
Minutes for Tea TPS

Meeting Date: 10/18/02

Task Team	Meeting Date by	Meeting Number	Meeting Date	Staff Attending	Minutes	Goals for Next Meeting	Staff Initials Entering Info
TPS	October 2002				<input type="text" value="4"/>		

Task Team	Meeting Date by Meeting Number	Meeting Date	Staff Attending	Minutes	Goals for Next Meeting	Staff Initials Entering Info
		<u>10/18/02</u>	Bruce K., Rosa D., Bruce T., Ed F., Lenny B.	<p>The CTF Subcommittee convened October 18, 2002 at 9:30 to discuss the project aggregation provisions of the Traffic Performance Standards Ordinance. The subcommittee members present reviewed all of the provisions submitted to the CTF on October 3, 2002, and agreed to the changes indicated below. Page and line numbers refer to the draft dated October 3, 2002.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Page 5, line 1-2. Add language to subsection 2(d) clarifying that nothing in the aggregation rule would alter the means of calculating and collecting impact fees provided for in Article 10 of the ULDC. 2. Page 5, line 19. Amend subsection 2(e) by replacing language requiring aggregated developments submit a single study with language requiring the applicant or applicants seeking traffic concurrency approval to submit a single study. The purpose of this change is to ensure that previously approved developments would not be required to submit another traffic study in the event of aggregation. 3. Page 5, line 23. Amend sentence beginning at this line to read: Such review and procedural standards shall not affect the terms and conditions of a PREVIOUSLY APPROVED DEVELOPMENT ORDER, or a prior AGREEMENT related traffic concurrency approval of an aggregated DEVELOPMENT. 4. Add language indicating that as part of the traffic concurrency application process, the applicant states that the proposed project is not subject to aggregation. 5. Add language indicating that terms borrowed from Florida Statute and Administrative Rule shall have the meaning for the purposes of the County aggregation rule. <p>The meeting was adjourned at approximately 11:40 a.m.</p>	<p>Ms. Durando wished to remove subsection (c)7, which provides: "Nothing herein shall prevent the development of a portion of a parcel owned by one Person where no unified plan of development for the remainder of the parcel, or portion thereof, is evidenced." Mr. Kaleita indicated his desire to leave this provision in the regulation. Both indicated that they would be willing to forward the aggregation rule to the full CTF, subject to the changes indicated above. The subcommittee is scheduled to meet Friday, October 25 at 1:00 p.m. in the PZB 4th floor conference room to review language changes based on the comments above.</p>	MMK