

Department of Engineering and Public Works

P.O. Box 21229
West Palm Beach, FL 33416-1229
(561) 684-4000
FAX: (561) 684-4050
www.pbcgov.com

Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners

Melissa McKinlay, Mayor
Mack Bernard, Vice Mayor
Hal R. Valeche
Paulette Burdick
Dave Kerner
Steven L. Abrams
Mary Lou Berger

County Administrator

Verdenia C. Baker

"An Equal Opportunity Affirmative Action Employer" October 24, 2018

Anna Lai, P.E., PTOE Simmons & White 2581 Metrocentre Boulevard West, Suite 3 West Palm Beach, FL 33407

RE: Ruby's Cove FLUA Amendment Policy 3.5-d Review Round 2019-B

Dear Ms. Lai:

Palm Beach County Traffic Division has reviewed the Comprehensive Plan Amendment Traffic Statement for the proposed Future Land Use Amendment for the above referenced project, dated October 04, 2018, pursuant to Policy 3.5-d of the Land Use Element of the Palm Beach County Comprehensive Plan. The project is summarized as follows:

Location:	NW Corner of Jog Road and Pioneer	Road
PCN:	00-42-43-27-05-013-0123 (others on	file)
Acres:	24.11 acres	
	Current FLU	Proposed FLU
FLU:	Low Residential, 1 dwelling unit per acre (LR-1)	Medium Residential, 5 dwelling units per acre (MR-5)
Zoning:	Agricultural Residential (AR)	Residential Planned Unit Development (PUD)
Density/ Intensity:	1 du/acre	5 du/acre
Maximum Potential:	Single Family Detached = 24 DUs	Single Family Detached = 120 DUs
Proposed Potential:	N/A	N/A
Net Daily Trips:	960 (maximum – current)	
Net PH Trips:	90 (23/67) AM, 124 (78/46) PM (max	imum)

^{*} Maximum indicates typical FAR and maximum trip generator. **Proposed** indicates the specific uses and intensities/densities in the zoning application.



Anna Lai, P.E., PTOE October 24, 2018 Page 2

Based on the review, the Traffic Division has determined that the traffic impacts of the proposed amendment meets Policy 3.5-d of the Future Land Use Element of the Palm Beach County Comprehensive Plan at the maximum potential density shown above.

Please contact me at 561-684-4030 or email to QBari@pbcgov.org with any questions.

Sincerely,

Quazi Bari, P.E.

Burg Awak Bar

Senior Professional Engineer - Traffic Division

QB:DS/dd

ec: Dominique Simeus – Project Coordinator II, Traffic Division Steve Bohovsky – Technical Assistant III, Traffic Division Lisa Amara – Senior Planner, Planning Division Khurshid Mohyuddin – Principal Planner, Planning Division Jorge Perez – Senior Planner, Planning Division

File: General - TPS – Unincorporated - Traffic Study Review N:\TRAFFIC\Development Review\Comp Plan\19-B\Ruby's Cove.docx



LAND USE PLAN AMENDMENT APPLICATION TRAFFIC STATEMENT

RUBY'S COVE 24.11 ACRE LUPA PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

Prepared for:

Rinker Companies 225 Peruvian Avenue Palm Beach, Florida 33480

Job No. 18-139

Date:

10/04/2018



Anna Lai, P.E., PTOE FL Reg. No. 78138

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 SITE DATA	3
2.0 TRAFFIC GENERATION	3
2 O DADUIS OF DEVELORMENT INFLUENCE	
3.0 RADIUS OF DEVELOPMENT INFLUENCE	4
4.0 TRAFFIC ASSIGNMENT/DISTRIBUTION	4
FONEAD COACANALYOU	
5.0 YEAR 2040 ANALYSIS	5
6.0 TEST 2 – FIVE YEAR ANALYSIS	5
7.0 PEAK HOUR TURNING MOVEMENTS	5
8.0 CONCLUSION	5

1.0 SITE DATA

The subject parcel is located in the north side of Pioneer Road, west of Jog Road in Palm Beach County and contains approximately 24.11 acres. The Property Control Numbers (PCN) for the subject parcel are as follows:

00-42-43-27-05-013-0022	00-42-43-27-05-013-0112
00-42-43-27-05-013-0023	00-42-43-27-05-013-0121
00-42-43-27-05-013-0110	00-42-43-27-05-013-0123
00-42-43-27-05-013-0111	00-42-43-27-05-013-0125

The property is currently designated as Low Residential, 1 dwelling unit per acre (LR-1) on the Palm Beach County Comprehensive Plan. The property owner is requesting a change in the 24.11 acre parcel's designation to Medium Residential, 5 dwelling units per acre (MR-5) on the Palm Beach County Comprehensive Plan. The purpose of this statement is to determine the total traffic volume which will be on each roadway link within the site radius of development influence for the Interim Transportation Plan. This statement will also identify which roadway links (if any) will exceed the adopted Level of Service volume for the subject links addressed within the project's radius of development influence.

2.0 TRAFFIC GENERATION

The increase in daily traffic generation due to the requested change in the 24.11 acre parcel's land use designation may be determined by taking the difference between the total traffic generated for the most intensive land use under the existing LR-1 future land use designation and the proposed LR-1 future land use designation:

LR-1

The most intensive land use for the existing LR-1 land use designation is single family homes. Based on a maximum density of 1 dwelling unit per acre and the site area consisting of 24.11 acres, the maximum allowable number of dwelling units for the designated acreage under the existing LR-1 land use designation is 24 dwelling units calculated as follows:

Single Family Homes (24 Dwelling Units)

Table 1 calculates the daily traffic generation, AM peak hour traffic generation, and PM peak hour traffic generation for the property under the existing LR-1 land use designation. The traffic generation has been calculated in accordance with the traffic generation rates listed in the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 9th Edition. Based on the maximum allowable dwelling units and the accepted traffic generation rates for single family homes, the maximum traffic generation for the property under the existing LR-1 land use designation may be summarized as follows:

2.0 TRAFFIC GENERATION (CONTINUED)

Daily Traffic Generation = 240 tpd AM Peak Hour Traffic Generation (In/Out) = 18 pht PM Peak Hour Traffic Generation (In/Out) = 29 pht

MR-5

The most intensive land use for the proposed MR-5 land use designation is single family homes. Based on a maximum density of 5 dwelling units per acre and the site area consisting of 24.11 acres, the maximum allowable square footage for the designated acreage under the proposed MR-5 land use designation is 120 dwelling units calculated as follows:

24.11 Acre x <u>5 Dwelling Unit</u> = 120 Dwelling Units Acre

Single Family Homes (120 Dwelling Units)

Table 2 calculates the daily traffic generation, AM peak hour traffic generation, and PM peak hour traffic generation for the property under the proposed MR-5 land use designation. Based on the maximum allowable square footage and the accepted traffic generation rates for medical office development, the maximum traffic generation for the property under the proposed MR-5 land use designation may be summarized as follows:

Daily Traffic Generation = 1,200 tpd

AM Peak Hour Traffic Generation (In/Out) = 90 pht (23 In/67 Out)

PM Peak Hour Traffic Generation (In/Out) = 124 pht (78 In/46 Out)

The increase in traffic generation due to the requested change in the parcels' land use designations may be calculated as follows:

Daily Traffic Generation = 960 tpd INCREASE

AM Peak Hour Traffic Generation = 72 pht INCREASE

PM Peak Hour Traffic Generation = 95 pht INCREASE

3.0 RADIUS OF DEVELOPMENT INFLUENCE

Based on Table 3.5-1 of the Palm Beach County Comprehensive Plan for a total trip generation increase of 960 trips per day, the radius of influence is the directly accessed link for the Year 2040 analysis. Based on Table 12.B.2.D-7 3A of Article 12 of the Palm Beach County Unified Land Development Code, for a peak hour trip generation of 124 peak hour trips, the radius of development influence for purposes of Test 2 shall be two (2) miles.

4.0 TRAFFIC ASSIGNMENT/DISTRIBUTION

The attached PROJECT DISTRIBUTION figure shows the trip distribution, which is based on the current and projected roadway geometry, a review of historical travel patterns for the area, and anticipated travel patterns associated with probable land uses under the proposed MR-5 land use designation.

5.0 YEAR 2040 ANALYSIS

Table 4 (Appendix A) represents the required Year 2040 Analysis. The total anticipated Year 2040 traffic meets the adopted Level of Service requirements within the project's radius of influence. Therefore, the proposed land use change meets the Year 2040 requirements of the Palm Beach County Comprehensive Plan

6.0 TEST 2 - FIVE YEAR ANALYSIS

Tables 5 and 6 (Appendix B) represent the required Test 2 Five Year Analysis. As shown in Tables 4 and 5, all roadway links are insignificant. Therefore, the proposed land use change meets the requirements of Test 2 of the Palm Beach County Traffic Performance Standards.

7.0 PEAK HOUR TURNING MOVEMENTS

The total AM and PM peak hour turning movements for the project under the proposed MR-5 land use designation have been calculated in Table 2 in order to assess the improvements necessary to accommodate such traffic movements. The AM and PM peak hour turning movement volumes and directional distributions for the proposed MR-5 land use designation may be summarized as follows:

Directional Distribution (Trips IN/OUT)

AM Peak Hour = 23 / 67 PM Peak Hour = 78 / 46

Based on the peak hour volumes shown above and the Palm Beach County Engineering Guideline used in determining the need for turn lanes of 75 right turns or 30 left turns in the peak hour, additional turn lanes may be warranted. The need for turn lanes or access modifications will be re-evaluated following the submittal of a site-specific development order and site plan.

8.0 CONCLUSION

As previously mentioned, this proposed future land use plan designation modification will result in an increase in intensity of development and will not significantly impact any roadway segment that is projected to be operating above the adopted Level of Service on the Year 2040 Transportation System Plan. Additionally, all roadway links meet the requirements of the Test 2 analysis. Therefore, this land use plan amendment is in accordance with the goals and objectives of the Palm Beach County Comprehensive Plan, Transportation Element.

APPENDIX A

YEAR 2040 ANALYSIS

APPENDIX B

TEST 2 ANALYSIS

RUBY'S COVE

TABLE 1
EXISTING LR-1 FUTURE LAND USE DESIGNATION - 24 SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING UNITS

Daily Traffic Generation

	ITE	DE MA			Dir Split		inte	rnalization		Pass	-by	
Landuse	Code	NE HE	Intensity	Rate/Equation	In Out	Gross Trips	%	Total	External Trips	%	Trips	Net Trips
Single Family Detached	210	24	Dwelling Units	10		240		0	240	0%	0	240
			Grand Totals:			240	0.0%	0	240	0%	0	240

AM Peak Hour Traffic Generation

	ITE				Dir	Split	Gr	oss T	rips	Int	ernall	zation		Ext	ernal	Trips	Pass	-by		Vet Tr	ps
Landuse	Code		Intensity	Rate/Equation	In	Out	In	Out	Total	%	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	%	Trips	In	Out	Total
Single Family Detached	210	24	Dwelling Units	0.75	0.25	0.75	5	13	18	0.0%	0	0	0	5	13	18	0%	0	5	13	18
			Grand Totals:			-11 :	5	13	18	0.0%	0	0	0	5	13	18	0%	0	5	13	18

PM Peak Hour Traffic Generation

	ITE		A STATE OF S		Dir	Split	Gr	oss T	rips	Inte	ernali	zation		Ext	ernal	Trips	Pass	-by		Net Tr	ips
Landuse	Code		Intensity	Rate/Equation	In	Out	In	Out	Total	%	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	%	Trips	In	Out	Total
Single Family Detached	210	24	Dwelling Units	Ln(T) = 0.90 Ln(X) + 0.51	0.63	0.37	18	11	29	0.0%	0	0	0	18	11	29	0%	0	18	11	29
	Grand Totals:					18	11	29	0.0%	0	0	0	18	11	29	0%	0	18	11	29	

RUBY'S COVE

TABLE 2 PROPOSED MR-5 FUTURE LAND USE DESIGNATION - 120 SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING UNITS

Daily Traffic Generation

	ITE				Dir Split		Inte	rnalization	DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF THE	Pass	-by	
Landuse	Code		Intensity	Rate/Equation	In Out	Gross Trips	%	Total	External Trips	%	Trips	Net Trips
Single Family Detached	210	120	Dwelling Units	10		1,200		0	1,200	0%	0	1,200
			Grand Totals:			1,200	0.0%	0	1,200	0%	0	1,200

AM Peak Hour Traffic Generation

	ITE	STATE OF THE PARTY		THE REAL PROPERTY.	Dir	Split	G	ross T	rips	Inte	ernali	zation	100000	Ext	ernal	Trips	Pass-	-by	1	et Tri	ps
Landuse	Code		Intensity	Rate/Equation	In	Out	In	Out	Total	%	In	Out	Total	in	Out	Total	%	Trips	In	Out	Total
Single Family Detached	210	120	Dwelling Units	0.75	0.25	0.75	23	67	90	0.0%	0	0	0	23	67	90	0%	0	23	67	90
			Grand Totals:				23	67	90	0.0%	0	0	0	23	67	90	0%	0	23	67	90

PM Peak Hour Traffic Generation

Landuse	Code		Intensity	Rate/Equation	Mary Street Street	Split Out	RESIDENCE:	oss T	rips Total	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	The state of the s	zation	200000000000000000000000000000000000000		ernal Out	Trips	Pass	by Trips		et Tri	
Single Family Detached	210	120	Dwelling Units	Ln(T) = 0.90 Ln(X) + 0.51	0.63	0.37	78	46	124	0.0%	0	0	0	78	46	124	0%	0	78	46	124
			Grand Totals:				78	46	124	0.0%	0	0	0	78	46	124	0%	0	78	46	124

RUBY'S COVE

TABLE 3 TRAFFIC GENERATION INCREASE

ı		AM F	EAK H	OUR	PM P	EAK H	OUR
	240	TOTAL	IN	OUT	TOTAL	IN	OUT
EXISTING DEVELOPMENT =	240	18	5	13	29	18	11
PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT =	1,200	90	23	67	124	78	46
INCREASE =	960	72	18	54	95	60	35



N.T.S.

ENGINEERING I PLANNING I CONSULTING I SINCE 1982
Authorization No. 3452
2581 Metrocentre Bivd West • Suite 3 • West Polm Beach, Florida 33407 • (561) 478-7848

	2581	Metrocentre Blvd West	• Suite 3 • West Pain	n Beach, Fl	orida 33407 • (561) 478	-7848			
BELVEDERE ROAI	0		2%	6%	DREXEL ROAD				
COUTLIEDN DOUI DA	ADD URI	RWE	4%)	(15%)	5%	3%)		2%)	
SOUTHERN BOULEV									
5%	FLORIDA	(10%)		40%	(15			(2%)	13%
					GUN CLU	JR KOAD			
		SITE -/	JOG ROAD	55%		%)	ROAD		1%
		SIIL	9		SUMMIT B	OULEVARD			
			Ôρ	45%		0%)	HAVERHILL		
FOREST HILL	BOULEVARD				FOREST HILL	BOULEVARD	¥		
		10%)		25%)	(10	0%)			

PROJECT DISTRIBUTION

LEGEND

PROJECT DISTRIBUTION

RUBY'S COVE 18-139 AL 10-04-18

APPENDIX A

YEAR 2040 ANALYSIS

RUBY'S COVE 10/04/1B

TABLE 4 (YEAR 2040) MAXIMUM DEVELOPMENT INTENSITY - NET INCREASE

PROJECT: RUBY'S COVE

EXISTING FUTURE LAND USE DESIGNATION: LR-1
TRIPS PER DAY = 240

PROPOSED FUTURE LAND USE DESIGNATION: MR-5

TRIPS PER DAY = 1,200

TRIP INCREASE = 960

ROADWAY	FROM	70	DISTRIBUTION (%)	PROJECT TRAFFIC	LANES	LOS D CAPACITY	TRIP	2040 PBC MPO TRAFFIC VOLUME**	TOTAL 2040 TRAFFIC	V/C RATIO	PROJECT SIGNIFICANCE*
JOG ROAD	SOUTHERN BOULEVARD	GUN CLUB ROAD	40%	384	6D	50,300	0.76%	49,600	49,984	0.99	NO
JOG ROAD	GUN CLUB ROAD	SUMMIT BOULEVARD	55%	528	6D	50,300	1.05%	49,600	50,128	1.00	NO

^{*} Project is significant when net trip increase is greater than 1% for v/c of 1.4 or more, 2% for v/c of 1.2 or more and 3% for v/c less than 1.2.

Station	Roadway	From	То	Owner	Cost Feasible Lanes	Observed 2005 Counts	Observed 2010 Counts	Observed 2015 Counts	2040 SERPM 6.5 Adjusted Volume	2040 SERPM7+ Adjusted Volume
5648	JOG RD	Lake Ida Rd	Flavor Pict Rd	PBC	6D	28,463	23,771	24,867	38,000	25,100
5650	JOG RD	Flavor Pict Rd	Pipers Glen Blvd	PBC	6D	31.057	23,642	24,221	35,900	27,200
5640	JOG RD	Pipers Glen Blvd	Woolbright Rd	PBC	6D	30,603	23,794	25,487	34,400	26,400
5844	JOG RD	Woolbright Rd	Boynton Beach Blvd	PBC	6D	34,641	28,059	28,403	36,300	30,800
5200	JOG RD	Boynton Beach Blvd	Gateway Blvd	PBC	6D	37,603	32,795	33,181	41,000	39,900
4660	JOG RD	Gateway Blvd	Le Chalet Blvd	PBC	6D	38,805	34,962	37,769	44,300	40,900
4640	JOG RD	Le Chalet Blvd	Hypoluxo Rd	PBC	6D	40,540	36,577	41,103	44,700	43,200
4670	JOG RD	Hypoluxo Rd	Winston Trails BI	PBC	6D	38,636	33,040	35,642	45,400	40,800
4628	JOG RD	Winston Trails BI	Lantana Rd	PBC	6D	39,902	35,196	36,500	46,500	42,600
4612	JOG RD	Lantana Rd	Melaleuca Ln	PBC	6D	42,362	36,287	37,599	46,700	44,400
4634	JOG RD	Melaleuca Ln	Lake Worth Rd	PBC	6D	50,395	41,001	43,082	55,000	45,800
4616	JOG RD	Lake Worth Rd	10th Ave N	PBC	6D	41,595	35,671	38,550	43,300	41,500
4204	JOG RD	10th Ave N	Forest Hill Blvd	PBC	6D	48,296	41,352	44,233	52,100	50,500
3650	JOG RD	Forest Hill Blvd	Summit Blvd	PBC	6D	49,007	40,108	39,544	60,000	50,600
3624	JOG RD	Summit Blvd .	Southern Blvd	PBC	6D	38,464	36,794	36,684	53,000	49,600
3654	JOG RD	Southern Blvd	Belvedere Rd	PBC	60	32,010	31,251	0	48,200	47,400
3220	JOG RD	Belvedere Rd	Tumpike Int	PBC	6D	26,334	24,994	25,922	43,600	37,300
3104	JOG RD	Tumpike Int	Okeechobee Blvd	PBC	6D	N/A	27,438	29,044	41,100	42,300
3458	JOG RD	Okeechobee BI	Roebuck Rd	PBC	4D	25,482	24,731	26,728	27,000	29,800
0400	JOG RD	Roebuck Rd	45th St	PBC	4	N/A	N/A	0	24,000	9,500
2414	JOG RD	45th St	Beeline Hwy	PBC	2	N/A	5.060	6,707	8,100	9,200
2416	JOG RD	Beeline Hwy	Turnpike Int	PBC	4D	NA	11,310	14,963	18,400	20,300
2410	JOG RD	Tumpike Int	PGA Blvd	PBC	4D	N/A	N/A	0	17,700	18,100
2107	JOG RD	PGA Blvd	Hood Rd	PBC	2	NA	9,290	10,721	3,500	11,100
2106	JOG RD	Hood Rd	Donald Ross Rd	PBC	2	N/A	1,774	3,961	5,400	2,800
6420	JUDGE WINIKOFF RD	Sandpoint Ter	SR 7	PBC	4D	10,553	9,846	10,464	8,200	12,100
1404	JUPITER FARMS RD	Indiantown Rd	South of Indiantown Rd	PBC	2	10,932	10,079	10,366	12,200	12,700
6417	KIMBERLY BLVD	SR-7	Lyons Rd	PBC	40	7,469	6,423	6,170	8,600	8,200
4652	KIRK RD	Melaleuca Ln	Lake Worth Rd	PBC	2	8,586	7,111	6,791	10,900	10,000
4830	KIRK RD	Lake Worth Rd	10th Ave N	PBC	2	10,197	8,099	9,240	13,600	13,900
4664	KIRK RD	10th Ave N	Purdy Ln	PBC	2	13,660	11,348	12,213	16,100	16,200
4208	KIRK RD	Purdy Ln	Forest Hill Blvd	PBC	5	18,583	16,626	16,029	21,700	24,800
3656	KIRK RD	Forest Hill Blvd	Summit Blvd	PBC	2	10,851	9,724	9,611	13,400	The state of the s
3662	KIRK RD	Summit Blvd	Gun Club Rd	PBC	2	8,260	9,663	10,675		13,400
3614	KIRK RD	Gun Club Rd	Southern Blvd	PBC	4D	6,871	8,443	10,020	11,300 25,600	13,000
2617	KYOTO GARDENS DR	Military Tr	Alt A1A/SR-811	PBC	4D	N/A	N/A	8.924	4,600	9,600
2843	KYOTO GARDENS DR	Alt A1A/SR 811	Lake Victoria Gardens Ave	PBC	4D	N/A	N/A	6,661	6,600	14,400
3904	LAKE AVE	Bunker Rd	Forest Hill Blvd	WPB	2	1,560	N/A	0,001	2,200	300
3898	LAKE AVE	Southern Blvd	Bunker Rd	WPB	2	3,830	N/A	0	5,500	3,700
3874	LAKE AVE	Belvedere Rd	Southern Blvd	WPB	2	5,304	N/A	0	5,500	5,600
3858	LAKE AVE	Park PI	Belvedere Rd	WPB	2	1,134	N/A	0	1,600	4,100
5649	LAKE IDA RD	Hagen Ranch Rd	Jog Rd	PBC	2	12,238	7,591	7,536	17,200	10,000
5653	LAKE IDA RD	Jog Rd	El Clair Ranch Rd	PBC	2	12,383	10,168	10,969	14,800	13,400
5651	LAKE IDA RD	El Clair Ranch Rd	Military Tr	PBC	2	13,228	11,590	11,682	17,100	15,600
5623	LAKE IDA RD	Military Tr	Barwick Rd	PBC	4D	20,410	18,255	19,827	25,700	23,500
5605	LAKE IDA RD	Barwick Rd	Congress Ave	PBC	4D	29,688	27,179	28,271	37,200	31,700
5307	LAKE IDA RD	Congress Ave	Swinton Ave	PBC	4D	19,839	21,306	21,542	28,100	27,500
3445	LAKE WORTH RD	South Shore Blvd	120th Av	PBC	2	15,873	12,544	12,221	17,200	15,700

APPENDIX B

TEST 2 ANALYSIS

RUBY'S COVE 10/04/18

TABLE 5 **TEST 2 - PROJECT SIGNIFICANCE CALCULATION** AM PEAK HOUR

TEST 2 - FIVE YEAR ANALYSIS 2 MILE RADIUS OF INFLUENCE TOTAL AM PEAK HOUR PROJECT TRIPS (ENTERING) = 23 TOTAL AM PEAK HOUR PROJECT TRIPS (EXITING) = 67

				C WILL SHAPE TO SERVICE AND PARTY.	M PEAK HOUR				TOTAL	
STATION	ROADWAY	FROM	то	PROJECT DISTRIBUTION	PROJECT TRIPS	EXISTING LANES	CLASS	LOS E STANDARD	PROJECT IMPACT	PROJECT SIGNIFICANT
3211 3679 3609	BELVEDERE ROAD BELVEDERE ROAD BELVEDERE ROAD	SKEES ROAD JOG ROAD DREXEL ROAD	JOG ROAD DREXEL ROAD HAVERHILL ROAD	4% 5% 3%	3 3 2	6D 4D 4D	11 11 11	2,830 1,870 1,870	0.09% 0.18% 0.11%	NO NO NO
3105 3223 3643 3635	SOUTHERN BOULEVARD SOUTHERN BOULEVARD SOUTHERN BOULEVARD SOUTHERN BOULEVARD	LYONS ROAD FLORIDA TURNPIKE JOG ROAD HAVERHILL ROAD	FLORIDA TURNPIKE JOG ROAD HAVERHILL ROAD MILITARY TRAIL	5% 10% 15% 13%	3 7 10 9	8D 8D 8D 6D	11 11 11	3,780 3,780 3,780 2,830	0.09% 0.18% 0.27% 0.31%	NO NO NO
3649 3651	GUN CLUB ROAD GUN CLUB ROAD	JOG ROAD HAVERHILL ROAD	HAVERHILL ROAD MILITARY TRAIL	5% 1%	3 1	2 5	II H	860 1,870	0.39% 0.04%	NO NO
3657	SUMMIT BOULEVARD	JOG ROAD	HAVERHILL ROAD	10%	7	4D	П	1,870	0.36%	NO
3666 3636	FOREST HILL BOULEVARD FOREST HILL BOULEVARD	PINEHURST DRIVE JOG ROAD	JOG ROAD SHERWOOD FOREST BOULEVAR	10% D 10%	7 7	6D 6D	 	2,830 2,830	0.24% 0.24%	NO NO
3104 3220 3654 3624 3624 3650 4204	JOG ROAD JOG ROAD JOG ROAD JOG ROAD JOG ROAD JOG ROAD JOG ROAD	OKEECHOBEE BOULEVARD FLORIDA TURNPIKE BELVEDERE ROAD SOUTHERN BOULEVARD GUN CLUB ROAD SUMMIT BOULEVARD FOREST HILL BOULEVARD	FLORIDA TURNPIKE BELVEDERE ROAD SOUTHERN BOULEVARD GUN CLUB ROAD SUMMIT BOULEVARD FOREST HILL BOULEVARD 10TH AVENUE NORTH	2% 6% 15% 40% 55% 45% 25%	1 4 10 27 37 30 17	6D 6D 6D 6D 6D 6D	11 11 11 11 11	2,830 2,830 2,830 2,830 2,830 2,830 2,830	0.05% 0.14% 0.36% 0.95% 1.30% 1.07% 0.59%	NO NO NO NO NO NO
3638	DREXEL ROAD	OKEECHOBEE BOULEVARD	BELVEDERE ROAD	2%	1	2	H	860	0.16%	NO
3608 3634	HAVERHILL ROAD HAVERHILL ROAD	BELVEDERE ROAD SOUTHERN BOULEVARD	SOUTHERN BOULEVARD SUMMIT BOULEVARD	2% 2%	1	5 5	11 11	1870 1870	0.07% 0.07%	NO NO



RUBY'S COVE 10/04/18

TABLE 6 TEST 2 - PROJECT SIGNIFICANCE CALCULATION PM PEAK HOUR

TEST 2 - FIVE YEAR ANALYSIS
2 MILE RADIUS OF INFLUENCE
TOTAL PM PEAK HOUR PROJECT TRIPS (ENTERING) = 78
TOTAL PM PEAK HOUR PROJECT TRIPS (EXITING) = 46

	ROADWAY	FROM	то		PEAK HOUR DIRECTIONAL PROJECT TRIPS	EXISTING LANES	CLASS	LOS E STANDARD	TOTAL PROJECT IMPACT	PROJECT SIGNIFICANT
3211 3679 3609	BELVEDERE ROAD BELVEDERE ROAD BELVEDERE ROAD	SKEES ROAD JOG ROAD DREXEL ROAD	JOG ROAD DREXEL ROAD HAVERHILL ROAD	4% 5% 3%	3 4 2	6D 4D 4D	11 11 11	2,830 1,870 1,870	0.11% 0.21% 0.13%	NO NO NO
3105 3223 3643 3635	SOUTHERN BOULEVARD SOUTHERN BOULEVARD SOUTHERN BOULEVARD SOUTHERN BOULEVARD	LYONS ROAD FLORIDA TURNPIKE JOG ROAD HAVERHILL ROAD	FLORIDA TURNPIKE JOG ROAD HAVERHILL ROAD MILITARY TRAIL	5% 10% 15% 13%	4 8 12 10	8D 8D 8D 6D	11 11 11	3,780 3,780 3,780 2,830	0.10% 0.21% 0.31% 0.36%	NO NO NO
3649 3651	GUN CLUB ROAD GUN CLUB ROAD	JOG ROAD HAVERHILL ROAD	HAVERHILL ROAD MILITARY TRAIL	5% 1%	4	2 5	II II	860 1,870	0.45% 0.04%	NO NO
3657	SUMMIT BOULEVARD	JOG ROAD	HAVERHILL ROAD	10%	8	4D	11	1,870	0.42%	NO
3666 3636	FOREST HILL BOULEVARD FOREST HILL BOULEVARD	PINEHURST DRIVE JOG ROAD	JOG ROAD SHERWOOD FOREST BOULEVAR	10% D 10%	8 8	6D 6D	81 81	2,830 2,830	0.28% 0.28%	NO NO
3104 3220 3654 3624 3624 3650 4204	Jog Road Jog Road Jog Road Jog Road Jog Road Jog Road Jog Road	OKEECHOBEE BOULEVARD FLORIDA TURNPIKE BELVEDERE ROAD SOUTHERN BOULEVARD GUN CLUB ROAD SUMMIT BOULEVARD FOREST HILL BOULEVARD	FLORIDA TURNPIKE BELVEDERE ROAD SOUTHERN BOULEVARD GUN CLUB ROAD SUMMIT BOULEVARD FOREST HILL BOULEVARD 10TH AVENUE NORTH	2% 6% 15% 40% 55% 45% 25%	2 5 12 31 43 35 20	6D 6D 6D 6D 6D 6D 6D	11 11 11 11 11	2,830 2,830 2,830 2,830 2,830 2,830 2,830	0.06% 0.17% 0.41% 1.10% 1.52% 1.24% 0.69%	NO NO NO NO NO NO
3638	DREXEL ROAD	OKEECHOBEE BOULEVARD	BELVEDERE ROAD	2%	2	2	B	860	0.18%	NO
3608 3634	HAVERHILL ROAD HAVERHILL ROAD	BELVEDERE ROAD SOUTHERN BOULEVARD	SOUTHERN BOULEVARD SUMMIT BOULEVARD	2% 2%	2	5 5	II 11	1870 1870	0.08% 0.08%	NO NO



2019 FUTURE LAND USE ATLAS AMENDMENT APPLICATION Part 1. Amendment Data

A. Amendment Data

Round	Round 19-B	Intake Date	November 2, 2018			
Application Name	Ruby's Cove	Concurrent?	No			
Acres	24.11 Acres	Text Amend?	No			
PCNs	00-42-43-27-05-013-0123 00-42-43-27-05-013-0125 00-42-43-27-05-013-0121 00-42-43-27-05-013-0110 00-42-43-27-05-013-0111 00-42-43-27-05-013-0112 00-42-43-27-05-013-0023 00-42-43-27-05-013-0022					
Location						
	Current	P	roposed			
Tier	Urban/Suburban	Urban/Suburban				
Use	Single Family Residential	Single Family Residential				
Zoning Agricultural Residential (AR)		Residential Planned Unit Development (PUD)				
Future Land Use Low Residential, 1 dwelling unit per acre (LR-1)		Medium Residential, 5 dwelling units pe acre (MR-5)				
Underlying Future None Land Use Designation		None				
Conditions	None	None				

B. Development Potential

	Current FLU	Proposed FLU				
Density/ Intensity:	1 dwelling unit per acre	5 dwelling units per acre				
Maximum Dwelling Units ¹ (residential designations)	1 du/acre x 24.11 ac. = 24 du's	5 du/acre x 24.11 ac. = 120 du's				
Maximum Beds (for CLF proposals)	max du x 2.39 =	max du x 2.39 =				
Population Estimate	24 max du x 2.39 = 57	120 max du x 2.39 = 286				
Maximum Square Feet 2,4 (non-residential designations)	FAR x ac. =	FAR xac. =				
Proposed or Conditioned Potential 3,4						

Max Trip Generator	Single Family Residential ITE #210 10 trips per day / unit	Single Family Residential ITE #210 10 trips per day / unit		
Maximum Trip Generation	240 trips per day	1200 trips per day		
Net Daily Trips:	1200 tpd - 240 tpd = 960 trips per	r day		
Net PH Trips:	AM Peak Hour: 90 pht – 18 pht = 72 peak hour trips PM Peak Hour: 124 pht – 29 pht = 95 peak hour trips			

- 1. Maximum units per acre see Future Land Use Element Table III.C.1;
- Maximum FAR see FLUE Table III.C.2 for FAR. If the site's acreage is large enough to be a
 planned development, utilize the PDD maximum whether or not a PDD is proposed. If the site's
 acreage does not meet the minimum PDD thresholds, the non-PDD maximum may be utilized.
- 3. For applications with a voluntary condition for a maximum development potential and use which will become binding in the adopting ordinance;
- 4. FLUA Amendments with a concurrent zoning application must calculate maximum development potential at the typical use & trip generation (eg. General Retail for Commercial future land uses) and in addition, calculate the trip generation for the actual proposed zoning application.