1 2	ORDINANCE 2006
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 22 23 24 25 26	AN ORDINANCE OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE UNIFIED LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, ORDINANCE 03-067, AS AMENDED, AS FOLLOWS: ARTICLE 1 - GENERAL PROVISIONS; CHAPTER H - LOT OF RECORD; CHAPTER I - DEFINITIONS AND ACRONYMS; ARTICLE 2 - DEVELOPMENT REVIEW PROCESS; CHAPTER B - PUBLIC HEARING PROCEDURES; CHAPTER D - ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESS; CHAPTER E - MONITORING; ARTICLE 3 - OVERLAYS & ZONING DISTRICTS; CHAPTER C - STANDARD DISTRICTS; CHAPTER D - PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS (PDRS); CHAPTER E - PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS (TDDS); ARTICLE 4 - USE REGULATIONS; CHAPTER A - USE CLASSIFICATION; CHAPTER B - SUPPLEMENTARY USE STANDARDS; ARTICLE 5 - SUPPLEMENTARY STANDARDS; CHAPTER B - ACCESSORY AND TEMPORARY USES; ARTICLE 6 - PARKING; CHAPTER A - PARKING; CHAPTER B - LOADING STANDARDS; ARTICLE 7 - LANDSCAPING; CHAPTER B - TYPES OF PLANS; CHAPTER F - PERIMETER BUFFER LANDSCAPE REQUIREMENTS; APPENDIX D - CHECKLIST OF STANDARDS THAT CAN BE ALTERED WITH AN APPROVED ALP; ARTICLE 8 - SIGNAGE; CHAPTER C - PROHIBITIONS; CHAPTER D - TEMPORARY SIGNS REQUIRING SPECIAL PERMIT; PROVIDING FOR: INTERPRETATION OF CAPTIONS; REPEAL OF LAWS IN CONFLICT; SEVERABILITY; A SAVINGS CLAUSE; INCLUSION IN THE UNIFIED LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE; AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.
27	WHEREAS, Section 163.3202, Florida Statutes, mandates the County compile Land
28	Development Regulations consistent with its Comprehensive Plan into a single Land
29	Development Code; and
30	WHEREAS, pursuant to this statute the Palm Beach County Board of County
31	Commissioners (BCC) adopted the Unified Land Development Code (ULDC),
32	Ordinance 2003-067, as amended from time to time; and
33	WHEREAS, the BCC desires to further amend the ULDC, based upon public
34	participation and advice from the Palm Beach County Land Development Regulation
35	Advisory Board; and
36	WHEREAS, the BCC has determined that the proposed amendments further a
37	legitimate public purpose; and
38	WHEREAS, the Land Development Regulation Commission has found these
39	amendments to the ULDC to be consistent with the Palm Beach County Comprehensive
40	Plan; and
41	WHEREAS, the BCC hereby elects to conduct its public hearings on this Ordinance
42	at 9:30 a.m.; and
43	WHEREAS, the BCC has conducted public hearings to consider these amendments
44	to the ULDC in a manner consistent with the requirements set forth in Section 125.66,
45	Florida Statutes

November 27, 2006

Page

BCC Zoning Hearing

1

2 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY

COMMISSIONERS OF PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA, as follows:

4

5

12

3

Section 1. Adoption

- The amendments set forth in Exhibits A, B, C, D, E, F, G, and H attached hereto and
- 7 made a part hereof, are hereby adopted.

8 Section 2. Interpretation of Captions

- 9 All headings of articles, sections, paragraphs, and sub-paragraphs used in this
- 10 Ordinance are intended for the convenience of usage only and have no effect on
- 11 interpretation.

Section 3. Providing for Repeal of Laws in Conflict

- All local laws and ordinances in conflict with any provisions of this Ordinance are
- 14 hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

15 <u>Section 4. Severability</u>

- 16 If any section, paragraph, sentence, clause, phrase, word, map, diagram, or any
- 17 other item contained in this Ordinance is for any reason held by the Court to be
- 18 unconstitutional, inoperative, void, or otherwise invalid, such holding shall not affect the
- 19 remainder of this Ordinance.

Section 5. Providing for a Savings Clause

- All development orders, permits, enforcement orders, ongoing enforcement actions,
- 22 and all other actions of the Board of County Commissioners, the Zoning Commission,
- 23 the Development Review Committee, Enforcement Boards, all other County decision-
- 24 making and advisory boards, Special Masters, Hearing Officers, and all other County
- 25 officials, issued pursuant to the regulations and procedures established prior to the
- 26 effective date of this Ordinance shall remain in full force and effect.

27

20

1	Section 6. Inclusion in the Unified	Land Development Code
2	The provisions of this Ordinance sha	all be codified in the Unified Land Development
3	Code and may be reorganized, renumber	ered or relettered to effectuate the codification of
4	this Ordinance.	
5	Section 7. Providing for an Effecti	ve Date
6	The provisions of this Ordinance	shall become effective upon filing with the
7	Department of State.	
8		
9	APPROVED and ADOPTED by t	he Board of County Commissioners of Palm
10	Beach County, Florida, on this the	day of, 20
	SHARON R. BOCK, CLERK & COMPTROLLER	PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA, BY ITS BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
	By: Deputy Clerk	By: Addie L. Greene, Chairperson
	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGAL SUFFICIENCY	
11	By:County Attorney	
12 13 14	EFFECTIVE DATE: Filed with the	e Department of State on the day of
15	, 20	
16 17		
18		
19 20		
21		
22		
23 24		
25		
26 27		
27 28		
29		

30

EXHIBIT A

ARTICLE 1 – GENERAL PROVISIONS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
	9
1 1 1 1 1	0123456789012345678901234567890
2 2 2 3 3	67890 ₁
3 3 3 3 3	-23456
3 3 4	7 8 9 1

Part 1. ULDC, Art. 1.H.1, Potentially Buildable Lot (page 23 of 96), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: Additional glitch corrections to use consistent terminology and clarify access and frontage requirements.

CHAPTER H **LOT OF RECORD**

Section 1 **Potentially Buildable Lot**

A. Applicability

The following provisions shall apply to a lot that is not depicted on either a plat of record, affidavit of exemption, or affidavit of plat waiver.

A lot may be considered buildable for the purpose of constructing a single family dwelling and accessory uses or structures only if all of the following criteria are satisfied:

Date of Creation

The lot was created under one of the following two options:

a1. Option 1 - Creation prior to February 2 5, 1973.

- a. The lot existed prior to February 25, 1973 in its current configuration as evidenced by a chain of title. ; and
- The lot has access as follows:
 - 1) In accordance with Art. 11, Subdivision, Platting and Required Improvements, Table 11.E.2.A-1, Chart of Access Hierarchy; or
 - From a recorded exclusive easement, a minimum of 20 feet in width, granting the owner, its successors and assigns a perpetual right of useable access properties lying between said lot and a street.
- b2. Option 2 Creation after on or subsequent to February 2 5, 1973 and before June 16. 1992.
 - 1)a. The lot was created after on or subsequent to February 2 5, 1973 and existed prior to June 16, 1992 in its current configuration as evidenced by a chain of title; and
 - 2)b. The lot complied with the density requirements of the Plan in effect at the time the lot was created- and.
 - 3)e. The lot complies with one of the following:
 - a1) Art. 3.D, Property Development Regulations (PDRS), Table 3.D.1.A-5, Property Development Regulations, or
 - b2) Art.11.A.4.B, Building Permits and Other Approvals; or
 - The lot exists in its present configuration as shown in the 1989 PBC FLU Atlas adopted August 31, 1989. and

2d. Legal Access

The lot has legal access (that was in existence at the time the lot was created, and which remains in place) to streets currently identified on the PBC Thoroughfare R-O-W Identification Map, as follows:

- a.1) In accordance with Art. 11, Subdivision, Platting and Required Improvements, Table 11.E.2.A-1, Chart of Access Hierarchy; or
- b.2) From a recorded easement exclusively for the purposes of ingress and egress access, a minimum of 20 feet in width, granting the owner, its successors and assigns a perpetual right of useable access across all properties lying between said lot and a street.

48 49 50

42

43

44

45

46 47

Part 2. ULDC, Art. 1.I.2.S.110, Structure (page 83 of 96), is hereby amended as follows:

52 53

51

Reason for amendment: Definition applies to multiple articles of the ULDC.

54

55

56

57

58

59

CHAPTER I DEFINITIONS & ACRONYMS

Structure -

Section 2 **Definitions**

110.

S. Terms defined herein or referenced Article shall have the following meanings:

es of Art. 9. Unless specified otherwise, means that which is three feet or more in height which is built or constructed or erected or tied down having a fixed location on the ground or attached to something having a permanent location on the ground, such as buildings, homes, mobile homes, towers, walls, fences, billboards, shore protection devices and poster panels. [Ord. 2004-013]

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT A

ARTICLE 1 – GENERAL PROVISIONS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

Reason for amendment: Amendment requested by Bob Banks, Assistant County Attorney, to expand

Section 2 **Definitions**

Part 3. ULDC, Art. 1.I.2.T.10, TDR Escrow Agreement (page 85 of 96), is hereby amended as follows:

current requirement for cash only, to allow for an approved surety agreement as well.

DEFINITIONS & ACRONYMS CHAPTER I

T. Terms defined herein or referenced Article shall have the following meanings:

10. TDR, Escrow Agreement - for the purposes of Art. 5, a legal document which holds money or an approved surety in trust by a third party to be turned over to PBC upon the fulfillment of

U:\zoning\CODEREV\2006\BCC Hearings\2006-02 Round\11-27-06 RFP to Advertise\Exhibit A - Article 1.doc

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

ARTICLE 2 - DEVELOPMENT REVIEW PROCESS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	
	_	
33334444444444	8901234567	
5 5		
	3	

Part 1. ULDC, Art. 2.B.1.B, Standards [Related to Official Zoning Map Amendment (Rezoning)] (page 15 of 49), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: Clarify where standards apply to straight rezonings.

CHAPTER B PUBLIC HEARING PROCEDURES

Section 1 Official Zoning Map Amendment (Rezoning)

B. Standards

When considering a development order application for rezoning to a standard zoning district a proposed amendment, the BCC or and ZC shall consider the following standards 1-8 indicated below. In addition the standards indicated in section 2.B of this chapter shall also be considered for rezoning to a standard zoning district with a conditional use, and rezoning to a PDD or TDD with or without a requested use or waiver. An amendment which fails to meet any of these standards shall be deemed adverse to the public interest and shall not be approved

- **Consistency Consistent** with the Plan Whether t-The proposed amendment is consistent with the Plan.
- **Consistency Consistent** with the Code Whether tThe proposed amendment is not in conflict with any portion of this Code, and is consistent with the stated purpose and intent of this Code.
- **Compatibility Compatible** with Surrounding Uses Whether and the extent to which Tthe proposed amendment is compatible, and generally consistent with existing uses and zones surrounding zoning districts the subject land, and is the appropriate zoning district for the parcel of land. In making this finding, the BCC may apply an alternative zoning district.
- 4.5. Effect on the Natural Environment and the extent to which Tthe proposed amendment will not would result in significantly adverse impacts on the natural environment, including but not limited to water, air, stormwater management, wildlife, vegetation, wetlands, and the natural functioning of the
- 5.6. Development Patterns

environment.

Whether and the extent to which Ithe proposed amendment will would result in a logical, orderly, and timely development pattern.

6.7. Consistency with Neighborhood Plan

to what extent Tthe proposed zoning district is consistent with applicable neighborhood plans in accordance with BCC Board policy, and.

7.8. Adequate Public Facilities

The extent to which Tthe proposed amendment rezoning complies with Art. 2.F, Concurrency.

8.4. Changed Conditions or Circumstances

and the extent to which Tthere are any demonstrated changed conditions or circumstances that necessitate require an the amendment.

Part 2. ULDC, Art. 2.B.2, Conditional and Requested Uses (page 16 of 49), is hereby amended

Reason for amendment: Clarify application of standards.

CHAPTER B PUBLIC HEARING PROCEDURES

Section 2

55

56 57

58 59

60

61 62

63

Conditional and Requested Uses. Conditional Uses, Requested Uses and **Development Order Amendments**

Conditional uses, and requested uses, and development order amendments are generally compatible with the other uses permitted in a district, but require individual review of their location, design, configuration, intensity and/or density and may require the imposition of conditions to ensure the appropriateness and compatibility of the use at a particular location

B. Standards

When considering a development order application for a conditional or requested use, or a development order amendment, the BCC and ZC shall consider the following standards 1 - 9 indicated below. A conditional or requested use, or development order amendment which fails to meet any of these standards shall be deemed adverse to the public interest and shall not be approved

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

ARTICLE 2 - DEVELOPMENT REVIEW PROCESS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

1. Consistency Consistent with the Plan

The proposed use <u>or amendment</u> is consistent with the purposes, goals, objectives and policies of the Plan, including standards for building and structural intensities and densities, and intensities of use.

2. Consistency with the Code Supplementary Use Standards

The proposed use <u>or amendment</u> complies with all <u>applicable</u> standards <u>and</u> provisions of this Code for use, layout, function, and general development characteristics. The proposed use <u>also</u> complies with all applicable portions of Art. 4.B, Supplementary Use Standards.

3. Compatibility with Surrounding Uses

The proposed use <u>or amendment</u> is compatible and generally consistent with the uses and character of the land surrounding and in the vicinity of the land proposed for development.

4. Design Minimizes Adverse Impact

The design of the proposed use minimizes adverse effects, including visual impact and intensity of the proposed use on adjacent lands.

5.6. Design Minimizes Environmental Impact

The proposed use <u>and design</u> minimizes environmental impacts, including, but not limited to, water, air, stormwater management, wildlife, vegetation, wetlands and the natural functioning of the environment.

6.7. Development Patterns

Whether and to what the extent to which <u>T</u>the proposed <u>use or amendment</u> development will result in a logical, orderly and timely development pattern.

7.9. Consistency with Neighborhood Plans

Whether and to what extent Tthe proposed development or amendment is consistent with applicable neighborhood plans in accordance with BCC policy.

8. Other Standards

The proposed use complies with all standards imposed on it by all other applicable provisions of this Code for use, layout, function, and general development characteristics.

8. Adequate Public Facilities

The extent to which the proposed use complies with Art. 2.F, Concurrency.

9.10. Changed Conditions or Circumstances

Whether and the extent it can be demonstrated that _Tthere are any demonstrated changed conditions or circumstances that necessitate require a modification.

C. Class A Conditional Use

1 Authorized Class A Conditional Uses

. ...

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35 36

37

38

39 40

41 42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57 58

59 60

61 62

63

64

65

66

67

D. Requested Use

1. Authorized Requested Uses

....

E. Class B Conditional Use

1. Authorized Class B Conditional Uses

...

FG Development Order Amendment

1. General

A development order for a Class A conditional use, requested use or Class B conditional use may be amended, extended, varied or altered only pursuant to the standards and procedures established for its original approval, or as otherwise set forth in this Section. Before any conditional/requested use is amended, extended, varied or altered, the applicant shall demonstrate and the ZC/BCC shall find that a change of circumstances or conditions has occurred which make it necessary to amend, extend, vary or alter the conditional/requested use.

2. Expedited Application Consideration (EAC)

Certain minor development order amendments may be eligible for expedited consideration and review.

a. Criteria

The application shall meet all of the following criteria in order to be reviewed, in an EAC process;

- 1) Approval of the Zoning Director and the County Engineer shall be obtained prior to submission. The Zoning Director and the County Engineer shall consult with any other department responsible for the conditions of approval. They shall approve or deny the request to obtain expedited consideration based on compatibility of the request with the surrounding area. The magnitude of the requested modification shall also be considered. The County Engineer and the Zoning Director shall only permit expedited consideration for proposals which have minimal site design impact, and which, if approved, will be compatible with surrounding areas;
- 2) The proposed application, if approved, will not increase intensity or density of the project;
- 3) Proof of compliance with all previous conditions of development approval;

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

ARTICLE 2 - DEVELOPMENT REVIEW PROCESS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

4)	No change	to ti	he threshold	certificate,	except	alteration	of leg	al description	, shall
	occur;								

- 5) The proposed amendment does not affect uses or intensities/densities within a DRI (Development of Regional Impact); and
- 6) All impacts shall be internal to the project.

3. Procedures

After approval by the County Engineer and the Zoning Director to participate in an EAC process, the application shall be submitted and reviewed pursuant to the applicable development approval procedure, except that:

a After the application is certified by the DRO, the proposed modification may proceed directly to the next BCC hearing for which advertising requirements can be met.

G.F. Conditions of Approval

1. Class A Conditional/Requested Use/Development Order Amendment

The DRO and ZC may recommend, and the BCC may impose, such conditions in a development order for a Class A conditional use, or requested use, or development order amendment that are necessary to accomplish the purposes of the Plan and this Code; to prevent or minimize adverse effects upon the public, the environment and neighborhoods; and to ensure compatibility, including, but not limited to, limitations on function, size, bulk, location of improvements and buildings, standards for landscaping, buffering, lighting, adequate ingress and egress, conveyance of property, on-site or off-site improvements, duration and hours of operation. Conditions shall be included if conventional standards are inadequate to protect the public interest and surrounding land uses or if additional improvements are needed to facilitate a transition between different uses. Conditions are not intended to restate Code provisions. Any Code provision which is expressly restated as a condition of approval, shall not be eligible for a variance unless otherwise specified in the condition. Fixed time periods may be set for compliance with conditions and shall be governed by Art. 2.E, Monitoring.

[Art. 2.B.2.G, Development Order Amendment has been relocated above to F, as indicated by italicized text.]

Part 3. ULDC, Art. 2.D, Administrative Process Administrative Amendments [related to Administrative Process] (page 37 of 49), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: Relocate and clarify administrative amendments.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESS

38 **Section**

Section 4 Administrative Amendments

A. Purpose

To allow minor corrections, additions and amendments to an approved site plan or subdivision plan requiring approval from the Zoning Division and a maximum of one additional agency.

B. Types of Administrative Amendments

Administrative Amendments permitted include, but are not limited to, change in sign locations, minor modifications to parking areas, relocation of terminal islands to accommodate trees or utility lines, reduction in building size, addition of canopies, minor revisions to lot lines, temporary sales trailers, and other minor structures.

C. Procedures

- Applicants shall be seen on a walk-in basis during a time frame established by the Zoning Director.
- 2. Applicants shall be required to submit a complete administrative amendment application including any necessary approvals from an affected agency, and required authorization.
- 3. Applicants shall be able to clearly identify the area being changed, provide all applicable information (square footage, height, width), adjust tabular and other site plan related data, and legibly make the change to the site plan.

D. Standards

- 1. The proposed amendment shall comply with all applicable sections of the ULDC and the regulations of any other affected agency.
- 2. The affected area shall only be used for the purpose identified in the application.

Part 4. ULDC, Art. 2.D.1. Development Review Officer (page 25 of 49), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: To clarify DRO Processes.

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

ARTICLE 2 - DEVELOPMENT REVIEW PROCESS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

CHAPTER D ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESS

2 Section 1 **Development Review Officer**

C. Review Procedures

1. Staff Review

At least five days prior to the DRO review date, each applicant shall be provided a written list of issues, if any, which must be addressed prior to approval of the application.

Expedited DRO Applications (EDA - Signature Only) Expedited applications will not receive written comments from the DRO. A Ppreviously postponed Type 1 EDA items will receive updated comment letters only.

D. Application Requirements

E. Standards

F. Conditions

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40 41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48

49 50

51

52 53

54

57

58

59

G. Plan Amendments and Approval of Certain Site Plans

The DRO may approve minor amendments to site plans and subdivision plans, and approve new site plans, in accordance with the following procedures.

1. Expedited DRO Applications (EDA - Signature Only)

Type I EDA
The Type I EDA is for projects that require the submittal of a new site plan. Amendments to a site plan or subdivision plan which require recommendation and comment by five or fewer agencies as determined by the DRO, Type I EDA's shall only be used for the approval of Type IB excavations and public schools. Applications must may be submitted in accordance with the Zoning calendar and placed on the next available DRO agenda, subject to approval by the Zoning Director. An The EDA application shall be submitted in accordance with Article 2.A.1, Applicability, and reviewed in accordance with the standards in Article 2.D.1.C, Review Procedures. In a 2.A.1, Applicability, the The applicant shall be responsible for obtaining the recommendations from the affected PBC departments or other agencies, in a form and manner acceptable to the Zoning Division, a minimum of two working days before the scheduled DRO review date.

Type II EDA

The Type II EDA is for amendments to an existing approved site plan or subdivision plan which requires recommendation and comment by five or fewer agencies as determined by the DRO. , may be submitted in accordance with the Zoning calendar and placed on the next available DRO agenda, subject to approval by the Zoning Director. An The EDA application shall be submitted by appointment only, in accordance with Art. 2.A.1, Applicability, and reviewed in accordance with the standards in Art. 2.D.1.C, Review Procedures. In addition to the standards in Art. 2.A.1, Applicability, the The applicant shall be responsible for obtaining the recommendations from the affected PBC departments or other agencies, in a form and manner acceptable to the Zoning Division. a minimum of two working days before the scheduled DRO review date.

Administrative Amendments

Minor corrections, additions and amendments to an approved site plan or subdivision plan, which do not require recommendation and comment from an agency other than the Zoning Division, may be approved administratively by the DRO. Administrative Amendments permitted include, but are not limited to, a change in sign location, minor modifications to parking areas (such as the relocation of handicapped parking spaces), relocation of terminal islands to accommodate trees or utility lines, addition of phase lines reduction in building size, addition of canopies, removal of excess parking, minor revisions to lot lines to be consistent with a plat, temporary sales trailers, and other minor structures. An application for an Administrative Amendments shall be submitted in accordance with Article 2.A.1, Applicability, and reviewed in accordance with the standards in Article 2.D.1.C, Review Procedures.

55 56

> Part 5. ULDC, Art. 2.D.3.C, Type IB Administrative Variances [Related to Generators] (page 25 of 49), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: Amend to add new language for permanent generators.

60 61 62

63

CHAPTER D **ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESS**

Section 3 Type IA and Type IB Administrative Variances

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

ARTICLE 2 - DEVELOPMENT REVIEW PROCESS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

C. Type IB Administrative Variances

Type IB variances may be considered for the following:

3. Permanent Generators on SFD and ZLL Lots

A variance may be requested to reduce the minimum front and/or side setback requirements for permanent generators proposed on single family or ZLL lots, provided that the generator complies with all other applicable ULDC requirements.

1 2 3

Part 6. ULDC, Art. 2.E.2.A, Suspension of Development Orders (page 31 of 49), is hereby amended as follows:

10 11 12

Reason for amendment: Amend text to add variance

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34 35

36 37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46 47

48 49

CHAPTER E MONITORING

Section 2

Procedures

A. Suspension of Development Orders

1. Upon expiration of any time period established by this Code or for any failure to comply with, or continued violation of a condition of development approval, except for a condition imposed by the DRO, or a condition for which a complete administrative time extension application has been submitted, or a variance, no new development orders affecting the property shall be issued by PBC, and no action which might tend to vest the development order shall be permitted, except as permitted by Art. 2.E.2.A.5, Procedures for Rezonings, until a final determination is made by the Executive Director, or BCC or ZC pursuant to Article 2.E.2.B, Administrative Extension of Time, and Article 2.E.2.D, Failure to Comply with Conditions_ or Time Requirements other than for a DRO Imposed Condition of Approval, herein. This suspension of development rights shall not preclude the property owner from filing a new petition for the subject property to amend or supersede an existing development order, or the BCC or ZC from approving this petition. This suspension of development orders shall also apply to any failure to comply with, or continued violation of, a condition of development approval, if a status report public hearing is scheduled pursuant to Article 2.E.2.D, Failure to Comply with Conditions or Time Requirements other than for a DRO Imposed Condition of Approval.

....

- 3. Upon the expiration of any time period except for a time period to comply with a condition of approval imposed by the DRO; or when a complete administrative time extension application for a time certain condition of approval has been submitted, or upon reasonable cause to believe that a property owner has not complied with a condition or a condition of development approval has been violated, or a variance has not been utilized, a document shall be filed with the clerk of the circuit court to be placed with the records governing title to the affected property except as provided in Art. 2.E.2.A, Suspension of Development Orders, herein. This document may apply only to that portion of the property related to the expired time period, or any condition violated. The document shall give record notice that: [Ord. 2005 002]
 - a. A condition of development has been violated or a time certain activity has not proceeded as required;
 - b. A review of the project will be conducted pursuant to terms of this Section;
 - c. Until the review is completed, no new development orders shall be issued by PBC; and no action which might tend to vest the development order shall be permitted; and
 - d. Such other information as may be reasonable and necessary to afford adequate record notice of the effect of this Section on the rights of property owners.

Part 7. ULDC, Art. 2.E.2.B, Administrative Extension of Time (page 33 of 49), is hereby amended as follows:

55 56

54

Reason for amendment: Amend to include variances

57 58

60

CHAPTER E MONITORING

59 Section 2

Procedures

61

B. Administrative Extension of Time

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

ARTICLE 2 - DEVELOPMENT REVIEW PROCESS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

- 3. In reviewing applications for administrative time extensions for requirements other than conditions of approval <u>and variances</u>, the Executive Director of PZB shall approve a time extension provided there are no current Code violations or outstanding liens or fines and the development order;
 - a. Is consistent with the Plan;
 - b. Is consistent with the Code; and
- 5. In reviewing applications for administrative extensions for variances, the criteria listed in Art. 2.E.2.B.4.a f shall apply.
- 65. When the extension of time is for the payment of fees, the amount due shall increase by an interest payment equal to the rate established by F.S. §55.03. The interest rate established at the time an extension is approved shall remain the same until the fee is paid. If the extension covers a period less than a year, then the interest shall be prorated.
- <u>76</u>. When the Executive Director of PZB approves an extension of time for completion of a time certain requirement, he/she may require the property owner to guarantee the completion by furnishing a cash deposit, letter of credit, or surety bond.

Part 8. ULDC, Art. 2.E.2.B.2, Administrative Extension of Time [Related to Time Certain Project Buildout] (page 32 of 49), is hereby amended as follows

Reason for amendment: To allow time extensions to reflect the buildout date provided in an approved traffic study.

CHAPTER E MONITORING

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17 18

19 20

21

26

27

28 29 30

31

32

33 34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52 53

54

55

56

57

58 59

60

61 62

63

64

Section 2 Procedures

B. Administrative Extension of Time

2. Upon the filing of an application for an administrative extension of time, the Executive Director, or other person designated by this Code, may grant an extension of time to comply with a requirement. A time extension shall commence upon the expiration of the date to comply with the time requirement, or the expiration of the last extension, whichever is applicable. Conditions of approval with a time certain project buildout date may be provided an additional 90 days if a complete building permit application has been submitted to the Building Division prior to this deadline. The maximum duration of an administrative time extension is as follows:

a. Development Order

....

b. Conditions of Approval not Requiring the Posting of Performance Security Pursuant to Article 12.C.2, Conditions

An administrative extension shall not exceed 12 months shall be the maximum., with the exception of time certain project buildout date condition(s) as mandated by the Traffic Performance Standards. An administrative extension for a project buildout date condition may be granted up to the Buildout Period assumed in the Traffic Study submitted with the application, provided that it has been reviewed and approved by the County Engineer and that no additional conditions of approval are necessary to comply with the Traffic Performance Standards. If additional conditions of approval are required, the request for time extension through the new project buildout year shall be submitted in the form of a Development Order Amendment to the BCC or ZC, as appropriate, for approval. Subsequent applications may be filed, however, the total administrative extensions approved shall not exceed 24 months except 1) for project buildout date conditions and 2) when government-caused delays can be documented as the reason for failure to meet required deadlines. The Executive Director of PZB shall grant such extensions as necessary to offset government-caused delays, not necessarily equal to the time of delay, and each extension shall be based only on a delay that has already occurred. It is the responsibility of the property owner to notify staff in writing of the delay, and document the cause of the delay, however, no application or fee will be required. If the BCC has previously approved a time extension, any administrative extensions of time shall not extend more than 24 months from the original date for compliance except 1) for project buildout date conditions and 2) when there have been government-caused If government caused delay has prevented compliance with a condition of approval which is due prior to the issuance of a building permit or certificate of completion, the compliance deadline may extended to a specific date. The condition will

Notes:

<u>Underlined language</u> indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

ARTICLE 2 - DEVELOPMENT REVIEW PROCESS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

then be subject	to the	review	requirer	ments of	this	Section	for tim	ne certain	conditions	0
approval.										
O	A			TI D		- (D (

c. Conditions of Approval Requiring The Posting of Performance Security pursuant to Article 12.C.2, Conditions

A one-time administrative time extension not to exceed six months shall be the maximum. Conditions of approval that provide a deadline for obtaining building permits may be provided an additional 90 days if a complete building permit application has been submitted to the Building Division prior to this deadline. [Ord. 2005 – 002]

Part 9. ULDC, Art. 2.E.2.C, Appeal (page 33 of 49), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: Time extension to include variances and review by hearing officer

CHAPTER E MONITORING

Section 2 Procedures

C. Appeal

An appeal of a denial of an administrative time extension may be made to the BCC for development orders approved by the BCC or ZC, and to the DRAB for conditions imposed by the DRO and to the hearing officer for variances. An appeal shall be made upon forms prescribed by the department within 30 days of the mailing of the notice that the request for an administrative extension has been denied.

....

Part 10. ULDC, Art. 2.E.2.D.8.b.1).b), Failure to Comply with Conditions or Time Requirements other than for a DRO Imposed Condition of Approval [Related to Project Buildout Period as mandated in the Traffic Performance Standards] (page 33 of 49), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: To allow time extensions to reflect the buildout date provided in an approved traffic study.

CHAPTER E. MONITORING

Section 2 Procedures

 D. Failure to Comply with Conditions or Time Requirements other than for a DRO Imposed Condition of Approval

8. Decision of the BCC or ZC for Failure to Comply with the Following:

Time requirements to commence development, utilize a conditional or requested use or record a plat; or Non-performance security conditions (those not required by Article 12.C.2, Conditions). [Ord. 2005-002]

o. After deliberation, the BCC or ZC shall take one or more of the following actions:

1) Grant a time extension:

b) To comply with a condition of approval for a period not to exceed 24 months. with the exception of time certain project buildout date condition(s) as mandated by the Traffic Performance Standards. A project buildout date condition may receive approval of a time extension up to the Buildout Period assumed in the Traffic Study. The term of the time extension shall commence upon the expiration of the date to complete the time certain activity, or the expiration of the last extension, whichever is applicable. When the BCC or ZC approves an extension of time for the payment of fees, the amount due shall increase by an interest payment equal to the rate established by F.S. §55.03. The interest rate established at the time an extension is approved shall remain the same until the fee is paid. If the extension covers a period less than a year, the interest shall be prorated.

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

ARTICLE 2 - DEVELOPMENT REVIEW PROCESS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

Part 11. ULDC, Art. 2.E.2.F, Procedures (page 37 of 49), is hereby amended as follows:

2

1

Reason for amendment: To include language regarding failure to use variance.

4 5

CHAPTER E MONITORING

7

6

8 9

F. Failure to Use Variance

Procedures

Section 2

If a property owner fails to utilize a variance within the timeframes as provided in Table 2.E.3.B-1, the variance shall become null and void.

10 11 12

13

14 15

16

GF. Expiration of Time Extensions Granted by the BCC

In the event that the property owner has not complied with the condition of development approval or time certain activity at the expiration of a time extension, the development order shall be subject to the requirements of Art. 2.E.2.B, Administrative Extension of Time, Art. 2.E.2.D, Failure to Comply with Conditions or Time Requirements other than for a DRO Imposed Condition of Approval, or Art. 2.E.2.E, Failure to Comply with Conditions of Approval Imposed by the DRO, herein, as appropriate. [Ord. 2005 – 002]

17 18 19

HG.Fees

20 21 Fees to implement this Section shall be established by the BCC.

22 23

24

25

Part 12. ULDC, Art. 2.E.3.B.2, Conditional and Requested Uses, Planned Development Districts (PDD) other than Planned Unit Developments and Traditional Marketplace and Traditional Town Development Districts (page 37 of 49), is hereby amended as follows:

26 27

Reason for amendment: Minor clarification to include TDDs and TMDs in monitoring requirements.

28 29

CHAPTER E MONITORING

Section 3

Supplementary Regulations for Classes of Development Orders

31 32 33

30

B. Effect of Phasing on Time Frames for Receipt of a Required Permit or Commencement of a Required Action

39

40 41

Conditional and Requested Uses, PDDs other than PUDs, TTDs and TMDs The Final site plan/Final Subdivision plan for conditional and requested uses, PDDs other

than PUDs, TTDs, or TMDs, may provide for phasing. Table 2.E.3.B-1, Time Limitation of Development Order for Each Phase, provides the maximum number of phases permitted for each type of development order. If there are multiple phases, each of the first two phases shall contain a minimum of 20 percent of the land area and the first and second phases shall contain a combined minimum of 40 percent of the land area unless otherwise approved in the development order approved by the BCC or ZC. A TMD in the U/S Tier shall include a minimum of 25 percent residential/non residential of the total project. Table 2.E.3.B-1, Time Limitation of Development Order for Each Phase, also provides time requirements for commencement of development. [Ord. 2006-004]

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

ARTICLE 2 - DEVELOPMENT REVIEW PROCESS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

Part 13. ULDC, Table 2.E.3.B-1, Time Limitation of Development Order for Each Phase (page 38 of 49), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: 1) Modification to Table 2.E.3.B-1 to reflect Time Limitation for Variance Development Order; and 2) to amend phasing for TTD's.

T.	ABLE 2.E.3.B-1 - TII	ME LIMITAT	ION OF DEVEL	OPMENT ORDE	R FOR EACH	I PHASE
TYPE OF DE	VELOPMENT ORDER	MAXIMUM NUMBER OF PHASES	NEXT REQUIRED ACTION OR DEVELOPMENT ORDER	MAXIMUM TIME TO RECEIVE DEVELOP- MENT PERMIT OR COMMENCE DEVELOPMENT	MAXIMUM LENGTH OF ADMINIS- TRATIVE TIME EXTENSION	ACTION UPON FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH TIME REQUIREMENT WITHOUT AN APPROVED TIME EXTENSION
NON-PLANNE TRADITIONAL	RESIDENTIAL- D DEV. DIST. (PDD) or DEV. DIST. (TDD) associated variance(s))	2	Record plat or affidavit of plat waiver or commence development	Three years 2,7	No extensions permitted	BCC review pursuant to subsections Art. 2.E.2.A, Suspension of Development Orders and Art. 2.E.2.D, Failure to Comply with Conditions herein
Non-PDD or TI	NONRESIDENTIAL- DD associated variance(s))	2	Commence development	Three years ^{2,7}	12 months	BCC review pursuant to subsections Art. 2.E.2.A, Suspension of Development Orders and Art. 2.E.2.D, Failure to Comply with Conditions herein
CLASS B, INCLUDING T TDDs	L USES CLASS A AND REQUESTED USES THOSE IN PDDs, and associated variance(s))	2 ⁵	Commence development or utilize Conditional Use or Requested Use if no construction is required	Three years ^{2,7}	12 months	Pursuant to sub- sections Art. 2.E.2.A, Sus-pension of Development Orders and Art. 2.E.2.D, Failure to Comply ywith Con-ditions herein: Class A -BCC review; Class B - Zoning Commission review
(PUD)	PLANNED UNIT DEV. associated variance(s))	4	Commence development	Three years 2,7	12 months	BCC review pursuant to subsections Art. 2.E.2.A, Suspension of Development Orders and Art.2.E.2.D herein
	ONAL DOD DEV. (TND) associated variance(s))	No maximum	Record plat ⁶	Three years 2,7	No extensions permitted	BCC review pursuant to subsections Art. 2.E.2.A, Suspension of Development Orders and Art. 2.E.2.D, Failure to Comply with Conditions herein
TDD	TMD in the AGR Tier	1		Three years or, for a TTD, as		BCC review pursuant to subsections Art.
(Including any	TMD in the U/S Tier	4	Commence development	may be recommended by DRI or local	12 months	2.E.2.A, Suspension of Development Orders and Art.
associated variance(s))	TMD in all other Tiers and TTD	No Maximum		government conditions of approval.		2.E.2.D, Failure to Comply with Conditions herein
DEVELOPMENT ORDERS WHICH AT THE TIME OF CERTIFICATION ARE NOT ASSOCIATED WITH ANY OTHER DEVELOPMENT ORDER WHICH IS SUBJECT TO THE SITE PLAN SITE PLAN FINAL SUB-DIVISION PLAN: NON-RESIDEN-TIAL		2	Commence Development Commence Development	Four years ^{3,7}	No extensions permitted	Plan null & void for the undeveloped phases of a site plan, unplatted phases of a subdivision plan, and variances if applicable.

Notes:

<u>Underlined language</u> indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

^{.... (}ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

ARTICLE 2 - DEVELOPMENT REVIEW PROCESS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

TABLE	2.E.3.B-1 - TII	ME LIMITAT	TION OF DEVEL	OPMENT ORDE	R FOR EACH	I PHASE
TYPE OF DEVELOP	MENT ORDER	MAXIMUM NUMBER OF PHASES	NEXT REQUIRED ACTION OR DEVELOPMENT ORDER	MAXIMUM TIME TO RECEIVE DEVELOP- MENT PERMIT OR COMMENCE DEVELOPMENT	MAXIMUM LENGTH OF ADMINIS- TRATIVE TIME EXTENSION	ACTION UPON FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH TIME REQUIREMENT WITHOUT AN APPROVED TIME EXTENSION
REQUIREMEN TS OF ART.2.E (THOSE LISTED ABOVE)	FINAL SUBDIVISION PLAN; RESI- DENTIAL	No maximum	Record Plat	Three years ^{3,7}		
NON COI CURRENT VARIANCES		N/A	Commence Development	One year	24 months	Variance becomes null & void if applicable

Notes for Table 2.E.3.B - 1

Commencement of development shall consist of:

- a. Receipt of a building permit and first inspection approval of first component of the primary structure(s) for a) the entire development, as defined by the certified site plan or certificate of concurrency for those development orders which do not require the certification of a site plan or b) all of the next phase if phasing is provided by the development order and final Master Plan pursuant to Art. 2.E.3.B.3.b, Final Site Plan or Final Subdivision Plan, herein; or
 The installation of significant site improvements such that the improvements would only permit the development of the approved
- The installation of significant site improvements such that the improvements would only permit the development of the approved project and any other pattern of development would require extensive changes to the installed improvements. Commencement of development shall not consist of:
 - a. The dividing of land into parcels, unless the determination of commencement is to be made for property in a residential zoning district which is not a PDD and for which there is no conditional use/special exception and this division is accomplished through the recordation of a plat or plat waiver; or
 - b. Demolition of a structure; or
 - c. Deposit of refuse, solid or liquid waste, or fill on the parcel unless the development order is exclusively and specifically for such; or
 - d. Clearing of land.
 - From resolution adoption date for first phase, and from date of commencement of development of last phase, or last plat recordation date, for subsequent phases. The maximum time to commence development for each phase of a Type III excavation shall be established by a condition of approval.
 - From plan certification date for first phase, and from date of commencement of development of last phase, or last plat recordation date, for subsequent phases.
 - All administrative time extensions listed in this table are to be approved or denied by the Executive Director of PZB. <u>Time extensions</u> for Type IA and IB administrative variances, and Type II non-concurrent variances are to be approved by the Zoning Director.
 - The maximum number of phases and duration of each phase for a Type III excavation shall be established by a condition of approval.
 - The recordation of a plat for the preservation area of an AGR-PUD shall not qualify as meeting this requirement.
 - An additional 90 days will be provided if prior to the expiration of any time period established by this Code, staff is notified by the property owner that either a complete building permit application has been submitted, or technical compliance for a plat has been received, as appropriate, and development will commence, or the plat will be recorded, within 90 days of the deadline. If the required action does not occur within the ninety days, the requirements of Art. 2.E.2, Procedures, shall apply. This provision shall not be utilized when there has been a failure to comply with concurrency reservation or development order conditions that are required for he Development Order to comply with Art. 12.C.2, Conditions. [Ord. 2005 002]

 $\label{lem:coder} \mbox{U:\coning\coder} \mbox{CODEREV\code} \mbox{BCC Hearings\coder} \mbox{2006-02 Round\coder} \mbox{11-27-06 RFP to Advertise\coder} \mbox{Exhibit B - Article 2.doc} \mbox{2.006-02 Round\coder} \mbox{2.00$

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

ARTICLE 3 – OVERLAYS AND ZONING DISTRICTS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

Reason for amendment: Update to incorporate additional Planning direction to restrict expansion to

require min. lot size be average of existing lots and PDR's to be consistent with existing development.

See also e-mail correspondence between Planning, Zoning, Zoning Director and LDS correlating to

Reserve District] (page 38 of 134), is hereby amended as follows:

ULDC, Art. 3.C.1.C.2.a, Exempted Residential Uses [Related to AGR, Agriculture

2 3 Part 1.

4 5

6 7 8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32

33 34

35 36

37

38

39

40

11

Section 1 **Districts**

CHAPTER C

C. Agricultural Districts

AGR, Agricultural Reserve District

STANDARD DISTRICTS

agreed upon PDR limitations prior to adoption of Ord. 2006-004) (2005)

The AGR district is a portion of PBC lying between Hypoluxo Road on the north, Clint Moore Road on the south, the Ronald Reagan Turnpike on the east, and the Arthur R. Marshall Loxahatchee National Wildlife Refuge on the west. The district encompasses unique farmland, regional water management and wetlands areas. It is designated as an area to be preserved primarily for agricultural, environmental and water resources and open space related activities west of SR 7, agricultural and regional water management use if possible, Residential development is restricted to low-densities and commercial development is limited to those uses serving farm workers and other residents of the district. Gaming, pari-mutual wagering, off-track betting, events or activities held or broadcast for similar purposes shall be prohibited.

Exempted Residential Uses

Residential subdivisions and PUDs approved by the BCC prior to January 1, 1990 shall be exempt from the provisions of the AGR district to the minimum extent required to allow for continued development pursuant to their original development order and the intent of the provisions of the AGR district.

Exempted Developments

The exemption applies to the following residential developments that may continue to exist, however, they may not subdivide nor expand the boundaries of the property: Willis Glider Port, Delray Lakes Estates, Tierra de Rey, Tierra de Rey South, Rio Poco, Snow Ranch Estates, (a.k.a. Horseshoe Acres), and Delray Training Center.

Permitted Contiguous Development

An exception shall be permitted in accordance with FLUE Policy 1.5-c, whereas Delray Lakes Estates, Willis Glider Port and Snow Ranch Estates (a.k.a. Horseshoe Acres) may expand the aforementioned may be expanded, subject to BCC approval, to allow development of contiguous residual parcels at a density that is consistent with the existing development, where it would serve to establish uniform boundaries. pansion shall be subject to Table 3.C.1.C-5, AGR Contiguous Development PDRs. [Ord. 2006-004]

41 42 43

Table 3.C.1.C-5 – AGR Contiguous Developments PDRs

N (D :)	<u>L</u>	ot Dimensions		Building	<u>Setbacks</u>							
Name of Project	<u>Size</u>	Width and Frontage	<u>Depth</u>	Coverage	<u>Front</u>	<u>Side</u>	<u>Side</u> <u>Street</u>	Rear				
Delray Lakes Estates	1 acre	<u>65 ⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>75 ⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>40%</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>7.5</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>				
Willis Gliderport	1.5 acre	<u>200</u>	<u>300</u>	<u>40%</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>7.5</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>				
Horseshoe Acres	2 acres	<u>300</u>	<u>300</u>	<u>15%</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>100</u>				

Notes for Table 3.C.1.C-5, AGR Contiguous Development PDRs

All lots shall have an average width and depth that is consistent with lots in the Delray Lakes Estate PUD. 44

45 46 47

48

Part 2. ULDC, Art. 3.D.3.A.3.a, Floor Area [Related to CN District] (page 51 of 134), is hereby amended as follows:

49 Reason for amendment: Glitch: 3,000 should read 30,000; however, due to adoption of Table 4.A.3.A-2, Thresholds for Projects Requiring Board of County Commission Approval (see Ord. 2006-004), the 50 51 limitation is now redundant and can be deleted herein.

52

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

ARTICLE 3 – OVERLAYS AND ZONING DISTRICTS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

1 CHAPTER D PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS (PDRS)

2 Section 3 District Specific Regulations

A. District Specific Regulations

- 3. CN District
 - a. Floor Area

Uses shall be limited to a maximum of 3,000 square feet of GFA, unless approved as a Class A conditional use.

[Renumber accordingly (b and c).]

9 10 11

3

4 5

6

7 8

Part 3. ULDC, Art. 3.D.3.A.5.a, Floor Area, [Related to CC District] (page 51 of 134), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: This section is no longer relevant and may be deleted, due to adoption of new Table 4.A.3.A-2, Thresholds for Projects Requiring Board of County Commission Approval (see Ord. 2006-004).

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAPTER D PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS (PDRS)

Section 3 District Specific Regulations

- A. District Specific Regulations
 - 5. CC District
 - a. Floor Area

The maximum floor area permitted on any lot or within any project in the CC district shall be 30,000 square feet of GFA, unless approved as a Class A conditional use.

[Renumber accordingly (b).]

26 27 28

Part 4. ULDC, Table 3.E.1.B-10, PDD Use Matrix (page 13 of 142), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: This amendment is needed to facilitate the development of a new Glades hospital park. Consultants hired to initiate the FLU and Zoning amendments, and related approvals have pointed out that a medical or dental office use is permitted subject to DRO in the IPF District, but have been inadvertently omitted from the INST/MUPD District. Planning staff has determined that the amendment is consistent with the Plan.

35 36 37

34

Table 3.E.1.B-10 - PDD Use Matrix

PUD							MUPD						MXPD				PIPD					
		Pods					Land Use Designations								Land Use Designations				ne			
	R	CRC			Α	С	С	С	С	С	1	ı	С	С	С	С	ı	С	ı	М	R	N
Use Type	Е	0	Е	ı	G	L	Н	L	н	R	N	N	L	н	L	Н	N	0	N	н	v	0
	s	М	С	٧	R			0	0		D	s			0	0	D	М	D	Р	Р	Т
	1				/							Т					/		/	D	D	E
					Р												L		G			
							Con	ımeı	rcial	Use	s											
		Р																				
Medical Or Dental Office		R				Р	Р	Р	Р			<u>D</u>	Р	Р	Р	Р		Р				83

38 39

40

Part 5. ULDC, Table 3.E.1.B-10, PDD Use Matrix, (page 55 of 134), is hereby amended as follows:

41 42 43

44

45

Reason for amendment: Amendments to Financial Institution require that the most restrictive use be indicated on the Use Matrix(ices).

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

ARTICLE 3 – OVERLAYS AND ZONING DISTRICTS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

1 CHAPTER E PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS (PDDs)

2 Section 3 Planned Development District (PDDs)

3

Table 3.E.1.B-10 - PDD Use Matrix

	PUD						MUPD							МХ	PD		PIPD					
	Pods					Land Use Designations							Land Use Designations				Us	e Zo	ne			
	R	С	R	С	Α	С	С	С	С	С	ı	1	С	С	С	С	ı	С	1	М	R	N
Use Type	Е	0	E	ı	G	L	н	L	н	R	N	N	L	Н	L	н	N	0	N	н	٧	0
	s	М	С	٧	R			0	0		D	S			0	0	D	М	D	Р	Р	Т
					/							Т					1		/	D	D	E
					Р												L		G			
					(Com	mer	cial L	Jses													
Financial Institution		R				R	₽ <u>R</u>	R	₽ <u>R</u>				R	₽ <u>R</u>	R	₽ <u>R</u>		₽ <u>R</u>				55

[Ord. 2005-002] [Ord. 2004-051]

5

Part 6. ULDC, Art. 3.E.1.C.2.a.5), [Related to Access and Circulation] (page 63 of 134), is hereby amended as follows:

7 8 9

Reason for amendment: To provide additional flexibility at an administrative (i.e. DRO) level to address excessive number of applicants requested waivers from the BCC.

10 11 12

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

CHAPTER E PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS (PDDS)

13 Section 1 General

C. Objectives and Standards

- 2. Performance Standards
 - a. Access and Circulation
 - 5) Cul-de-sacs
 - a) No more than 25 40 percent of the local streets in a PDD shall may terminate in a cul-de-sac or a dead-end. This standard may be waived by the BCC; and
 - b) An additional 25 percent of the local streets in a PDD may terminate in a cul-desac or a dead end with the provision of continuous pedestrian connectivity between the cul-de-sacs or dead ends; and
 - c) Parcels with an irregular configuration may be exempt from standards a) and b) above, if the applicant can demonstrate there are no other alternatives.

25 26

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

9

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

29

30

ARTICLE 3 – OVERLAYS AND ZONING DISTRICTS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

Part 7. ULDC, Table 3.E.2.D-16, PUD Property Development Regulations (page 72 of 134), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: Delete FAR limitations where redundant to reference Plan, same as recent amendment to Table 3.D.1.A-5, PDR's. Retain FAR limitations where required. Lindsey Walter, formerly of Kilday and Associates, interested party.

Table 3.E.2.D-16 - PUD Property Development Regulations

					<u> </u>									
	L	ot Dimension	ıs	Der	nsity	(a)	Building		Setl	oacks				
POD	Size	Width and Frontage	Depth	Min.	Max.	FAR ⁽²⁾	Coverage	Front	Side	Side Street	Rear			
	<u>-</u>	-		Resid	dential									
SF Apply the RS district regulations in Table 3.D.1.A-5, Property Development Regulations.														
ZLL Refer to Article 3.D.2.B, Zero Lot Line (ZLL).														
TH Refer to Article 3.D.2.A, Townhouse.														
MF Apply the RM district regulations in Table 3.D.1.A-5, Property Development Regulations.														
Civic														
Private	0.5 ac	100	100	-	-	0.35	30 percent	25	20	25	20			
Public	1 ac.	100	200	-	-	0.00	oo percent	20	20	20	20			
	·			Com	mercial									
Commercial	Apply Co	C district regula	ations in T	able 3.I	D.1.A-5,	Proper	ty Developmen	t Regulati	ons					
	<u> </u>			Recr	eation									
Recreation Pod	-	65	75	-	-	0.35	30 percent	25	15	25	15			
Neighborhood Park	0.1	45	75	-	-	0.15	15 percent	15	15	15	15			
	Preservation (1)													
Preservation	Apply the	e AGR district	regulation	s in Tal	ole 3.D.	1.A-5, P	roperty Develo	oment Re	gulation	S	·			

Notes for Table 3.E.2.D-16, PUD Property Development Regulations.

- 1. Preservation includes the Preservation Areas in a PUD allowed in the AGR FLU designations.
- The maximum FAR shall be in accordance with Table 2.1-2 of the Plan, and other related provisions, unless otherwise noted.
 [Ord. 2005-002]

Part 8. ULDC, Art.3.E.2.E.3, Recreation Pod (page 74 of 134), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: .Amend to include HOA responsibility for off street parking during Special Events

CHAPTER E PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS (PDDS)

Section 2 Planned Unit Development (PUD)

E. Pods

3. Recreation Pod

Recreation areas shall be designated on the master plan as recreation pods and in accordance with Art. 5.D, Parks and Recreation Standards.

a. Installation

Site improvements shall be provided in accordance with Art. 5.D, Parks and Recreation – Rules and Recreation Standards.

b. Parking

Parking shall not be required for recreation pods less than one acre.

c. Special Events

The HOA shall be responsible for ensuring adequate off street parking is provided during special events.

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

ARTICLE 3 – OVERLAYS AND ZONING DISTRICTS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

1 2 3

ULDC, Table 3.E.3.D-20, MUPD Property Development Regulations (page 82 of 134), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: Delete FAR limitations where redundant to reference Plan, same as recent amendment to Table 3.D.1.A-5, PDR's. Retain FAR limitations where required. Lindsey Walter, Kilday and Associates, interested party.

D. Property Development Regulations

The minimum lot dimensions, maximum FAR, maximum building coverage, and minimum setbacks in the MUPD district are indicted in Table 3.E.3.D-20, MUPD Property Development Regulations, unless otherwise stated.

Table 3.E.3.D-20 - MUPD Property Development Regulations

FLU	Lot Dimens		ıs		Maximum		Se	tbacks1	
Designations	Size	Width & Footage	Depth	FAR ⁽²⁾	Building Coverage	Front	Side	Side Street	Rear
CL	3 ac		200	.25	25 percent	25	C-15 R-30	25	C-20 R-30
СН	5 ac	300	300	.50	30 percent	30	C-15 R-30	30	C-20 R-30
CLO	3 ac	200	250	.35	25 percent	25	C-15 R-30	25	C-20 R-30
СНО	5 ac	200	200	.50	25 percent	30	C-15 R-30	30	C-20 R-30
IND	5 ac	300	300	.45	45 percent	30	C-15 R-40	30	C-20 R-40
CR	5 ac	300	300	.50	30 percent	30	C-15 R-40	30	C-20 R-40
INST	5 ac	300	300	.50	30 percent	30	C-15 R-30	30	C-20 R-30

Notes to Table 3.E.3.D-20, MUPD Property Development Regulations:

- Indicates the building setback if the lot abuts a non-residentially zoned or designated lot.
- R Indicates the setback from an adjacent parcel with a residential zoning designation.
- Setbacks are measured in linear feet from the boundary of the MUPD. 1.
- The maximum FAR shall be in accordance with Table 2.1-2 of the Plan, and other related provisions, unless otherwise noted. 2. The maximum FAR may be increased to .50 as allowed by the Future Land Use Element (FLUE) of the Plan

14 15 16

amended as follows:

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29 30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

17

CHAPTER E

Part 10.

Section 4 Mixed Use Planned Development (MXPD)

Reason for amendment: Glitch, redundant sentences.

A. General

Purpose and Intent

The purpose and intent of the MXPD district is to provide for the compatible development and integration of residential and non-residential uses into a unified development with enlightened and imaginative approaches to community planning, including: The intent of an MXPD is to provide for the compatible development and integration of residential and nonresidential uses

ULDC, Art. 3.E.4.A.1, Purpose and Intent [Related to MXPD] (page 85 of 134), is hereby

- a. the use of vertical or horizontal integration with residential and non-residential uses;
- the selection of land uses which allows for internal automobile trip capture and compatibility with residential uses;
- the design of a site plan which provides for the integration of residential and nonresidential uses;
- the design of safe and efficient circulation systems for pedestrians, bicycles, and automobiles; and
- the utilization of multiple family homes to provide a transition area between nonresidential uses and adjacent residential development.

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

1

Part 11.

8

9

10

11 12

18 19 20

21

30

ULDC, Table 3.E.4.D-24, MXPD Property Development Regulations (page 82 of 134), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: Delete FAR limitations where redundant to reference Plan, same as recent amendment to Table 3.D.1.A-5, PDR's. Retain FAR limitations where required. Lindsey Walter, Kilday and Associates, interested party.

Table 3.E.4.D-24 - MXPD Property Development Regulations

	L	ot Dimens	ions	FAR ⁽¹⁾	Maximum		S	etbacks	
FLU	Acres	Width	Depth		Building Coverage	Front	Side	Side Street	Rear
CL	3	200	200	.45	30%	25	C-15 R-40	25	C-20 R-40
СН	5	300	300	.85	40%	25	C-15 R-40	25	C-20 R-40
CLO	3	200	200	.45	30%	25	C-15 R-40	25	C-20 R-40
СНО	5	300	300	.85	40%	25	C-15 R-40	25	C-20 R-40
RESIDENTIAL				App	ly RM district re	gulations			
RECREATION POD				Apply PL	ID Recreation P	od regulation	ons	•	
NEIGHBORHOOD PARK			,	Apply PUD	Neighborhood I	Park regula	ations		

Notes for Table 3.E.4.D-24, MXPD Property Development Regulations:

- Indicates the setback from an adjacent commercial zoned parcel.
- Indicates the setback from an adjacent residential zoned parcel.
- The maximum FAR shall be in accordance with Table 2.1-2 of the Plan, and other related provisions, unless otherwise noted.

ULDC, Table 3.E.5.D-26, Property Development Regulations (page 89 of 134), is hereby Part 12. amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: Delete FAR limitations where redundant to reference Plan, same as recent amendment to Table 3.D.1.A-5, PDR's. Retain FAR limitations where required. Lindsey Walter, Kilday and Associates, interested party.

Table 3.E.5.D-26 - PIPD Property Development Regulations

	-	abie einiein		opo <u>,</u>	20101001110	<u> </u>								
		Lot Dimensio	ns	Max.	Maximum	Setbacks								
PODs	Size	Width and Frontage	Depth	FAR ⁽¹⁾	Building Coverage	Front	Side	Street	Rear					
Light Industrial	1 ac	100	200	.45	30%	25	C - 15 R - 40	25	C - 15 R - 40					
General Industrial	2 ac	200	200	.45	30%	25	C - 20 R - 40	25	C - 20 R - 40					
Commercial	Apply N	/IUPD, MXPD o	r TMD regula	ations					,					
Residential	Apply F	PUD regulations	1						,					
Recreation	Apply F	PUD Recreation	Pod and Ne	ighborhood P	ark regulations									
Civic	Apply F	PLID Civic regula	ations											

Notes to Table 3.E.5.D-26, PIPD Property Development Regulations:

- Indicates the required building setback for land uses abuitting a non-residential zoning district, a civic, mixed-use commercial, or industrial pod, or a recreation area.
- Indicates the required building setback for land uses abutting a residential zoning district or a residential pod.
- [Ord. 2004-040]

ULDC, Table 3.F.1.F-32, Traditional Development Permitted Use Schedule (Continued), Part 13. (page 100 of 134), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: Amendments to Financial Institution require that the most restrictive use be indicated on the Use Matrix(ices).

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

ARTICLE 3 – OVERLAYS AND ZONING DISTRICTS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

1 CHAPTER F TRADITIONAL DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS (TDDs)

2 Section 1 General Provisions for TDDs

Table 3.F.1.F-32 – Traditional Development Permitted Use Schedule (Continued)

District			TND					Т	MD		N
Tier	U	rban/Suburban (U/S)	Ex	urban/l	Rural	U/S	Ex/	Α	0	
Land Use Zone	Res	Neighborhood Center (NC)	Open Space/ Rec	Res	N/C	Open Space/ Rec		Rural	Dev	Preserve	T E S
			Commer	cial Use	es						
Financial institution		₽ <u>R</u>			₽ <u>R</u>		₽ <u>R</u>	₽ <u>R</u>	₽ <u>R</u>		55

[Ord. 2005 - 002] [Ord. 2005-041]

 $\label{lem:coder} \mbox{U:\coning\coder} \mbox{CODEREV\code} \mbox{Exhibit C - Article 3.doc} \mbox{ and \coder} \mbox{L11-27-06 RFP to Advertise\coder} \mbox{Exhibit C - Article 3.doc} \mbox{L2006} \mbox{L2006}$

Notes:

<u>Underlined language</u> indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

Reason for amendment: 1) Inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan [Industrial Uses, page 93] -Convenience Store with gas sales, medical or dental office, office, business or professional, or veterinary clinics (stand alone commercial uses) not permitted in IL and IG districts; and 2) Medical or dental office, incorrectly referenced as allowed uses in AGR and AR districts.

Table 4.A.3.A-1 - Use Matrix (continued)

								Zoni			t/Ove									
		ricul nserv	ture/ ation		F	Resid	lentia	I			C	Comm	nercia	ıl		Inc	N			
Use Type	Р	Α	Α	Α	R	R	R	R	R	С	С	С	С	С	С	I	I	Р	ı	0
	С	G	Р	R	U	Ε	Т	S	М	N	L	С	Н	G	R	L	G	0	Р	Т
		R		s	s						0		0		Е				F	Е
				Α	Α															
							Comr	nerci	al Us	es										
Convenience Store with Gas Sales												Α		Α		₽	Đ			37
Office, Business or Professional										Р	Р	Р	Р	P		₽	₽			
Medical or Dental Office		P		A						D	Α	Р	D	Р		P		Р	D	83

[Ord. 2005-002] [Ord. 2004-051]

ULDC, Art. 4.B.1.A.16.b, Auction (page 31 of 142), is hereby amended as follows: Part 2.

Reason for amendment: Includes reference to non-residential use location criteria of the AR District which no longer exists.

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

13

SUPPLEMENTARY USE STANDARDS CHAPTER B

Section 1 Uses

A. Definitions and Supplementary Standards for Specific Uses

16. Auction

An establishment engaged in the public sale of goods to the highest bidder

Outdoors

An auction with all or a portion of the activity and display of merchandise occurring outside of an enclosed building shall require approval of a Class A conditional use provided the site meets the non-residential use location criteria of the AR district Plan.

Part 3. ULDC, Art. 4.B.1.A.44-1, Electric Power Facility (page 43 of 142), is hereby amended as follows:

31

30

Reason for amendment: Amend to add or clarify ash storage as an accessory use, with any needed supplemental standards not addressed by district or Art. 5 (e.g. Okeelanta Power Facility).

32 33

SUPPLEMENTARY USE STANDARDS **CHAPTER B**

34 Section 1 Uses

39

40

A. Definitions and Supplementary Standards for Specific Uses 44-1. Electric Power Facility

The principal use of property for electric generation. [Ord. 2006 - 004]

- Setbacks
 - 1) An electric power facility, for electrical generation only, shall not be located within 1,000 feet of a residential zoning district.

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

ARTICLE 4 – USE REGULATIONS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

	1
	2 3 4 5 6
	6 7
1	7 8 9 0
1	1
1 1	2 3
1	4
1	5 6
1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8
1	q
2	0 1 2 3 4 5 6
2	2
2	3
2	4 5
2	6
2	7
_	'
2 3 3 3 3	8 9 0 1 2 3 4
2 2 3 3 3 3 3	8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5
22333333333	8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
223333333333	8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
2233333333333	8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2233333333344	8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
2233333333334444	8901234567890123
22333333333344444	89012345678901234
223333333334444444	8901234567890123456
22333333333444444444	89012345678901234567
223333333333444444444444444444444444444	8901234567890123456789
2233333333334444444444	89012345678901234567890
22333333333344444444445555	8901234567890123456789012
223333333333444444444455555	89012345678901234567890123
22333333333344444444445555	8901234567890123456789012345

- 2) Principal uses and structures (excludes poles) shall be setback a minimum of 500 feet from all property lines.
- Accessory uses and structures (excluding poles) shall be setback a minimum of 50 feet from all property lines.

b. Screening

Screening around the perimeter of an electric power facility shall be provided at the time the facility is constructed or when surrounding development occurs. The standards in Art. 7, Landscaping, shall be waived if the required screening is not visible from adjacent lots or streets.

c. Ash disposal and wood recycling facilities

Ash disposal and wood recycling facilities shall be permitted on sites in the AP district as an accessory use to biomass electric power facilities. The primary use for the site shall be consistent with the underlying zoning designation.

- 1) Ash stockpiles shall not exceed 220 feet in height.
- 2) Ash disposal facilities shall only be used to process onsite materials.
- 3) Ash disposal facilities shall be subject to review by applicable governmental agencies.

Part 4. ULDC, Art. 4.B.1.A.74-2, Kennel, Type III Commercial (page 52 of 142), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: Add PIPD to list of permitted districts subject to compliance with Plan limitations indicated in Future Land Use Element Policy 2.2.4-b.

CHAPTER B SUPPLEMENTARY USE STANDARDS

Section 1 Uses

A. Definitions and Supplementary Standards for Specific Uses

74-2. Kennel, Type III (Commercial)

A commercial establishment operated entirely within an enclosed building used for the boarding, sale, or grooming of domesticated animals (e.g. dogs and cats), not owned by the occupants of the premises, for profit. [Ord. 2006-036]

a. Limitations of Use

A Type III kennel is intended to be entirely self contained within an enclosed building, and shall be subject to the following: **[Ord. 2006-036]**

1) Maximum Square Footage

Shall not exceed 3,000 square in the CC and TMD districts, or 7,500 square feet in any other permitted district. **[Ord. 2006-036]**

2) Number of Animals Permitted

Prior to review by DRO, preliminary approval shall be obtained from the PBCACC demonstrating that the proposed location can comply with all PBCACC requirements, and indicating the maximum number of animals permitted. **[Ord. 2006-036]**

3) Standards

All use areas shall be within an enclosed building constructed, maintained and operated so that no noise or odor nuisances related to the kennel operations can be detected outside the building. With exception to designated drop off areas, no outdoor runs, playgrounds, walking areas, yards or similar uses shall be permitted. [Ord. 2006-036]

4) Waste Disposal

A Type III kennel shall meet the ECR I and ECR II standards and shall be subject to all applicable rules and regulations of the FDEP, PBCHD and SWA. [Ord. 2006-036]

5) AZO Overlay

Shall be a permitted use only if associated with services provided to passengers and airport employees. [Ord. 2006-036]

b. Approval Process

A Type III kennel that is collocated and operated in conjunction with and accessory to a related general retail sales use for animal care products, shall be permitted subject to DRO approval if less than 30 percent of the overall GFA of the combined uses. [Ord. 2006-036]

c. PIPD

A Type III Commercial Kennel shall be permitted in a commercial or light industrial use zone of a PIPD subject to DRO approval, subject to compliance with the limitations of Plan Future Land Use Element Policy 2.2.4-b.

Notes:

57

58

59

60

61 62

63

64 65

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

(ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

ARTICLE 4 – USE REGULATIONS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

Part 5. ULDC, Art. 4.B.1.A.76, Research Laboratory, (page 53 of 142), is hereby amended as follows:

2 3 4

1

Reason for amendment: To correct glitches to use regulations for research lab and add language to be consistent with proposed Bio-Science Overlay amendments required to comply with Interlocal agreement to encourage development of available lab space for Scripps.

6 7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

5

CHAPTER B SUPPLEMENTARY USE STANDARDS

Section 1 Uses

A. Definitions and Supplementary Standards for Specific Uses

76. Laboratory, Research

An establishment engaged in industrial, scientific or medical research, testing, and analysis, including support services and structures. Typical uses include natural science/manufacturing research facilities and product testing/quality control facilities.

a. Research Lab

A research laboratory shall only be allowed as a requested use in a MUPD with EDC or MLU land use.

1) Exception

A research laboratory shall be allowed as a requested use in a MUPD with IND land use.

ab. Outdoor Activities

Outdoor manufacturing, processing or testing shall be limited to industrial districts only.

be. Accessory Use

A research laboratory shall be permitted as an accessory use to a college or university.

c. Biotechnology Research Protection Overlay (BRPO)

A research laboratory located in the BRPO and the IL District may be approved by the DRO and shall not be subject to the limitations of Table 4.A.3.A-2, Thresholds for Projects Requiring Board of County Commissioner Approval.

28 29 30

31

Part 6. ULDC, Art. 4.B.1.A.83, Medical or Dental Office, (page 55 of 142), is hereby amended as

32 33 34

Reason for amendment: To delete AP and AGR districts to be consistent with Plan Future Land Use Element Objective 1.5

35 36

37

38

39 40

41 42

43 44

45 46

47

48

49

50

51 52

53 54

55 56

57 58

59

60

CHAPTER B SUPPLEMENTARY USE STANDARDS

Section 1 Uses

A. Definitions and Supplementary Standards for Specific Uses

83. Medical or Dental Office

An establishment where patients, who are not lodged overnight, are admitted for examination or treatment by persons practicing any form of healing or health-building services whether such persons be medical doctors, chiropractors, osteopaths, chiropodists, naturopaths, optometrists, dentists, or any such profession, the practice of which is lawful in the State of Florida. [Ord. 2005 – 002]

a. CN District

May exceed 3,000 square feet of GFA if approved as a Class A conditional use.

b. AP and AGR Districts

Must be limited to public health or government owned clinics serving the rural or agricultural community. [Ord. 2005-041]

de. Ambulatory Surgical Center

Ambulatory surgical centers licensed by the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration (AHCA), under the authority of F.S. Chapter 395, Part 1, and FAC Chapter 59A-5, limited to the provision of elective same day surgical care, where patients are ambulatory. **[Ord. 2005-041]**

1) Floor Area

- a) An ambulatory surgical center up to 10,000 square feet of GFA may be permitted subject to the approval process for a medical or dental office. [Ord. 2005-041]
- b) An ambulatory surgical center greater than 10,000 square feet of GFA is only permitted in developments with a CH FLU designation, subject to BCC approval as a Class A or Requested Use. [Ord. 2005-041]

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

ARTICLE 4 – USE REGULATIONS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

2) Elective Surgical Care

Ambulatory surgical centers must not be designed to accept patients requiring emergency care, including the provision of ambulance drop off areas; however, ambulatory surgical centers may be permitted to incorporate ambulance loading zones and related emergency facilities necessary to address any comoplications that may arise during normal procedures, as required by AHCA or Florida Statute. [Ord. 2005-041]

Part 7. ULDC, Art. 4.B.1.A.109, Type I Restaurant, (page 68 of 142), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: Clarifications to limitations on location criteria to exempt TMDs.

CHAPTER B SUPPLEMENTARY USE STANDARDS

Section 1 Uses

A. Definitions and Supplementary Standards for Specific Uses 109.Restaurant, Type I

An establishment equipped to sell food and beverages in one of the following methods: drive-through sales to patrons in automobiles for take out who place orders through a window or remote transmission device; or sales to patrons for take out or dining in, that includes three or more of the following: food or beverage choices are advertised on a menu board; countertop sales where payment is made prior to consumption; disposable containers and utensils; limited service dining facilities with no hostess or waiters; and self service or prepackaged condiments. Traffic generation rates are normally in the range 130 to 500 trips per day, per 1,000 square feet of GFA, or as otherwise identified by the Institute of Traffic and Engineering. [Ord. 2006-004]

a. Location Criteria

A Type I restaurant with a drive through, or where the total GFA, including outdoor dining areas, for such use or uses is greater than 20 percent of overall building GFA (excluding those located in a TMD), unless approved under Art. 4.B.1.A.109.c.2), Permitted by Right, shall be subject to the following: [Ord. 2006-004]

1) Intersection Criteria

A maximum of two Type I restaurants shall be permitted at an intersection in accordance with Art. 5.E.2.B, Intersection Criteria. **[Ord. 2006-004]**

2) Separation Criteria

A Type I restaurant shall be separated from any other Type I subject to these standards, in accordance with Art. 5.E.2.C.2. **[Ord. 2006-004]**

3) Exception

A Type I restaurant that is designed to enhance pedestrian circulation, safety and accessibility while limiting vehicular circulation using exemplary site design and architectural treatment that incorporates the following, may be exempt from intersection and separation criteria: [Ord. 2006-004]

- Required sidewalks and related pedestrian connections fronting on the façade supporting the primary entrance shall be increased to eight feet in width; [Ord. 2006-0041
- b) Dumpster enclosures shall be physically connected to and architecturally consistent with the building and shall not be freestanding; [Ord. 2006-004]
- c) No reductions in the width of required foundation planting areas shall be permitted; [Ord. 2006-004]
- d) Wall signage is limited to one façade of the restaurant; [Ord. 2006-004]
- e) Landscape plans and architectural elevations shall be required as part of any application for a Conditional or Requested Use, or any DOA affecting the items listed herein. [Ord. 2006-004]
- f) Where applicable, a drive through, including queuing and by-pass lanes shall not be visible from adjacent public streets. This may be accomplished by the use of a Type 3 Incompatibility Buffer, exemplary architectural design that incorporates walls or other visual barriers a minimum of six feet in height, or a combination of the two; [Ord. 2006-004]
- g) Required parking shall not be separated from restaurant entrances by any means of vehicular circulation with exception of drive isles used to access those parking spaces; [Ord. 2006-004]
- h) The restaurant shall not have continuous vehicular circulation on all four sides. For the purposes of this Section, vehicular circulation shall include drive ways, drive aisles, or other means of internal vehicular circulation located within 50 feet

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

ARTICLE 4 – USE REGULATIONS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

or less of the building. Vehicular circulation shall not include customer parking provided for the restaurant, one-way drive-through lanes and related by-pass lanes serving the restaurant. **[Ord. 2006-004]**

b. U/S Tier

 A Type I restaurant with a CL FLU designation shall comply with Art. 5.E.1, Major Intersection Criteria, unless the restaurant meets the requirements of Art. 4.B.1.A.109.c.2), Permitted by Right. **[Ord. 2006-004]**

c. Approval Process Exceptions

1) DRO Approval

A Type I restaurant without a drive-through may be approved by the DRO in a district where the use is permitted by Table 3.B.1.B-10, PDD Use Matrix, or Table 4.A.3.A-1, Use Matrix, provided GFA including outdoor dining areas does not exceed 3,000 square feet; and the use is not located in an out parcel or freestanding building. [Ord. 2006-004]

2) Permitted by Right

A Type I restaurant without a drive-through or located in an out parcel, may be permitted by right in any PDD or TDD with a commercial or institutional FLU designation, Pod or Use Zone; the commercial or recreational pod of a PUD, MHPD or RVPD; or the IL and all commercial Zoning districts, provided: **[Ord. 2006-004]**

- a) GFA including outdoor dining areas does not exceed 1,500 square feet; [Ord. 2006-004]
- b) All district specific requirements are addressed; [Ord. 2006-004]
- c) The total of all Type I restaurant uses does not exceed 25 percent of the overall GFA of the development, unless it is the sole use of the property; and [Ord. 2006-004]
- d) The restaurant is not located in a freestanding building, unless it is the sole use of the property. [Ord. 2006-004]

d. TMD District

A Type I Restaurant shall not: [Ord. 2005 - 002] [Ord. 2006-004]

- 1) Exceed 3,000 square feet of GFA. An additional 1,500 square feet shall be permitted for outdoor dining areas, for a maximum of 4,500 square feet of GFA. An exception shall be permitted where food is served cafeteria or buffet style, to allow up to 5,000 square feet of indoor dining area, for a maximum of 6,500 square feet of GFA. [Ord. 2005-002] [Ord. 2006-004]
- 2) Be located in an outparcel or freestanding building; with exception to restaurants in a AGR TMD where food is served cafeteria or buffet style; or [Ord. 2005 002]
- 3) Have a drive-thru, unless it is located in the rear of a building, with access from an alley or the interior of a parking area, and is covered by a canopy or the second story of a building. [Ord. 2005 002]

e. Outdoor Dining

Shall comply with the principal structure setbacks.

Part 8. ULDC, Art. 4.B.1.A.110, Type II Restaurant, (page 68 of 142), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: To delete square footage limitations for TDD Type II Restaurants. TND N/C uses are limited otherwise to a maximum of $8,000 \, \text{sf}$, and no adverse impacts are anticipated from larger Type II restaurants in a TMD.

CHAPTER B SUPPLEMENTARY USE STANDARDS

Section 1 Uses

A. Definitions and Supplementary Standards for Specific Uses 110.Restaurant, Type II

An establishment with no drive-through, equipped to sell food and beverages served and consumed primarily on the premises-, that includes three or more of the following: host or hostess assists patrons upon entry; food and beverage choices are offered from a printed menu provided by wait staff at a table; orders are taken at the table; food is served on dishes and metal utensils are provided; and, payment is made after meal consumption. Traffic generation rates are normally in the range of 90 to 130 trips per day, per 1,000 square feet of GFA or as otherwise identified by the Institute of Traffic and Engineering. [Ord. 2006-004]

a. Alcohol Sales

A Type II Restaurant may include the on-premise sale, service and consumption of alcoholic beverages as an accessory use. A Type II Restaurant with less than 150 seats that does not qualify for a 4COP/SRX license shall obtain a Special Permit prior to

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

Relocated language is shown as *italicized* with reference in parenthesis.

BCC Zoning Hearing

November 27, 2006

ARTICLE 4 – USE REGULATIONS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

obtaining an alcoholic beverage license. The Special Permit shall be subject to the following restrictions: [Ord. 2006-004]

1) Accessory Use

Alcohol sales, service, and consumption shall not exceed 30 percent of receipts. An annual accounting of the restaurant receipts prepared by a Certified Public Accountant (CPA) shall be provided to the Zoning Division. [Ord. 2006-004]

2) Kitchen

The restaurant shall have a full kitchen which shall remain open and serving full course meals while alcohol is being served. [Ord. 2006-004]

3) Floor Area

A maximum of 30 percent of the floor area of the restaurant or number of seats, whichever is less, shall be devoted solely to alcohol sales. **[Ord. 2006-004]**

4) Special Permit Renewal

The Special Permit shall be renewed annually. [Ord. 2006-004]

b. Use Limitations and Approval Process [Ord. 2005 – 002]

1) DRO Approval [Ord. 2006-036]

a) CLO and CHO Districts/PDDs and TNDs

A Type II Restaurant less than 3,000 square feet of GFA per establishment including outdoor dining areas, may be approved by the DRO, provided the total of all Type II Restaurants do not exceed 30 percent of the GFA of the development. [Ord. 2006-036]

b) CHO District/PDDs

If contained in an office, hotel or motel structure that does not exceed 30 percent of the GFA of the structure, or 5,000 square feet, whichever is less, may be approved by the DRO. **[Ord. 2006-036]**

c) CRE District, and CL, CR and Commercial Pods of a PDD

A Type II Restaurant less than 5,000 square feet of GFA per establishment, including outdoor dining areas, may be approved by the DRO. **[Ord. 2006-036]**

2) Catering Service

Catering may be permitted as an accessory use to a restaurant. Except in the IL district, the use of three or more delivery or service vehicles shall require DRO approval. [Ord. 2006-004]

3) Take Out Service

Take out service is permitted as an accessory use provided there are no vehicle take out windows that include exterior menu boards, queuing lanes or order service. [Ord. 2006-004]

4) TNDs and TMDs

A Type II Restaurant shall not exceed 5,000 square feet of GFA, except that an additional 1,500 square feet shall be permitted for outdoor dining areas, for a maximum of 6,500 square feet of GFA. Take out windows designed for vehicular use are prohibited unless located in the rear of a building, with access from an alley or the interior of a parking area, and covered by a canopy or the second story of a building. [Ord. 2006-004]

44 45

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28 29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

46

Part 9. ULDC, Art. 4.B.1.A.119, Security or Caretaker Quarters [Related to Maximum Floor Area] (page 75 of 142), is hereby amended as follows:

47 48 49

Reason for amendment: Amend to add Maximum Floor Area.

50 51

53

54

55

56 57

58

59

60

61 62

63

64

65

CHAPTER B SUPPLEMENTARY USE STANDARDS

52 Section 1 Uses

A. Definitions and Supplementary Standards for Specific Uses 119. Security or Caretaker Quarters

An accessory residence used by a caretaker or security guard actively engaged in providing security, custodial or managerial services upon the premises.

a. Number

- 1) A maximum of one security quarters shall be permitted on the same lot as a bona fide agricultural, commercial, industrial, or institutional use.
- 2) A maximum of one security quarters shall be permitted within the area governed by the site plan of an approved conditional use, requested use, or planned development.

b. Maximum Floor Area

- 1) On less than one acre: 800 square feet.
- 2) On one acre or more: 1000 square feet.

cb. Occupancy

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

ARTICLE 4 – USE REGULATIONS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

A security or caretaker quarters shall be for the exclusive use of the site on which it is located and shall be occupied only by the custodian, caretaker, or owner of the principal use and their family.

de. Accessory Use

A security or caretaker quarters shall be allowed as an accessory use to a public or civic use in all districts.

ed. Temporary Use

Unless stated otherwise, a security or caretaker quarters use shall not be permitted in association with a temporary use.

fe. Mobile Home

A mobile home may be used for a security or caretaker quarters only in the AGR, AP, AR, IL, IG, PO, IPF, and MHPD districts. If a mobile home is used, the Special Permit shall be renewed annually.

gf. Discontinuation of Use

A security or caretaker quarter's use shall continue only as long as the principal use that it serves remains active. Upon termination of the principal use, the right to have the quarters shall end and the use shall be immediately discontinued. Once discontinued, such quarters shall not be reestablished except in conformity with this Section.

 $\label{local_control_code} U:\coning\codeReV\code\colered_LDRAB\end{constraints} \end{constraints} $$U:\coning\code\colered_LDRAB\end{constraints} $$Meetings\11-9\Exhibit\ C-Article\ 4.doc $$Meetings\code\colered_LDRAB\end{constraints} $$Meetings\code\colere$

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT E

ARTICLE 5 - SUPPLEMENTARY STANDARDS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

2 Part 1. ULDC, Art. 5.B.1.A.1, General [Related to Accessory Uses and Structures] (page 52 of 3 56), is hereby amended as follows: 4 5 Reason for amendment: Glitch: Add comma tostandard , TDD and PDD zoning... 6 7 **ACCESSORY AND TEMPORARY USES CHAPTER B** 8 Section 1 **Supplementary Regulations** 9 A. Accessory Uses and Structures 10 General The following standards in this Section shall apply to all development in standard, PDD or 11 12 TDD zoning districts, unless otherwise stated: 13 14 15 Part 2. ULDC, Art. 5.B.1.A, Accessory Uses and Structures (page 20 of 56), is hereby amended as follows: 16 17 Reason for amendment: To clarify location and setback requirements for permanent generators 18 19 20 **CHAPTER B ACCESSORY AND TEMPORARY USES** 21 Section 1 **Supplementary Regulations** 22 **Accessory Uses and Structures** 18. Permanent Generators 23 24 25 b. Standards General 26 27 The following standards shall apply to all permanently installed generators. a) Maximum Permissible Sound Level 28 29 Refer to Art. 5.E.3.B.2, and Table 5.E.3.C-12 Maximum Sound Levels. 30 Screening 31 Generators that are not located within, or completely screened by a building, 32 shall be screened from view when adjacent to or visible from a public R-O-W or 33 parcels with a conservation or residential FLU or use. Screening may include the use of fences, walls or hedges, or a combination thereof. 34 35 c) Maintenance Cycle 36 Generators may be operated for exercising purposes one time per week, 37 excluding Sundays, for a period not exceeding 30 minutes between the hours of 38 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. d) Location and Setbacks 39 40 Generators shall meet the district setback requirements for principal structures, 41 but shall not be located between the front or side street façade of a building and 42 a R-O-W or in an easement, unless: 43 (1) The generator shall be screened from view from any public rights-of-way or 44 adjacent property lines by an opaque fence /wall; 45 (2) If this criteria cannot be met, the applicant may apply for a Type IB variance, pursuant to Article 2.D.3.C.3. [Ord. 2006 - 004] 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 U:\zoning\CODEREV\2006\LDRAB\Meetings\11-9\Exhibit D - Article 5.doc

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

(Updated 11/20/06)

Part 1. ULDC, Table 6.A.1.B-1, Minimum Off-Street Parking and Loading Requirements (page 3 of 34), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: Amend to recreation parcel clubhouse parking requirements.

Table 6.A.1.B-1 - Minimum Off-Street Parking and Loading Requirements - Con't.

Use Type: Recreational	Parking ¹	Loading ²
Clubhouse (Recreational Pod) ⁷	1 space per 300 sg. ft. of air conditioned area (includes all interior uses); and 1 bicycle parking rack shall be provided	<u>N/A</u>
 Tennis Courts ⁶ <u>And Basketball Courts ⁷</u>	1.5 spaces per court; and 1 bicycle parking rack shall be provided	N/A

Loading Key: Standard "A" - One space for the first 5,000 square feet of GFA, plus one for each additional 30,000 square feet of GFA.

Standard "B" - One space for the first 10,000 square feet of GFA, plus one for each additional 15,000 square feet of GFA.

Standard "C" - One space for the first 10,000 square feet of GFA, plus one for each additional 100,000 square feet of GFA.

Standard "D" - One space for each 50 beds for all facilities containing 20 or more beds.

Standard "E" - One space for the first 10,000 square feet of GFA, plus one for each additional 20,000 square feet of GFA. The space shall be a minimum of 12 feet in width and 18.5 feet in length for uses that require limited loading.

[Ord. 2005-002]

Notes for Table 6.A.1.B-1

- 1. In addition to the parking requirements of Table 6.A.1.B-1, Minimum Off-Street Parking and Loading Requirements, us with company vehicles shall provide 1 space per company vehicle.
- Government services may request alternative calculation methods for off-street parking pursuant to Art. 6.A.1.C.1.h,
- 3. Nurseries requiring fewer than 20 parking spaces may construct surface parking lots with shellrock or other similar materials subject to, or grassed subject to Art. 6.A.1.D.12, Grass Parking, except for the required handicapped parking space(s).
- 4. Nurseries requiring 20 or more parking spaces may construct surface parking lots with 50 percent of the required spaces as shellrock or other similar materials subject to Art. 6.A.1.D.14.b.4.a, Shellrock, or grassed subject to Art. 6.A.1.D.12, Grass
- 5. Assembly, nonprofit, institutional uses in the Redevelopment and Revitalization Overlay may calculate parking at a rate of one space per employee. [Ord. 2005-002]
- Limited access facilities must provide off-street loading spaces as indicated in Art. 4.B.1.A.120.d.2), Loading. [Ord. 2005-
- Golf cart parking may be used to satisfy a portion of the required parking for residential PDD rec. pods, pursuant to Art. 6.A.1.D.7, Golf Cart Parking.

Part 2. ULDC, Art. 6.A.1.D.15.a, Ingress and Egress (page 26 of 34), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: To clarify when backward egress is permitted.

CHAPTER A PARKING

Section 1 General

D. Off-Street Parking

15. Access

Ingress and Egress a.

Each parking space shall have appropriate access to a street or alley. Legally platted lots that accommodate Only dwelling units with no more than one or two units shall be allowed backward egress from a driveway onto a street. In all other cases, maneuvering and access aisle area shall be sufficient to permit vehicles to enter and leave the parking lot in a forward motion.

Part 3. ULDC, Art. 6.B.1.E, Dimensional Standards and Design Requirements [Related to Loading Standards] (page 29of 34), is hereby amended as follows:

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

Relocated language is shown as *italicized* with reference in parenthesis.

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26 27

28

29

EXHIBIT F ARTICLE 6 – PARKING SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

Reason for amendment: To clarify DRO authority and related documentation or information required to be submitted to amend minimum loading zone width and length.

CHAPTER B LOADING STANDARDS

Section 1 Loading

E. Dimensional Standards and Design Requirements

Required loading spaces shall be subject to the following minimum standards:

1. Width

A loading space shall have a minimum width of 15 feet. Additional loading spaces adjacent to, and not separated from the first loading space may be reduced to a minimum of 12 feet in width. [Ord. 2005-041]

2. Length

Loading spaces shall be a minimum of 55 feet in length, unless reduced by the DRO.

7. Loading Space Reduction

All required off-street loading spaces and their appurtenant aisles and driveways shall be deemed to be required space and shall not be encroached upon or reduced in any manner except upon approval by the DRO in the following circumstances:

a. Reduction in Number of Spaces

1) Change in Use

The number of loading spaces may be proportionately reduced if the space is not needed as a result of a reduction in size or change in use.

2) Administrative Reduction

For uses which that contain less then 10,000 square feet of total GFA, the Zoning Director may waive or reduce the loading standards.

b. Co-locating Loading and Dumpster

A loading space and dumpster may be co-located provided the minimum dimensional requirements are satisfied to ensure the functionality of each activity.

c. Reduction in Width and Length

The minimum required width and length may be reduced to not less than 12 feet and 18.5 feet, respectively, subject to submittal and approval of documentation including but not limited to: evidence of actual loading demand for the proposed use(s), as well as other available technical data, traffic engineering and planning information.

 $\label{lem:coder} \mbox{U:\coning\coder} \mbox{CODEREV\code} \mbox{Exhibit F - Article 6.doc} \mb$

Notes:

<u>Underlined language</u> indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT G

ARTICLE 7 – LANDSCAPING SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

1 2 3

ULDC, Art. 7.B.3, Alternative Landscape Plan (page 11 of 48), is hereby deleted in its Part 1. entirety and replaced with a new Art. 7.B.3, Alternative Landscape Plan:

4 5

Reason for amendment: Amend to coincide with deletion of Appendix D; clarify applicability and review processes.

6 7 8

CHAPTER B TYPE OF PLANS

Section 3 Alternative Landscape Plan (ALP)

10 11

9

A. Purpose and Intent

12

An ALP is intended to promote the preservation and incorporation of existing native vegetation or specimen palms or trees, or for the innovative use of plant material and improved site design.

13 14

Applicability

Any application for a Development Order may be eligible to apply for an ALP. **Design Principles**

15 16 17

To qualify for consideration an application for an ALP shall demonstrate compliance with the following principles. Innovative use of plant materials and design techniques in response to unique

18 19 20

characteristics of the specific Tier and site. Preservation or incorporation of existing native vegetation.

21 22 23

Use of a variety of plant material, including plants of color, form, and texture, in excess of minimum requirements.

24 25 26 Incorporation of naturalistic design principles, such as variations in topography, meandering or curvilinear plantings, and grouping of dominant plant materials (trees, large shrubs) in a manner consistent with existing native vegetation.

Integration of landscaping and pedestrian facilities in a manner consistent with the Tier in which the development is located. In U/S Tiers and in TDD's, this may include reduced ground-level planting within the R-O-W buffer if canopy shade trees along sidewalks are provided.

31

Use of additional shade trees to create a greater canopy effect. f.

36

A greater degree of compatibility with surrounding uses than a standard landscape plan would offer, provided the resulting landscape conforms with the design principles and guidelines of this Article.

Use of water-efficient irrigation systems and xeriscaping at appropriate locations.

Incorporation of specific environmental attributes such as soil, hydrology, and vegetative communities unique to the site, and which are compatible with environmental features on adjacent properties.

Allowable Modifications to Standards

The standards that can be modified through the use of an ALP are listed below in Table 7.B.3.A-1, Standards That Can Be Altered with an ALP. Any standard not listed herein shall not be eligible to be modified through an ALP.

(This space intentionally left blank)

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

2

4

5

30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41

42

43

44

45

46 47

ARTICLE 7 – LANDSCAPING SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

Table 7.B.3 - Standards That Can Be Altered With An ALP

Specific Regulation									
Art. 7.F.2.A.1	Minimum Tree Quantities								
Art. 7.F.7.B	Shrub Hierarchy								
Art. 7.F.7.C	Planting Pattern								
Art. 7.F.7.D	Clustering								
Art. 7.F.8	Compatibility Buffer								
Art. 7.F.9	Incompatibility Buffer								
Art. 7.F.10.A.	Perimeter Buffer								
Art. 7.F.10.A.1	R-O-W Buffer								
Art. 7.F.10.A.2	Compatibility Buffers								
Art. 7.F.10.A.3	Incompatibility Buffers								
Art. 7.G.2.A	Terminal Islands								
Art. 7.G.2.B	Interior Islands								
Art. 7.G.2.C	Divider Median								
Art. 7.G.2.D	Landscape Diamonds								
Art. 7.G.2.E1	Curbing								
Art. 7.G.2.F	Parking Structures								
Table 7.C.3-1	Minimum Tier Requirements (Only the following items listed in the table)								
	Minimum Tree Height Perimeter								
	Minimum Tree Height Interior								
	Facades To Be Planted								
	Percentage of Facade								

[Renumber all sequential Tables accordingly.]

C. Application Requirements

An application for a ALP shall be in a form established by the Zoning Director, to include the following:

1. Design Principles

The ALP shall include a narrative and any necessary supporting documentation that clearly details compliance with Art. 7.B.3.B.1, Design Principles.

2. Required Findings

The applicant must provide documentation to demonstrate compliance with Art. 7.B.3.D.1, Required Findings.

D. Approval

ALPs must be submitted in conjunction with a Zoning application, in accordance with Article 2, DEVELOPMENT REVIEW PROCESS, or a building permit application, subject to the following requirements.

1. Required Findings

An ALP shall only be approved upon finding that:

- a. There are unique characteristics of the property, site design or use that warrant special consideration to modify or deviate from the requirements of this section and that these characteristics are not self-created.
- b. The ALP meets or exceeds the minimum requirements of this Section, while recognizing the unusual site design or use restraints on the property.
- c. Approval of the ALP will provide for both increased consistency and compatibility with adjacent projects located in the Tier.
- d. The ALP conforms to the requirements of Table 7.B.3.A-1, and no exceptions to the limitations on the standards that may be modified are requested.

2. Optional Preliminary Meeting

Applicants may schedule a preliminary meeting with Zoning staff to identify issues and discuss possible design alternatives.

3. Approval Process

Application for an ALP shall be approved in accordance with the following:

a. Building Permit

An application for an ALP for projects only requiring building permits shall be submitted concurrently at time of building permit application. The Zoning Division must approve the ALP prior to issuance of a building permit.

b. DRO Approval

An application for an ALP for projects requiring DRO approval, including projects approved by the ZC or BCC, shall be submitted concurrently at time of application for DRO approval. The Zoning Division must approve the ALP prior to DRO approval, unless approved by the ZC or BCC.

c. Optional Submittal with a Zoning Application

If submitted with an application for a rezoning, conditional use, requested use, variance, or development order amendment, an applicant may opt to request that the ZC or BCC, whichever is applicable, approve the ALP.

4. Appeals

If an application for an ALP is denied by the DRO or Zoning Division, an applicant may appeal the decision through a Peer Review, as follows: The applicant may select a

Notes:

<u>Underlined language</u> indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

EXHIBIT G

ARTICLE 7 – LANDSCAPING SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

landscape architect licensed in the State of Florida to certify to the Zoning Division, that the proposed ALP is in compliance with this Article. The Zoning Division shall provide a Peer Review.Certification Form for this purpose. Certification shall substitute for a staff determination of consistency with this Article.

Part 2. ULDC, Art. 7.F.3, Walls and Fences (page 30 of 48), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: 1) To clarify minimum fence and wall setbacks from property line; and, 2) Glitch, delete reference to BA.

CHAPTER F PERIMETER BUFFER LANDSCAPE REQUIREMENTS

Section 3 Walls and Fences

If a wall or fence is used, a minimum of 75 percent of the required trees shall be located between the exterior of the wall or fence along the R-O-W or facing adjacent property. Shrubs or hedges shall be installed on both sides of the wall or fence. If a wall with a continuous footer is used, a minimum of ten clear feet of planting area shall be provided.

A. Location

It is recommended that walls and fences collocated in a buffer with a berm be located at the top of berm. Walls and fences with a continuous footer shall be setback a minimum of ten feet from the edge of the property line.

B. Conflict with Easements

If the placement of the wall or fence conflicts with an easement, the wall or fence shall not encroach upon the easement unless consistent with Article 3.D, PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS (PDRS).

BC. Architectural Treatment

If a wall is used in a compatibility or incompatibility buffer, both sides of a wall shall be given a finished architectural treatment that is compatible and harmonious with adjacent development.

CD.Chain Link Fences

Vinyl coated chain link fences are permitted only if used in the R-O-W buffer, installed behind an opaque six foot high hedge or approved by the BCC, or ZC, or BA.

Part 3. ULDC, Art. 7, Appendix D, Checklist of Standards That Can Be Altered With An Approved ALP (page 44 through 48), is hereby deleted in it's entirety.

Reason: Prior checklist needlessly included redundant references to Art. 7 requirements that were not intended to imply applicability to an ALP. Information under Art.7.B.3, Alternative Landscape Plan has been simplified to indicate applicability and the deletion of the previously unused "checklist."

Notes:

<u>Underlined language</u> indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

U:\zoning\CODEREV\2006\BCC Hearings\2006-02 Round\11-27-06 RFP to Advertise\Exhibit G - Article 7.doc

SPECIAL PERMITS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

WHITE PAPER

2

8 9

10

11

29

30

31

20

21

42

43

37

57 58 59

60

65 66 67

Introduction: The PBC Zoning staff has in an effort to reduce unnecessary review time for staff and to expedite applicant requests for temporary special uses completed a comprehensive study of all Special Permits. The conclusion of the study has allowed staff to eliminate Special Permits that are no longer relevant or through minor changes to the Supplementary Use Standards can now be reviewed by DRO one time and/or through building permitted process.

Background and Summary: Prior to the adoption of the 1992 Zoning Code, there were only several Special Permits issued by the Zoning Division. With the adoption of the Unified Land Development Code (ULDC) in 1992, existing and new uses were identified as being temporary in nature and although having minimal impacts on surrounding uses, they could if necessary, be mitigated by a site plan and conditions of approval through the special permit process. The Special Permit process over the years has been successful in regulating these temporary uses for compliance with the sound zoning and site planning principles, as well as establishing a mechanism for monitoring their time limitations. Since the adoption of the Special Permit provisions in 1992, additional uses have been added or deleted from the various use matrixes in the ULDC. These proposed amendments reflect a careful review of current and past trends in special permits and the need to update the code.

The ULDC currently has twenty-four different types of Special Permits for temporary uses. The proposed amendments accomplish several goals:

- Maintain those Special Permits that require a review and possible recommendations or modification to site layout as well as ability for staff to impose conditions to mitigate the temporary
- 2. Eliminate permits that are no longer required because of other ULDC amendments to require them by be reviewed one time by Development Review Officer or by Zoning staff as part of the building permit process. Certain permits have either never been issued since 1992 when the regulations were adopted or could be combined into other existing review processes. Thereby, saving the applicant and staff review time and costs for processing the application to the applicant. Revisions to code language will clarify the intent of regulating the remaining uses and need to monitor their temporary status through the new ePZB dbase system in PZB.

Recommendations:

The Zoning Division is recommending approval of the proposed amendments and the following:

- Maintain the existing Special Permits:
 - a. Accessory Dwelling (PUD and AGR),
 - Security Caretaker Quarters,
 - Adult Entertainment. C.
 - d. Bed and Breakfast,
 - Retail Sales, mobile or Temporary, e.
 - Special Event, f.
 - g. Produce Stand,
 - h. Air stripper, Remedial,
 - (COW) Mobile Towers, i.
 - Nursery Wholesale and,
 - Communication Panel, Antennas, Commercial.
- Modify the review process for the Special Permits listed below to streamline the review process while achieving the same overall goals that were achieved in the existing process,
 - a. Recycling Collection Station;
 - Recycling Drop-off Bin; b.
 - Farm Workers Quarters; C.
 - d. Green Market:
 - Congregate Living Facility; e.
 - f. Real Estate Sales Model and Management Office;
 - Assembly, Nonprofit Institutional (within Redevelopment and Revitalization Overlay: g.
 - h. Film Production Studio;
 - Groom's Quarters.
- Eliminate the following Special Permits based on fact they have never been used since 1992, reviewed either under the existing DRO and building permit process or another county agency currently reviews and,
 - Temporary Sign Non-Residential Development, a.
 - Film Permit Extended,
 - c. Film Permit Temporary,

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

SPECIAL PERMITS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

d. Assembly, Nonprofit Institutional.

1 2 3

9

10

11 12

13

4. Update the three-use matrixes to reflect more restrictive review process while at same time updating the supplemental standards to address changes in regulations related to this use.

ULDC AMENDMENTS

Part 1. ULDC, Table 3.E.1.B-10, PDD Use Matrix (page 56 of 134), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: PDD Use Matrix to reflect most restrictive approval and proposed changes with these amendments

Table 3.E.1.B-10 - PDD Use Matrix

	PUD						N	IUP	D				МХ	MXPD			PIPE)				
		F	Pods	3		La	and	Use	Des	signa	atio	าร		_and			Us	e Zo	ne			
Use Type	R	С	R	С	Α	С	С	С	С	С	I	I	С	С	С	С	I	С	I	М	R	N
,	Е	0	E	ı	G	L	Н	L	Н	R	N	N	L	Н	L	Н	N	0	N	Н	٧	0
	S	М	С	٧	R			0	0		D	S			0	0	D	М	D	Р	Р	Т
					/							Т					/		/	D	D	E
		P															L		G			
	_	_	_	_		_	Res	side	ntial	Use	es			_	_		_	_	_			
Congregate Living Facility, Type 2	R			<u>D</u> S									<u>D</u> S	<u>D</u> S				<u>D</u> S				34
Farm Worker Quarters					<u>D</u> P																	51
		<u> </u>			<u></u>	U	tiliti	es &	Exc	cava	tion											
Recycling Collection		<u>D</u> S		<u>D</u> S		<u>D</u> S			106													
Station																						
Recycling Drop-Off Bin		DS DS DS					<u>D</u> S		104													

[Ord. 2005-002]

14 15 16

17

18 19

20

21

Notes to Table 3.E.1.B-10, PDD Use Matrix:

Permitted by right

- Permitted by right
- D S R
- Permitted subject to approval by the DRO
 Permitted in the district only if approved by Special Permit
- Permitted in the district only if approved by the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) as a requested use.

Part 2. ULDC, Table 3.F.1.I-32, Traditional Development Permitted Use Schedule (page 100 of 134), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: TDD Permitted Use Schedule to reflect most restrictive approval and proposed changes with these amendments

Notes:

<u>Underlined language</u> indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

SPECIAL PERMITS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

1

Table 3.F.1.F-32 – Traditional Development Permitted Use Schedule (Continued)

District			TND					Т	MD		N
Tier	U	Irban/Suburban (U/S)	Ex	urban/l	Rural	U/S	Ex/	Α	0	
Land Use Zone	Res	Neighborhood Center (NC)	Open Space/	Res	N/C	Open Space/		Rural	Dev	Preserve	T E
			Rec			Rec					3
		U	Itilities and	Excava	tion						
Recycling collection station							<u>D</u> S	<u>D</u> S	<u>D</u> S		106
Recycling drop-off bin	<u>D</u> P	<u>D</u> P		<u>D</u> P	<u>D</u> P		<u>D</u> P	<u>D</u> P	<u>D</u> P		104

[Ord. 2005 - 002]

Notes for Table 3.F.1.F-32, Traditional Development Permitted Use Schedule:

- P Permitted by right.
- D Permitted subject to approval by the DRO.
- S Permitted in the district only if approved by Special Permit.
- R Requested Use.

[Ord. 2005-002]

2 3 4

5 6 7

8

Part 3. ULDC, Table 4.A.3.A-1, Use Matrix (page 12 of 149), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: Use Matrix to reflect most restrictive approval and proposed changes with these amendments

Table 4.A.3.A-1 - Use Matrix

			Zoning District/Overlay																	
			ture/ ation		F	Resid	lentia	I			c	omm	ercia	ıl		Ind	lustry	/ Pub	olic	N
Use Type	Р	Α	Α	Α	R	R	R	R	R	С	С	С	С	С	С	I	ı	Р	ı	0
	С	G	Р	R	U	E	т	s	М	N	L	С	н	G	R	L	G	0	Р	Т
		R		s	s						0		0		E				F	E
				Α	Α															
		Residential Uses																		
Farm Workers Quarters		<u>D</u> S	<u>D</u> S																	51
						Utilit	ies &	Exca	vatio	n										
Recycling Collection Station										<u>D</u> ₿	<u>D</u> S	<u>D</u> S	<u>D</u> S	<u>D</u> S		<u>D</u> S	<u>D</u> S	<u>D</u> S	<u>D</u> S	106
Recycling Drop Off Bin			<u>D</u> S	_						<u>D</u> S	<u>D</u> S	<u>D</u> \$	104							
•••																				

[Ord. 2005-002] Ord. 2005-041]

Key:

- P Permitted by right
- **D** Permitted subject to approval by the DRO
- S Permitted in the district only if approved by Special Permit
- **B** Permitted in the district only if approved by the Zoning Commission (ZC)
- A Permitted in the district only if approved by the Board of County Commissioners (BCC)

9 10 11

Part 4. ULDC, Art. 4.B.1.A.14, Assembly, Nonprofit Institutional (page 32 of 149), is hereby amended as follows:

16

17

Reason for amendment: Redevelopment/Revitalization area to encourage development, staff can review site layout as part of building permit review results in saving time to applicant/staff in processing only one application.

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

SPECIAL PERMITS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

1 CHAPTER B SUPPLEMENTARY USE STANDARDS

2 Section 1 Uses

A. Definitions and Supplementary Standards for Specific Uses

14. Assembly, Nonprofit Institutional

A site or facility open to the public, owned or operated by a not-for-profit organization for social, educational or recreational purposes. Typical uses include museums, cultural centers, recreational facilities, botanical gardens and community services such as after school care or tutorial services, medical services, and employment services.

b) Redevelopment and Revitalization Overlay

The use may be located on a local residential street, subject to the following criteria:

1) Approval of a Special Permit

2) Limited to a maximum of 3,000 square feet of GFA, unless approved as a Class A conditional use;

..

14

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11 12 13

Part 5. ULDC, Art. 4.B.1.A.20.f, Bed and Breakfast (page 34 of 149), is hereby amended as follows:

19 20 21

22

23

Reason for amendment: LOSTO Overlay references B&B as permitted use in Overlay subject to Supplemental Regulations Staff review will be done at time of submitting a building permit. No need for additional review through Special Permit, provided the Supplemental Standards are sufficient to address site layout/compatibility issues.

24 25 26

27

28

29

30

31

CHAPTER B SUPPLEMENTARY USE STANDARDS

Section 1 Uses

A. Definitions and Supplementary Standards for Specific Uses

20. Bed and Breakfast

f. LOSTO Overlay

A bed and breakfast shall be allowed pursuant to the Special Permit use standards.

32 33 34

Part 6. ULDC, Art. 4.B.1.A.51.d, Farm Workers Quarters (page 47 of 149), is hereby amended as follows:

35 36 37

Reason for amendment: This use is historically tied to a larger development that has as approved site plan. The rational for amendment would be to tie the approval to the site plan so site planning issues can be addressed.

39 40 41

43

44

45

46

47 48

38

CHAPTER B SUPPLEMENTARY USE STANDARDS

42 Section 1 Uses

A. Definitions and Supplementary Standards for Specific Uses

51. Farm Workers Quarters

d. AR/RSA

May be permitted in the AR/RSA District with a SA FLU, subject to <u>DRO Approval.</u> a Special Permit approval.

49 50 51

Part 7. ULDC, Art. 4.B.1A.54.b, Temporary Film Permit (page 47 of 149), is hereby amended as follows:

52 53

Reason for amendment: Require The TV and Film Commission issues permit regardless of length of time needed.

54 55 56

CHAPTER B SUPPLEMENTARY USE STANDARDS

57 Section 1 Uses

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

SPECIAL PERMITS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

	(Updated 11/20/06)
A. Def	initions and Supplementary Standards for Specific Uses
	Film Production Studio
	b. Temporary Film Permit
	A temporary film permit to allow locational shooting for a period of less than three week
	may be allowed subject to approval of a Special Permit by the Zoning Division. Thi
	permit may be issued in all districts subject to the following requirements:
	1) Coordination The Director of the Film Liaison Office shall coordinate with the Zoning Director t
	schedule the proposed film shooting.
	2) Conditions of Approval
	Reasonable conditions may be imposed which are designed to mitigate an
	anticipated impacts on surrounding properties.
	3) Renewal
	One additional renewal may be granted for an additional three weeks, for a maximur
	duration of six weeks.
Part 8.	ULDC, Art. 4.B.1.A.54.c, Extended Film Permit (page 47 of 149), is hereby amended a
	follows: amendment: Historically since 1992 when this Special Permit was added to the ULDC it ha
not been ut	ilized. The Director of the TV and Film Commission issues the permit.
CHAPTER	B SUPPLEMENTARY USE STANDARDS
Section 1	Uses
	initions and Supplementary Standards for Specific Uses
54.	Film Production Studio
	c. Extended Film Permit
	An extended film permit shall be issued by the Director of the TV and Film Commissio Office. for a period greater than six weeks. The duration of the permit shall not exceed 2
	months without approval of the Zoning Director. This permit may be issued in all districts
	months may be leeded in an alethote
Part 9.	ULDC, Art. 4.B.1.A.64.b, Green Market (page 49 of 149), is hereby amended as follows:
Reason for	amendment: This Special Permit has not been utilized. If use meets Supplementary Use
Standards,	then permitted use.
CHAPTER	B SUPPLEMENTARY USE STANDARDS
Section 1	Uses
	finitions and Supplementary Standards for Specific Uses Green Market
04.	b. Duration and Approval
	c. Site Operation
	The market stall shall be located on the site as not to utilize required parking spaces of
	obstruct any access or parking lot aisles.
	d. The applicant shall obtain an electrical permit for temporary power, if applicable.
Part 10.	ULDC, Art. 4.B.1.A.65.d, Groom's Quarters (page 49 of 149), is hereby amended a follows:
	amendment: Can review at the time of building permit for groom's quarter. Since only up to
quarters, th	e impacts are limited and a Special Permit is not warranted
CHAPTER	B SUPPLEMENTARY USE STANDARDS
Section 1	Uses
A. Def	initions and Supplementary Standards for Specific Uses

Notes:

58 59

65. Groom's Quarters
d. Approval Process

<u>Underlined language</u> indicates proposed new language. Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space. Relocated language is shown as *italicized* with reference in parenthesis.

SPECIAL PERMITS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

Table 4.B.1.A-5 - Groom's Quarters Number of Bedrooms and Bathrooms

Process	Number of groom's quarters permitted
Permitted	One max four
Special Permit	Two through four
DRO	Five through 20
Class B	21 through 100
Class A	101 or more

2 4 5

1

Part 11. ULDC, Art. 4.B1.A.85.d, Mobile Home Dwelling (page 57 of 149), is hereby amended as follows:

6 7

Reason for amendment: The SP was a mechanism in the past to monitor time limitations. With ePZB database, staff can now monitor by flags.

8 9

CHAPTER B SUPPLEMENTARY USE STANDARDS

10 Section 1

Uses

11 12 13

14 15

16 17

18

A. Definitions and Supplementary Standards for Specific Uses 85. Mobile Home Dwelling

Temporary During Construction

In the AR district in the RSA, a mobile home dwelling shall be allowed on a temporary basis subject to approval of a Special Permit and the following standards:

1) Building Permit

A building permit for the single-family dwelling shall have been issued by the Building Director.

2) Limitations on MH Approval

a.) The approval for the mobile home shall be valid for two years from the date of issuance of the building permit, or issuance of the certificate of Occupancy for the single family dwelling. No time extensions shall be granted. One MH approval per PCN number.

24 25 26

23

Part 12. ULDC, Art. 4.B.1.A.104, Recycling Drop-Off Bin (page 67 of 149), is hereby amended as follows:

28 29 30

27

Reason for amendment: Once the bins are located on a certified site plan and meet the Supplementary Use Standards, requiring a Special Permit is redundant and requires additional review time by staff and waiting period for applicant. The certified site plan is a one time process no renewals necessary.

31 32 33

CHAPTER B SUPPLEMENTARY USE STANDARDS

34 35 36

37 38

39 40

41

42 43 44

45

46

47

48 49

50 51

52

53 54

55

Section 1 Uses

A. Definitions and Supplementary Standards for Specific Uses 104 Recycling Drop-Off Bin

A totally enclosed mobile structure, containing no more than four cubic yards, within which pre-sorted, non-biodegradable recovered materials are collected for redistribution or sale for the purpose of reuse, subject to DRO approval. If there is no DRO certified site plan on file with the Zoning Division, a Special Permit shall be required.

Mobility

The mobility of a drop-off bin shall be maintained at all times.

The drop-off bin shall be located in or adjacent to an off-street parking area, and shall not be located within required parking space.

Maintenance

The bin and adjacent area shall be maintained in good appearance and free from litter, debris, and residue on a daily basis. Failure to maintain a good appearance shall result in the revocation of the special use permit.

Only limited sorting, separation, or processing of deposited materials shall be allowed on the site. The unit shall employ no mechanical sorting or processing equipment.

Type of Materials

Collection and storage of deposited materials shall be limited to pre-sorted, recyclable glass, plastic, aluminum and steel containers, paper, newsprint and cardboard.

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.

SPECIAL PERMITS SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

(Updated 11/20/06)

1	
2	
3	
4	

5 6

12 13 14

15

16 17

11

18 19 20

21 22

23

24

25

31 32 33

35 36 37

38

39

40

34

> 45 46

Signage

The name and phone number of a responsible party shall be clearly posted on the dropoff bin. The name of the organization that is collecting the recyclable materials, if different than the owner, shall also be posted on the drop-off bin.

Number

Only one bin per material type per development, including out parcels, shall be permitted.

Recycling Bin

Recycling materials shall be contained within a leak-proof bin or trailer. There shall be no outdoor storage of materials or refuse.

AR/RSA

May be permitted in the AR/RSA District with a SA FLU, subject to DRO a Special Permit approval.

Part 13. ULDC, Art. 4.B.1.A.106, Recycling Collection Station (page 69 of 149), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: Staff analysis of past Special Permits has discovered the majority of sites that this use is located on have approved site plans. Therefore, once recycling station is located on the plan, no further review is required

CHAPTER B SUPPLEMENTARY USE STANDARDS

Section 1 Uses

A. Definitions and Supplementary Standards for Specific Uses 106.Recycling Collection Station

A totally enclosed structure or mobile container, containing more than four cubic yards, within which pre-sorted, recyclable and recovered materials are collected for redistribution or sale for the purpose of reuse, subject to Administrative Amendment approval. If no DRO site plan then Special Permit required.

TMD District

Shall not be located on a Main Street.

Part 14. ULDC, Art. 8.C.1, PROHIBITIONS (page 13 of 40), is hereby amended as follows:

Reason for amendment: To accommodate existing industry practice. Fabric banners are very commonly used by sign companies and present no negative impacts to surrounding properties.

CHAPTER C PROHIBITIONS

Section 1 Banners, Streamers, or Pennants

Banners, streamers, pennants, and other signs made of lightweight fabric or similar material, except grand opening banners, mounted to a pole or building, except where otherwise stated in this Section.

U:\zoning\CODEREV\2006\BCC Hearings\2006-02 Round\11-27-06 RFP to Advertise\Exhibit H - Special Permit.doc

Notes:

Underlined language indicates proposed new language.

Language crossed out indicates language proposed to be deleted.

.... (ellipses) indicates language not amended which has been omitted to save space.