

2019 SCORECARD FOR COC RENEWAL APPLICATIONS

The Non Conflict Grant (NCG) Review Committee will use scorecard to rate the local renewal project applications for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) 2019 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition funding.

The scorecard has four goals:

- Fund organizations that have the capacity to run effective programs (can manage and administer a HUD-funded program, can operate on reimbursement basis, have experience servicing this or a similar population)
- Fund projects that reflect the Palm Beach County Housing and Homeless Alliance (HHA), the local CoC, and HUD's priorities: permanent supportive housing and serving the chronically homeless
- Incentivize agencies to be members in good standing with HHA and actively input data into the HMIS system.
- Ensure that funded projects are being good stewards of HUD CoC Program funding in Palm Beach County and performing to HUD and HHA's standards.

Reviewer:	
Applicant:	
Project Name:	
Project Type:	
Reviewer Signature:	
Date:	

Scoring:

Each application is scored by at least one member of the NCG Review Committee and one staff member of the Division of Human and Veteran Services (DHVS), the CoC Lead Agency. Scores are averaged together to obtain the final score in each category.

PROJECT QUALITY REQUIREMENTS	Maximum Score Possible:	
Further review will be triggered if the application does	PSH:	170
not score a minimum of 33% or 46 points on the	RRH - DV:	165
scoring tool. The Review Committee will determine if the project is ineligible for inclusion in the final PBC	RRH - Youth:	175
CoC application or will receive reduced funding as a	Bonus Question:	15
result of scoring.	Tie Break:	20
PROJECT SCORE: Final Overall Score of		
Application		



Scoring Guide by Question

<u>PSH</u> <u>RRH - DV</u> <u>RRH - Yout</u>				
Maximum Score Possible:	170	165	175	
A. HHA and PBC Prioritization	10	10	10	
A1	10	N/A	N/A	
A2	N/A	10	10	
B. Match and Leverage	20	20	20	
B1	10	10	10	
В2	10	10	10	
C. Project Performance	50	50	60	
C1	N/A	10	10	
C2	N/A	25	25	
C3	25	N/A	N/A	
C4	15	15	15	
C5	2.5	N/A	2.5	
C6	2.5	N/A	2.5	
C7	2.5	N/A	2.5	
C8	2.5	N/A	2.5	
D. Serve to High Needs Population	35	30	30	
D1	N/A	15	15	
D2	N/A	15	15	
D3	10	N/A	N/A	
D4	15	N/A	N/A	
D5	10	N/A	N/A	
E. Project Effectiveness	40	40	40	
E1	20	20	20	
E2	10	10	10	
E3	10	10	10	
F. Other and Local Criteria	15	15	15	
F1	10	10	10	
F2	5	5	5	
Bonus Question	15	15	15	
Bonus Question 1	5	5	5	
Bonus Question 2	10	10	10	
<u>Tie Breaker</u>	20	20	20	
Tie Breaker 1	10	10	10	
Tie Breaker 2	10	10	10	



A.	HHA and PBC Prioritization (10 points possible)	Project Score:
Dedicating Beds for Chronically Homeless DV, Youth,		
Fami	ly Participants	
1	What percentage of the project's PSH beds are dedicated	100%: 10 points
	for chronically homeless Participants?	99% and below: 0 pts
	PSH	
2	What % of the projects beds are RRH ; DV; Youth (18-25);	100%: 10 points
	Families with children;	99% and below: 0 pts
	RRH-DV; RRH- Youth	

 B. Match and Leverage (25% required as per regulation for SS/ Operations & Admin) Match and leverage amounts are based on documentation submitted by the applicant by the submission deadline. Information submitted after the deadline will not be included in the scoring of these sections. In addition, leverage and match forms/letters must be Program Specific. 		Project Sco	re:	
			Standard Met	
Do	cumentation of Match		Possible Score	Project Score
1	Do the match form(s)/letter(s) sufficiently document the 25% match for the specific renewal project? PSH; RRH-DV; RRH-Youth	required	Standard Met: 10 pts Standard Unmet: 0 pts	
Lev	erage <mark>PSH;</mark> RRH-DV; RRH-Youth	Possible	Score 10 pt.	Project Score:
2	Total leverage (list total)\$Total \$ request from HUD\$Ratio of leverage to request (leverage divided by request)	\$ \$ \$		
		Ratio 100)%-149%	Standard for PBC
		Ratio 150)% to 199%	4 points
		Ratio 200)% to 299%	8 points
		Ratio 300)% and up	10 points



C. Project Performance	Project
Unless otherwise noted, the following project performance scores are based on or year (January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2018) HMIS report. If projects have n operational for one year, the data will be based upon what is currently in the HMIS sy projects have not input data into the HMIS system, the project will receive either no p the most negative points available for each question.	ot been stem. If

PSH =85 RRH DV =75 RRH Youth =75

Рор	pulations Served	Possible Score	Project Score
1	Length of time between project start date and housing move-in-date What is the percentage of program participants placed in housing within 30 days after referral to RRH? RRH-DV RRH-Youth	100% - 80% = 10 79% - 60% = 8 59% - 40% = 6 39% - 20% = 4 19% or less = 0	
	APR Q22c		
2	Exits to permanent housing Did 90% or more program participants move from RRH to PH? RRH-DV RRH-Youth APR Q23a & b	90% or more = 25 89% - 85% = 20 84% - 80% = 15 79% - 75% = 10 74% - 70% = 5 69% or less = 0	
3	Exits to permanent housing (PSH)	90% or more = 25	
	Did 90% or more program participants remain in PSH or move from PSH to PH? PSH APR Q23a & b Remain = Q5a	89% - 85% = 20 84% - 80% = 15 79% - 75% = 10 74% - 70% = 5 69% or less = 0	
4	Returns to Homelessness	15% or less = 15	
	Did 15% or less program participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH? PSH RRH-DV RRH-Youth	16% - 20% = 5 21% or more = 0	
5	HMIS Report – Sys PM Measure 2 New or increased income and earned income	8% or more = 2.5	
	For program participants who remained in the program, did 8% or more increase their earned income? PSH RRH-Youth	Less than 8% = 0	
	Note: This question does not apply to DV applications and should not be included in their final score. APR Q19a1		



6	New or increased income and earned income	10% or more = 2.5
Ŭ	For program participants who remained in the program, did 10% or more	Less than 10% = 0
	increase their non-employment income?	
	PSH	
	RRH-Youth	
	Note: This suggition does not each to DV suplications and should not	
	Note: This question does not apply to DV applications and should not	
	be included in their final score.	
-	APR Q19a1	
7	New or increased income and earned income	8% or more = 2.5
	For program participants who exited the program, did 8% or more	Less than 8% = 0
	increase their earned income?	
	PSH	
	RRH-Youth	
	Note: This question does not apply to DV applications and should not	
	be included in their final score.	
	APR Q19a2	
8	New or increased income and earned income	10% or more = 2.5
	For program participants who exited the program, did 10% or more	Less than 10% = 0
	increase their non-employment income?	
	PSH	
	RRH-Youth	
	Note: This question does not apply to DV applications and should not	
	be included in their final score.	
	······································	
	APR Q19a2	
L		



D. Serve to High Needs Population	Project Score:
Unless otherwise noted, the following project perform	ance scores are
based on calendar year (January 1, 2018 to December	31, 2018) HMIS
report. If projects have not been operational for one	year, the data
will be based upon what is currently in the HMIS syst	em. If projects
have not input data into the HMIS system, the proj	ect will receive
either no points or the most negative points ava	ilable for each
question.	
Possible Points +	PSH = 35 RRH DV = 30 RRH Youth = 30

Рор	oulations Served	Possible Score	Project Score
1	Assessment Score -RRH Does the assessment score indicate that 95% or more of the program participants require RRH or more intensive intervention? RRH-DV RRH-Youth	95% or more = 15 90% - 94% = 10 85% - 89% = 5 85% or less = 0	
	Note: DV applicants are to be awarded full points for this questions since 100% or their clients are assessed using a different process. HMIS Report		
2	Homeless Category – RRH Does 75% or more of program participants fall into Category 1 or Category 4 homeless status? *Youth RRH- Category 1, 2, or 4 Homeless Status RRH-DV RRH-Youth	75% or more = 15 74% or less = 0	
3	HMIS Report Zero Earned Income – PSH Did 80% or more of program participants entered program with zero earned income? PSH APR Q16	80% or more = 10 79% or less = 0	
4	One or more disability – PSH Does 75% or more program participant have one or more disability type? PSH	75% or more = 15 74% or less = 0	
	Note: Singles Projects- SAGE (APR Q13a2) Family Projects- Report includes only adult data.		
	APR Q13a2		



5	Homeless Category – PSH	No entry – Legacy = 10
	Did 100% of program participants who entered program after October	100% or more = 10
	1, 2015 from Category 1 or Category 4 homeless status?	99% - 65% = 5
	*Youth RRH- Category 1, 2, or 4 Homeless Status	64% or less = 0
	PSH	
	HMIS Report	

E. Project Effectiveness	Project Score:
Possible Points +	PSH = 40 RRH DV = 40 RRH Youth = 40

Ρορι	lations Served	Possible Score	Project Score
1	Project has reasonable costs Was 100% of project funding allocation expended in the previous grant year? PSH RRH-DV RRH-Youth	100% = 20 90% - 99% = 10 89% or less = 0	
2	Coordinated Entry Participation Are 95% or more of entries to program from coordinated entry referrals? PSH RRH-DV RRH-Youth Note: DV applicants are to be awarded full points for this questions since DV programs use a different CE process.	95% or more = 10 85% - 94% = 5 84% or less = 0	
3	Housing First Does the project applicant commit to implementing a Housing First and/or Low Barrier model? PSH RRH-DV RRH-DV RRH-Youth	Yes = 10 No = 0	



F. Other and Local Criteria		Project Score:	
Possible Points +	PSH = 10	RRH DV = 10	RRH Youth = 10

Populations Served		Possible Score	Project Score
1	CoC Monitoring Score Is the agency free of any CoC Monitoring findings for HUD programs? PSH RRH-DV RRH-Youth	0 findings = 10 1 finding = 5 2 findings = 2 3+ findings = 0	
2	Unit Utilization Rate What is the program's unit utilization rate? A. Average = B. Q9 Average Available Beds or Units X 100	95% or higher = 5 85% - 94% = 2 80% - 84% = 1 0% - 79% = 0	
	Average can be found in APR Q7b for Persons/Singles and Q8b for Households/Families and number of units is found in grant application. * * Beds for singles programs and units for family programs		
	PSH RRH-DV		

RRH-Youth



Bonus Questions: (total possible bonus points: 15)	Project Score:
Unless otherwise noted, the following project performance scores are based	
on calendar year (January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2018) HMIS report. If the	
project has not been operational for one year, the data will be based upon	
what is currently in the HMIS system. If projects have not input data into the	
HMIS system, the project will be eligible for bonus question points.	

Ρορι	llations Served	Possible Score	Project Score
1	If the project applicant has additional non-HUD funded homeless beds (not a part of this project application), are those beds being entered into the HMIS system? PSH RRH-DV RRH-Youth	Yes = 5 No = 0	
2	Percentage of program leavers that were employed at program exit? % PSH RRH-DV RRH-Youth	50% or more = 10 30% - 49% = 5 10% - 29% = 2 9% and below = 0	

Tie Breaker (if needed): (Maximum tie breaker points = 20)	Project Score:
The project must meet the minimum attendance requirements and points will	
be given based upon the overall attendance for each question.	

Populations Served	Possible Score	Project Score
--------------------	----------------	---------------



1	Did the applicant participate in HHA meetings? (Minimum of	75% = 2 pts	
	70% required)% of HHA meeting attended.	85% = 5 pts	
		95% = 10 pts	
	PSH		
	RRH-DV		
	RRH-Youth		
2	Did the applicant participate in at least one committee of the	1 Committee = 2	
	HHA and attend at least 60% of the committee meetings?	2 Committees = 5	
	(Minimum required)% of committee meetings	3 or more Committees	
	attended.	= 5	
	PSH		
	RRH-DV		
	RRH-Youth		
	60% minimum attendance		
Second	The project with the greatest leverage will be ranked higher.		
Tie	Which project has the greatest leverage?		
Breaker			
(if	PSH		
needed)	RRH-DV		
	RRH-Youth		