# RFP HES.2021.1 SELECTION COMMITTEE MEMBERS SCORE TALLY SHEET July 14, 2021

Selection Committee Members	Autumn Ridge		
Jeff Bolton	83	17	89
Wanda Gadson	95	92	95
Michael Howe	95	92	94
TOTAL SCORE	273	261	218





## RFP HED.2021.2

#### **SELECTION COMMITTEE MEMBER SCORE SHEET**

August 13, 2021

265,000

Scoring Criterion	Maximum Points	Autumn Ridge	Calusa Pointe	Coleman Park
Quality of Proposed Project - Assessment of project development plan, design features, unit finishes, site amenities, number of affordable units/income targeting, proximity to services and facilities, and green building/resiliency features.	30	25	23	27
Qualifications and Experience - Assessment of the Respondent and development team's qualifications and experience, particularly with residential projects of a similar nature as that proposed.	25	23	24	24
<b>Financial Viability</b> - Assessment of financial viability of project, including availability of development funding sources and reasonableness of uses, product pricing, revenues/expenses, ability to repay debt and meet future physical needs, and likelihood of sustainable performance over time.	20	13	11	18
<b>Project Schedule</b> - The project is able to deliver affordable units expeditiously, and the projected schedule and time frames are realistic and achievable.	15	12	14	15
<b>Geographic Preferences</b> - Projects located within a Census Tract with a poverty rate of no less than 20% (as determined by 2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Data Table S1701) will receive five (5) points.	5	5	5	5
<b>Targeted Resident Population Preference</b> - Projects where no fewer than 60% of the total housing units will be occupied by Disabled Persons, Elderly Persons, or Veterans will receive five (5) points.	5	5	0	
	TOTAL SCORE	83	77	89

Name: JEFF BOLTON

Signature:  $\frac{8/13/202}{}$ 





### RFP HED.2021.2 **SELECTION COMMITTEE MEMBER SCORE SHEET** August 13, 2021

Scoring Criterion	Maximum Points	Autumn Ridge	Calusa Pointe	Coleman Park
Quality of Proposed Project - Assessment of project development plan, design features, unit finishes, site amenities, number of affordable units/income targeting, proximity to services and facilities, and green building/resiliency features.	30	v 30	30	30
Qualifications and Experience - Assessment of the Respondent and development team's qualifications and experience, particularly with residential projects of a similar nature as that proposed.	25	V 35	25	25
<b>Financial Viability</b> - Assessment of financial viability of project, including availability of development funding sources and reasonableness of uses, product pricing, revenues/expenses, ability to repay debt and meet future physical needs, and likelihood of sustainable performance over time.	20	15	@11	20
<b>Project Schedule</b> - The project is able to deliver affordable units expeditiously, and the projected schedule and time frames are realistic and achievable.	15	15	15	15
Geographic Preferences - Projects located within a Census Tract with a poverty rate of no less than 20% (as determined by 2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Data Table S1701) will receive five (5) points.	5	. 5	5	5
Targeted Resident Population Preference - Projects where no fewer than 60% of the total housing units will be occupied by Disabled Persons, Elderly Persons, or Veterans will receive five (5) points.	5	5.	0	0
	TOTAL SCORE	95	92	95

Name: <u>Marda Gadgar</u>
Signature: <del>Namh Sada</del>



## RFP HED.2021.2 SELECTION COMMITTEE MEMBER SCORE SHEET August 13, 2021

Scoring Criterion	Maximum Points	Autumn Ridge	Calusa Pointe II	Coleman Park
Quality of Proposed Project - Assessment of project development plan, design features, unit finishes, site amenities, number of affordable units/income targeting, proximity to services and facilities, and green building/resiliency features.	30	27	29	29
<b>Qualifications and Experience</b> - Assessment of the Respondent and development team's qualifications and experience, particularly with residential projects of a similar nature as that proposed.	25	25	25	25
<b>Financial Viability</b> - Assessment of financial viability of project, including availability of development funding sources and reasonableness of uses, product pricing, revenues/expenses, ability to repay debt and meet future physical needs, and likelihood of sustainable performance over time.	20	18	18	20
<b>Project Schedule</b> - The project is able to deliver affordable units expeditiously, and the projected schedule and time frames are realistic and achievable.	15	15	15	15
<b>Geographic Preferences</b> - Projects located within a Census Tract with a poverty rate of no less than 20% (as determined by 2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Data Table S1701) will receive five (5) points.	5	5	5	5
Targeted Resident Population Preference - Projects where no fewer than 60% of the total housing units will be occupied by Disabled Persons, Elderly Persons, or Veterans will receive five (5) points.	5	5	0	
	TOTAL SCORE	95	92	94

Name: Michael Howe

Signature: <u>Michael Howe</u> Date: <u>08/13/202</u>



