of billboards shall be exempt from the limitations of Article 1.F, NONCONFORMITIES. Repair and maintenance of billboards shall not include any improvement which increases the height, size, or number of billboard faces. Temporary embellishments may be included as part of normal maintenance and repair of billboards.

F.I. Effect of Annexation
1. Any billboard included within the billboard stipulated settlement agreement that is annexed shall not be eligible for relocation into any unincorporated area.
2. Any registered billboard registration permit for any billboard included within the billboard stipulated settlement agreement that is annexed shall be void upon annexation.

G.J. Appeals
Appeals of any decision by the Zoning Director or Building Director regarding interpretation or implementation of this Section or the billboard stipulated settlement agreement shall be made to the BCC Hearing Officer in accordance with Article 2.A.1.S, Appeal 1.B, INTERPRETATION OF THE CODE of the ULDC.
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Reason for amendments: [Zoning] Reference new Type I Waiver provisions proposed for the Northlake Boulevard Overlay Zone (NBOZ) Design Guidelines outlined in Part 2 of this Exhibit.

CHAPTER D ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESS

Section 6 Type I Waiver

A. Purpose
The purpose of Type I Waivers is to allow flexibility for mixed use or infill redevelopment projects, or site design or layout, where alternative solutions can be permitted, subject to performance criteria or limitations. Waivers are not intended to relieve specific financial hardship nor circumvent the intent of this Code. A Waiver may not be granted if it conflicts with other sections of this Code, or the Florida Building Code. [Ord. 2011-016]

B. Applicability
Requests for Type I Waivers shall only be permitted where expressly stated within the ULDC: [Ord. 2011-016] [Ord. 2012-027]

Table 2.D.6.B - Summary of Type I Waivers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type I Waiver Summary List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glades Area Overlay (GAO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northlake Boulevard Overlay Zone (NBOZ) Design Guidelines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infill Redevelopment Overlay (IRDO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifestyle Commercial Center (LCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required Parking for Location Criteria Exception in Type I Restaurant with Drive Through</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Greenhouse Loading Zones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste Transfer Station Landscape Buffer Planting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening for Room Mounted Mechanical Equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliminate or Reduce Loading Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirements for Walls or Fences Where Adjacent to Existing Walls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billboard Replacement – Billboard Location Criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required Parking for Community Vegetable Garden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incompatibility Buffers for Recreation or Civic Pods, Areas or Tracts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUD Informational Signs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Ord. 2012-027] [Ord. 2014-025] [Ord. 2015-031]

C. Standards
When considering whether to approve, approve with conditions, or deny a Type I Waiver request, the DRO shall consider the following standards in addition to any other standards applicable to the specific Waiver as contained in this Code: [Ord. 2010-022] [Ord. 2011-016] [Ord. 2012-027]

1. The Waiver does not create additional conflicts with other requirements of the ULDC, and is consistent with the stated purpose and intent for the Zoning district or Overlay; [Ord. 2010-022] [Ord. 2011-016] [Ord. 2012-027]

2. The Waiver will not cause a detrimental effect on the overall design and development standards of the project, and will be in harmony with the general site layout and design details of the development; and, [Ord. 2010-022] [Ord. 2012-027]

3. The alternative design option recommended as part of the Waiver approval, if granted, will not adversely impact adjacent properties. [Ord. 2010-022] [Ord. 2012-027]
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---

**Reason for amendments:** [Zoning] The Northlake Boulevard Overlay Zone (NBOZ) is based on an Inter-local Agreement between Lake Park, North Palm Beach, Palm Beach Gardens, and Palm Beach County. The proposed amendments will better align the County’s application of the NBOZ Design Guidelines with partner municipalities. Palm Beach County is the last participant in the NBOZ Inter-local to retain a deadline for compliance with the Design Guidelines, which has oft been cited as a burden for affected unincorporated area property owners (17 remaining as of 2015), and some of the municipalities allow for greater flexibility through provisions allowing for use of Waivers.

**Background and Summary:** As explained in Art. 3.B.8.A, Purpose and Intent (see below), the NBOZ was a multi-jurisdictional effort that sought to improve the overall appearance of properties fronting Northlake Boulevard from U.S. 1 westward to Military Trail. This was to be accomplished through adoption of the NBOZ Design Guidelines, which established a regulatory framework that in most cases strengthened certain development regulations such as sign standards, with the broader purpose of providing a more unified approach to redevelopment along a corridor that is governed by four separate jurisdictions.

The NBOZ Design Guidelines can be found online here:


The “Design Guidelines” is not a stand-alone Code, but is used in combination with each jurisdictions development regulations, which can be difficult for both applicants and staff in some scenarios. When applying the ULDC and Design Guidelines, the more restrictive provision shall prevail. However, since the adoption of the “Design Guidelines”, the County has updated various signage, landscaping and architectural standards within the ULDC to reflect an overall trend towards improving the aesthetics of all development or redevelopment within the County. Subsequently, while still less stringent in some instances, most County standards may be generally consistent with, or exceed, the older standards included in the Design Guidelines.

Under Art. I,.4-4.D, Waivers and Variances, the “Design Guidelines” specifically recognize that partner jurisdictions may allow for Waivers or Variances from certain standards. However, Palm Beach County only has provisions that allow for Variances within the NBOZ. Recently, industry approached the County and the NBOZ Task Force requesting consideration of changes that would greatly revise certain signage or landscaping standards, which neither entity supported. In discussing alternative solutions and in recognition of Waiver provisions offered by other jurisdictions, the County proffered a compromise that would establish administrative Waivers from the Design Guidelines, provided that any Waiver granted would further the purpose and intent of the “Design Guidelines.”

As the proposed Waivers only apply to the “Design Guidelines”, any deviations from the County’s ULDC, or other NBOZ Design Guidelines not specified, would require approval of a Variance, or other Waiver, if permitted.

---
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CHAPTER B OVERLAYS

Section 8 NBOZ, Northlake Boulevard Overlay Zone

A. Purpose and Intent
The purpose and intent of the NBOZ is to encourage improvement, enhancement, renovation, and/or redevelopment of the Northlake Boulevard Corridor and to provide criteria by which to review development/redevelopment within the Overlay Zoning District. The criteria outlined in “Design Guidelines: Northlake Boulevard Overlay Zoning District (NBOZ)” will serve to unify commercial development along the corridor and provide a positive collective identity for the corridor. These regulations were prepared under the guidance of the Northlake Boulevard Corridor Task Force (NBCTF) – an intergovernmental task force created by Interlocal agreement composed of two representatives each from PBC, the Town of Lake Park, the Village of North Palm Beach and the City of Palm Beach Gardens. [Ord. 2011-016]

B. Applicability
The provisions of the NBOZ, as outlined in “Design Guidelines: Northlake Boulevard Overlay Zoning District (NBOZ)” prepared by Michael Redd & Associates, and dated March 11, 2002, which are incorporated herein by reference, shall apply to all proposed development order applications within the boundaries of the NBOZ, as described in Article 3.B.8.A.C, Boundaries.

C. Boundaries
The NBOZ includes the public R-O-W for Northlake Boulevard and all properties along Northlake Boulevard from Military Trail to U.S. Highway One for one property depth north and south of Northlake Boulevard, including the street intersection properties at U.S. Highway One and at Military Trail. Unincorporated portions of the NBOZ include portions of Section 18, Township 42, Range 43; Section 17, Township 42, Range 43; Section 24, Township 42, Range 42; and Section 19, Township 42, Range 43, as indicated in the Official Zoning Map.

D. Conflict
In the event of a conflict between the NBOZ and other applicable regulations, the more restrictive regulation shall prevail.

Reason for amendments: [Zoning] Delete deadline to comply with NBOZ Design Guidelines for Signage and Landscaping, for consistency with other jurisdictions participating in the NBOZ Inter-local Agreement. Palm Beach County is the last participant in the NBOZ Inter-local to retain a deadline for compliance.

E. Deadline to Comply with Requirements for Signage and Landscaping
The deadlines to comply with signage and landscaping requirements contained in the provisions of the Design Guidelines for the NBOZ are hereby extended to July 5, 2016. [Ord. 2011-016] [Ord. 2014-025]

1. Notice to Property Owners
Written certified notice shall be provided by PBC Zoning to the property owner at least one year in advance of the July 5, 2016 deadline. [Ord. 2011-016] [Ord. 2014-025]

E. Type I Waivers for NBOZ Design Guidelines
An applicant may apply for Type I Waivers for development standards contained within the NBOZ Design Guidelines in accordance with Article 2.D.6, Type I Waivers. Applications for Type I Waivers shall be expressly limited to Sections listed in Table 3.B.8.E, Type I Waivers for NBOZ Design Guidelines. Type I Waiver requests shall be submitted concurrently with any other DRO application request for Public Hearing certification, where applicable.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NBOZ Design Guideline</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Art. III, Architectural Elements</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sec. 3-1, General Provisions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Including Sec. 3-1.A, Intent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Demonstration that the NBOZ Design Guideline requirement will reduce required parking, or substantially restrict the operation of the existing business or property use.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sec. 3-2, Design Treatments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Proposed Waiver is consistent with NBOZ Design Guidelines Art. 1.1-1.A, Purpose and intent, and Article III, Sec. 3-1.A, Intent.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Art. IV, Landscape Elements</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sec. 4-3, Non-Conforming landscape area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Demonstration that the NBOZ Design Guideline requirement will reduce required parking, or substantially restrict the operation of the existing business or property use.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sec. 4-6, Prohibited and standard invasive plants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Proposed Waiver is consistent with NBOZ Design Guidelines Art. 1.1-1.A, Purpose and intent, and Article IV, 4-1, Intent.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Art. V, Signage and Outdoor Displays</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sec. 5-6, Measurement determination</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Demonstration that the NBOZ Design Guideline requirement will reduce required parking, or substantially restrict the operation of the existing business or property use.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Art. VI, Site Plan Elements</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sec. 6-1, General design</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Demonstration that the NBOZ Design Guideline requirement will reduce required parking, or substantially restrict the operation of the existing business or property use.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes:
1. Deviations from the limitations or permissions granted for Waivers shall be prohibited.

---
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