

Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Frequently Asked Questions

Q. On page 21 – total funding amount requested – should we enter the amount listed on our FY2020 CoC grant awards/contracts or should we use the GIW submitted in August 2020? The awards for both programs went up about \$5k.

A. Total funding amount for FY2021 should be listed at the FY2020 award amount.

Q. For the YHDP programs, should we just ask for half of the total award amount (that would equal one year of ask)?

A. Yes, it would be half of the current award as you currently have a two year contract and will be going to a one year contract.

Q. On page 24 - Renewal Projects shall receive preliminary scores with their project evaluations based on the agency's CMIS data. RENEWAL PROJECT APPLICANTS are invited to provide narrative responses to be considered by the Non Conflict Grant (NCG) Review Committee. When will we be receiving preliminary scores? (I also saw on p. 17 about the pre-scoring, but didn't see any other details of when or how that would be communicated.)

A. This occurs after the scoring for project evaluations. Once you receive the evaluation from the scoring committee, the CMIS data used will accompany the evaluation. If you know you have a CMIS issue, then you should write a narrative with the application. If the matters is identified after the scoring process, we can allow a narrative submission to occur within 72 hours of receipt. The scoring team will be alerted to the narrative response and determine if the scores will be impacted.

Q. I didn't see a space in the SAMIS application to provide CMIS data narrative if needed. Can you let me know where it is?

A. It has been added.

Q. On page 23 – For YHDP, the original grant contracts were terminated, and the amended contracts are under review but not yet executed. If they are not finalized by the due date of the grant app, would you prefer us to send the old one and the new one together?

A. Yes

Q. On page 36 – Exhibit 1 – two things – 1. Number 3 is bed utilization, I would guess that for families that is also unit utilization. But, the items listed as tie breakers do not match the items listed as in the scoring tool on page 49.

A. Yes for families it is unit utilization. The scoring tool has been updated.

Q. Bed/Unit Utilization with respect to scoring was confusing because on page on page 42, utilization is listed as a threshold item where the unit/bed utilization rate must be at or above 95%. And then on the scoring tool, p. 48, there points given for 90% or higher, 80% or higher, etc., so unit utilization in the NOFO is listed as 1. A tie breaker on page 36, a threshold item on page 42, and an area for scoring points on page 48.

A. The threshold on page 42 should read 70% and will be corrected. The tie breaker information on page 36 is also incorrect but the correct information is on page 49. This too will be corrected and posted publically.

Q. Will the HHA and HHA subcommittee attendance be posted on the website?

A. Yes, for the HHA but not HHA subcommittee.

Q. Not sure if I missed the answer to this: Since YHDP is being included in the competition, will all of those applications be included in consideration of total COC funding for the County and subject to the (6 %) loss?

A. Yes

Q. Page 23 of application, #11 HUD monitoring report. Is this the monitoring report completed by the County and if so, what do we use if we haven't received the most recent monitoring report? Do we use the last report we received?

A. Yes

Q. Page 29 of application – Could I please have clarification on exactly what it means to choose dedicated plus vs. stating that 100% of beds are dedicated to chronically homeless? Also, in the middle of the page, it indicates if the project was awarded as dedicated plus in the past, the project is now required to include households with children to qualify as a dedicated plus project in 2021 competition, which means I'm assuming that projects serving individuals cannot be a dedicated plus project. I would just like clarification on what it means to be a dedicated plus project.

A. Beds that are dedicated to chronically homeless individuals and families are those beds dedicated specifically for use by chronically homeless individuals and families within a CoC's geographic area, as reported in the CoC's HIC and the previous FY Competition's permanent housing project application. When a program participant exits the project, **the bed must be filled by another chronically homeless participant unless there are no chronically homeless persons located within the CoC's geographic area. This concept only applies to permanent supportive housing (PSH) projects.** If a project is made up of 100 percent dedicated beds, this is what HUD commonly refers to as a project that is 100 percent dedicated for chronically homeless individuals and families.

The DedicatedPLUS concept provides more flexibility to communities, particularly those that have already dedicated 100 percent of the PSH resources to chronic homelessness, to expand their eligibility to serve persons with long histories of homelessness and severe service needs who would not meet the definition of chronic homelessness at project entry. The DedicatedPLUS

concept applies at the project level. All new PSH projects are required to either be (1) 100 percent dedicated to chronic homelessness or (2) DedicatedPLUS. Renewal PSH projects may choose to change their classification to DedicatedPLUS as part of their project application. DedicatedPLUS projects may serve individuals and families with disabilities and families that meet the following criteria at project entry:

1. experiencing chronic homelessness as defined in 24 CFR 578.3;
2. residing in a transitional housing project that will be eliminated and meets the definition of chronically homeless in effect at the time in which the individual or family entered the transitional housing project;
3. residing in a place not meant for human habitation, emergency shelter, or safe haven and had been admitted and enrolled in a permanent housing project within the last year but were unable to maintain a housing placement and met the definition of chronic homeless as defined by 24 CFR 578.3 prior to entering the project;
4. residing in transitional housing funded by a Joint TH and PH-RRH component project and who were experiencing chronic homelessness as defined at 24 CFR 578.3;
5. residing and has resided in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or emergency shelter for at least 12 months in the last three years, but has not done so on four separate occasions and the individual or head of household meet the definition of 'homeless individual with a disability'; or
6. receiving assistance through a Department of Veterans Affairs(VA)-funded homeless assistance program and met one of the above criteria at initial intake to the VA's homeless assistance system.

DedicatedPLUS projects may still choose to dedicate some of their beds to individuals or families experiencing chronic homelessness, and in these cases HUD will expect that those beds serve individuals and families meeting HUD's definition of chronic homelessness and be filled by another chronically homeless participant unless there are no chronically homeless persons located within the CoC's geographic area. For example, if a new PSH project applies for 100 beds and chooses to dedicate 50 of those beds to individuals and families experiencing chronic homelessness, then HUD will expect that at any given time 50 of the beds be filled by someone who met HUD's definition of chronic homelessness at project entry. The remaining 50 beds may be used to serve individuals and families meeting the criteria described above.

Q. Page 42 of application – Data Quality – Can you please clarify how this is calculated?

A. We will utilize the HUD data quality report to ensure that this threshold is met.

Q. When I imported the application from eSnaps for the YHDP projects, they are the New Applications that were submitted originally. Since we are now submitting the renewal applications and not 'new' applications, should I be using a 'renewal' application by transferring all the information into another one of my PSH applications so that I use a renewal form? Or should I use they YHDP eSnaps application that was used in FY2019 which was originally a 'New' application?

A. You will submit a renewal application

Q. Can you please tell us the age requirement for the senior category for the new project for this year's NOFO? I have done some research and found conflicting information. Some guidance seems to define seniors at age 55 while other guidance defines them age 62.

A. 62

Q. How am I going to submit 5 renewal applications if they are all supposed to be labeled the same:

"(Agency Name or Initials) CoCeSnapsApplication_2021" ? (ex: 3 grants in PSH; Youth RRH; Youth PSH)

A. Use the project name which will differentiate them.

Q. Does the Application Profile need to be submitted with each of the 5 renewal applications?

A. Yes

Q. When I log into SAMIS, will I need to go into the link 5 times, or do I upload 5 separate documents (packages) - 1 for each renewal? Before I click the checkmark that I've completed that section?

A. Yes, one at a time.

Q. In the eSnaps Applicant Profile and Project Application under Part II: It requests information regarding:

Other Government Assistance Provided or Requested/Expected Sources and Use of Funds:

In our situation, we have the 3 shelters, and additional residential programs with the County (FAA/Project Home IV). Do we list all of them? There is only room to list 5 programs on the application...Please advise.

A. There will be additional lines added so agencies can put all of their governmental funding sources

Q. We are unable to enter a new project into ESNAPS. When entering the new project into SAMIS, it requests you upload the ESNAPS application. Please advise what we are to do as we cannot create the new application into ESNAPS. We attempted to enter the new application into the FY 2019 and it was rejected as the funding year was closed. Please advise as to how we can create a new application for the senior category.

A. Export last application, upload in SAMIS. Do not change anything in eSNAPS.

Q. Can you please help me with this question? For the HUD renewals, Requirement Number 11 is: Please provide the agency's most recent US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) monitoring report.

Please upload your document using the following format: .pdf

Please name your document as such: (Agency Name or Initials)HUDCoCMonitor

A. Use your last monitoring report.

Q. In past instances of CoC scoring, agencies submitted paper copies of everything and the county would run the APR reports used to score and send them to the agencies to include in the applications. Then the agencies could review the data in the APR to ensure its accuracy and make notes on the application in case there were things the grant reviewer would need to know in order to score correctly. Is the county going to disseminate the APR to the agencies in advance of the deadlines with sufficient time for the agencies to review and to make notes? Also, if notes were necessary, where would they be documented?

A. The county will be pulling the APR reports and providing them to the agencies in advance so they have time to review.

Q. Is there space for narrative responses?

A. There will be space available in SAMIS that will be adequate to explain any discrepancies in data.

Q. Will the grant inventory worksheet be sent out to all of the agencies to verify and send back?

A. It will be sent out by the end of the week.

Q. Since the application hasn't opened up and the NOFO has not been released will the due date be changed?

A. No

Q. Once HUD releases application would agencies have to go to eSNAPS and redo the whole application for 2020?

A. Agencies will have to complete an application for the CoC when it is released, nothing much should change. There are no guarantees.

Q. Are we applying through SAMIS for all renewals?

A. Yes, it eliminates the need for agencies to make 10 copies of 4" applications, reviewers can score online using the same process.

Q. Can we submit a template in Q/A for feedback before submitting in SAMIS?

A. Sure

Q. If an individual entered in an individual PSH project and they had children entered into the family PSH, when you do a transfer from one to another, the criteria of entering as homeless changes. They are eligible but the agency is no longer able to say they met category 1 or category 4 homeless. Is there a way to address the transfer?

A. Make a note in SAMIS about transfers that could equal out the transfer. The issue was resolved on the scoring tool to change the wording from not homeless to prior living situation.

Q. To submit a new project, how would an agency do it off eSNAPS?

A. The link is available in the New Project Application and was emailed out.

Q. Will we be coming back together as a continuum once pro rata need has been identified to discuss new projects and priorities?

A. Data shows that seniors are the priority.

Q. Is anyone considering a new project?

A. GGI is considering it but a final decision has not been made yet.

Q. Is HHA attendance and subcommittee information listed on website?

A. HHA attendance will be listed but not subcommittee information

Q. On the applicant profile, do the applicants export and upload SF424 supplement form and HUD form 570 addendum sites for work performance?

A. Leave them from 2019

Q. In the applicant profile in eSNAPS for HUD projects do other sources of funding need to be updated?

A. Yes

Q. For YHDP projects what are the date ranges for data to be pulled in CMIS?

A. A partial year October 2020-May 2021

Q. How will the HMIS project be ranked compared to housing projects?

A. HMIS project will be ranked separately.

Q. On page 39, for the unmet needs committee no longer meets?

A. They are held to the same standards to which the CoC is held to and has been updated.

Q. On page 41, regarding certifications? What does that refer to?

A. It refers to any certifications mentioned throughout the guidance.

Q. On page 14, what does it mean when it says renewal grants per unit costs for renewal project applicants?

A. We are trying to get to a place where we can use bed costs. Total dollars divided by clients served = bed cost.

Q. What date should be used for eLOCCS screenshot submission?

A. May 2021

Q. YHDP eLOCCS has been closed from October - March how will that work?

A. Reviewers will be given additional information

Q. On page 23, #10 should it be for calendar year or grant period?

A. It should be for the calendar year and it should be the total program budget and latest approved budget.

Q. On page 46, questions 6 and 8 both say earned income instead of some saying non-employment income.

A. Those questions have been changed to reflect both.

Q. Are the current YHDP providers supposed to apply for this current CoC NOFO application? Or since we have one more year of YHDP, do we apply during next year's cycle?

A. All youth grants must apply for renewal this funding cycle.

Q. The scoring tool for the new project asks if the agency has any monitoring findings with any other programs and if so, are they resolved and/or explained. There is nowhere on the new project application to enter this information. Additionally, it is not asked on the SAMIS application. How are we to provide this information so that we can "meet" this standard?

A. The information will be available on SAMIS

Q. Specify the universe and target for the housing measure.

Housing Measure Target (#) Universe (#) Target (%)

Would this be, for example, if we are projecting to serve 20 individuals, 20 would be our target and then the universe would be a number that would account for discharges, so perhaps 22 for one year?

A. Please ignore the universe number at this time and just enter in the # to be served

Q. The performance measures in the new project application state:

5. Standard Performance Measures

Specify the universe and target for the housing measure.

Housing Measure	Target (#)	Universe (#)	Target (%)
-----------------	------------	--------------	------------

Persons remaining in permanent housing as of the end of the operating year or exiting to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized) during the operating year			
Choose one income-related performance measure from below, and specify the universe and target numbers for the goal			
a. Persons age 18 through 24 who maintained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or program exit.			
b. Persons age 18 through 24 who maintained or increased their earned income as of the end of the operating year or program exit.			

This project is to be for seniors, not youth. I don't think it is a realistic outcome to expect those aged 62+ to increase their earned income. They might maintain it (as in outcome a.), but again, I don't think it's fair to expect them to increase it. Should I change the outcome to state persons age 62+ will maintain their income.....?" This doesn't really make sense. The performance measures specifically state youth 18-24 and the new project has to be for seniors. How can I draft performance measures for youth 18-24 when we are supposed to be serving seniors age 62+? Can I change the verbiage to read seniors 62+ instead of youth?

A. The guidance I received is that anyone applying for a new project should insert information regarding seniors and not youth. Unfortunately, the new application is a HUD application. We cannot change HUD's directive. Please do the best you can with the questions and take the opportunity wherever you can to explain the specific challenge of seniors

Q Although the NOFO doesn't clarify that we are using FY19 (as opposed to completing FY21 in eSNAPS), when we are exporting the esnaps FY19 renewal apps for the CoC programs and the FY19 new apps for the YHDP programs and converting to Word, do you want us to export the project application or the c1.9a application (the latter either contains the technical submission

that might have some different (or perhaps extra and unnecessary) info than the original application. See below.

Actions	Project Name Project Number	Funding Opportunity Name Step Name
	YHDP_CYTO2 FL0845Y4D051899	YHDP New Project Application FY2019 C1.9a YHDP New Application Technical Submission
	YHDP_CYTO2 FL0845Y4D051899	YHDP New Project Application FY2019 YHDP New Project Application FY2019
	Project SAFE II FL0288L4D051912	Renewal Project Application FY2019 Renewal Project Application FY2019
	Project SAFE II FL0288L4D051912	Renewal Project Application FY2019 C1.9a Renewal Application Issues and Conditions

A. Please download and edit only the renewal project application and not the technical submission.

Q. Should we 1. Make edits to each program’s FY19 eSNAPS application (either original or c1.9a, based on your instructions), as applicable in Word, 2. Export to PDF, 3. Combine it with our updated eSNAPS Applicant Profile and 4. Attach in SAMIS? Or, since I think the full Applicant Profile loads into the Project Application, not worry about combining the Applicant Profile?

A. Please make edits to the esnaps application only. You may download the PDF from enaps and either convert to word and edit or edit the PDF itself. Once completed, upload into SAMIS. Do not worry about combining with the Applicant profile.

Q. What situation would lead to a narrative response for question 14 in SAMIS/p. 23 in NOFO? Is there some kind of percentage depending on how far along you are in each program?

“Spending explanation and plan – Please provide an explanation regarding the delay in spending out project funds. Provide a plan for doing so within the HUD-mandated period.

A. If you are under expended in your HUD funding, please add to narrative response. This will include any delays with HUD or eLOCCS, etc. There is no percentage trigger. Responses will vary based on under utilization of spending. A rule of thumb is 25% in first quarter, 50% 2nd quarter , etc.

Q. Did PBCDHVS do a joint remote monitoring in conjunction with CSD/FAA last year (August 2020)? I am trying to track down our prior year monitoring report for the CoC HUD renewal application. Our agency can't seem to find last year's CoC monitoring report. I received the attached FAA monitoring report from Sharon, but in her email, she says she doesn't have the

HUD monitoring (I am assuming she means the CoC monitoring). Do you have separate monitoring report for last year, or should I submit what is attached to this email in order to satisfy the "previous year's monitoring report" requirement on the CoC application checklist?

A. Use the latest one you got from 2019.

Q. Can you please tell me if an updated checklist is expected, or are we still using the checklist/cover page that was in the original Guidelines, so we can proceed with obtaining signatures for the submission?

A. The current information that is posted is the most updated version.

Q. 11. HUD Monitoring Report

Please provide the agency’s most recent US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) monitoring report.

- Please upload your document using the following format: **.pdf**
- Please name your document as such: *(Agency Name or Initials)***HUDCoCMonitor**

For this, do you want our most recent monitoring that was completed by HUD, or do you want our most recent monitoring completed by the County (CoC) for our HUD-funded projects?

A. CoC monitoring please

Q. Are we to up date the rental costs for units? Is there rental numbers we are agreed upon for rent costs? I know the FMR went up. When using the HUD site, there are rents for areas. Can you provide what FMR we are using and if we are to make those changes in the Esnaps document....

A. Most folks are using the same number from 2019 and adding the increase into leasing until the new HUD application is unveiled. If you prefer you can use the 2021 FMR for metro Boca/Palm Beach County.

The FY 2021 West Palm Beach-Boca Raton, FL HUD Metro FMR Area FMRs for All Bedroom Sizes

Final FY 2021 & Final FY 2020 FMRs By Unit Bedrooms					
Year	<u>Efficiency</u>	<u>One-Bedroom</u>	<u>Two-Bedroom</u>	<u>Three-Bedroom</u>	<u>Four-Bedroom</u>
FY 2021 FMR	\$983	\$1,180	\$1,468	\$1,984	\$2,387
<u>FY 2020 FMR</u>	\$1,015	\$1,208	\$1,506	\$2,043	\$2,422

Q. I don’t see the form for budget. Is this just how we are charging for Support Services, Admin, and Operations? Or do you want it broken down further into specifics in each area? Please clarify.

I don't believe there is a specific format, they have requested the last approved agency budget by program and of course the program budget that you are requesting funding for. I would imagine reviewers would be interested to see a comprehensive budget.

Q. The NOFO information guidance indicates the application deadline for new projects is June 14, 2021 and the NOFO FAQ indicates application deadline has not been extended. However, the CSD NOFO submission website indicates a deadline of June 18, 2021. Which is the correct deadline?

A. The decision was just made yesterday to extend to June 18th. Wendy sent an email to everyone. June 18th at 12:00 noon is the deadline.

New questions and answers 06/17/21

Q. On Page 7 in the Q/A it states YHDP eLOCCS has been closed from October-March how will that work? The answer was that reviewers will be given different information. It was actually closed from March-May.

A. Reviewers will be notified that YHDP projects have not been operating for a full year and the data represents the time period from October-March. They will also be informed that eLOCCS was closed from March-May.

Q. #10 in SAMIS still shows Project Budget/#11 shows Total Agency Budget. The NOFO and the guidance is no longer requesting the project budget. Is a separate project budget still necessary? Should Question 6E be edited (6J)? to reflect the numbers they will be operating off of during FY20 for the current project?

A. SAMIS has been updated to reflect the change. The latest approved agency budget is needed including all agency funding sources and expenses by program in addition to a full project budget for the project you are applying for through this competition.

Q. Who is the match document form sent to at the county, it has to be on county letterhead?

A. A match letter could be written on agency letterhead that indicates what they are committing to, the dollar amount of the match and the sources. It does not even need to be a source, it could be a cash match.

Q. Agencies were told they would receive APR info and data quality reports before the due date? Will that still happen?

A. Yes.

Q. Should the dates be changed on the application from 2019?

A. Yes, once downloaded and it is saved, anything that is wrong in the application needs to be updated.

Q. In the description that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project should I remove Jerome Golden Center and replace with South County Mental Health?

A. Jerome Golden Center is no longer in existence so it cannot be included. South County Mental Health is the only mental health facility open in our community.

Q. The 2019 renewal application has budget numbers that are different than the current budget. Should I modify them to reflect the current award and budget?

A. Yes, from their latest grant award letter with HUD.

Q. In the 2019 application, Families First was a sub-recipient to Adopt-A-Family. Does all of the AAF information need to be edited to reflect Families First and does the sub-recipient information need to be removed completely?

A. Yes.

Q. When reviewing the System Performance Measures data we noticed that the total permanent exits were off due to not counting Housing Choice Vouchers. Upon further review we figured out that the report sent was the 2019 SPM and this was corrected in the 2020 SPM. Can we please receive an updated report.

A. You will be receiving an updated report

Q. Also, in the TA meeting it was clarified that the YHDP programs will be scored using Oct20-May21 data. The APRs we received were calendar year 2020. Please let us know if this was in error or if we missed an update.

A. You will be receiving an updated report.

Q. When reviewing the System Performance Measures data we noticed that the total permanent exits were off due to not counting Housing Choice Vouchers. Upon further review we figured out that the report sent was the 2019 SPM and this was corrected in the 2020 SPM. Can we please receive an updated report.

A. I attached new SPM reports to reflect the changes from the 2019 SPM and the 2020 SPM report.

Q. Also, in the TA meeting it was clarified that the YHDP programs will be scored using Oct20-May21 data. The APRs we received were calendar year 2020. Please let us know if this was in error or if we missed an update.

A. YHDP APRs were updated to reflect October 2020 – May 2021 data.

Q. For question C6, the data is identified as coming from APR questions 23a and 23b. In the 2020 APR questions, 23a and 23b were retired and it is now 23c. Additionally, the calculation in the APR report we received is incorrect. The data is correct, just the percentage is calculating incorrectly. Will this calculation be done manually when the application is pre-scored?

A. This will be manually calculated. The APR number will be updated on the scoring tool to reflect Q23c.

Q. For question D14, since we changed this question to be head of household, it cannot be taken from the APR report that was sent as it includes all adults in the household. To get the disability status for only heads of household, a separate report is needed, or the data validation file can be used. Can we please get clarification on where this information will be pulled from?

A. The disability status was pulled from HMIS. I attached the HMIS Report. Please be mindful that I initially ran two separate reports, one for Housing Status and the other for Disability, so the housing status report will be most updated on the disability report. I didn't include a percentage for housing status on the disability report but you can calculate this manually.

Q. For question D15, the report we were sent only includes new entries in 2020. From the wording of the question, it appears that this question is scoring all persons (adults) served in the calendar year that entered after Oct. 1, 2015. Can we get clarification on which clients will be included in this scoring?

A. This report was updated to reflect all open cases in 2020 and any new entry/exits in 2020.

Q. On pages 2, 8, 11, 13, 15, 17, of our 2019 grant it has a "Date Signed" or "Date Received" which is 09/12/2019 – should I change that and if so, what date should I put?

A. Please put in the date you submit the application for local funding

Q. On page 5, should I change or remove the "Funding Opportunity Number?"

A. Change it to read HUD local application 2021 or something along those lines

Q. Page 26 – should I change the "During the previous grant year, 9/1/17-8/31/18" in our project description?

A. Yes, this should reflect what you have done currently done or report on what you did in the 2020 year.

Q. Should I remove the sub recipient pages and all references to it or leave them in now that there isn't one?

A. If you no longer have a sub-recipient, please remove all language pertaining to sub-recipient.

Q. On page 48, the Submission Without Changes page, should I uncheck the sub recipient checked box?

A. Yes, if you are no longer a sub-recipient or no longer have a sub-recipient.

Q. I think it would be more helpful to run our Project Family Care APR by head of household only. The current report pulls in all family members and it unfairly skews the data in a way that

could lead to unwarranted scorecard deficits. For instance, the percentage of clients who have one or more disabilities is low and can't be fairly calculated when all family members (and even all adults) are used in the calculation. However, if it listed all head of households, the data would clearly show that the 90 percent threshold has been reached. In addition, in the Point in Time bed count inventory, it shows 5 households for each of the 4 monthly Wednesdays, when the program itself is a 24-unit program (ie the numbers are incorrect). Would you consider re-running Project Family Care for head-of-households only or to somehow tailor the client barriers sections of the APR so reviewers can clearly understand that greater than 90 percent of head of households had one or more disability?

A.

Q. I also wanted to understand where the data comes from to populate the scorecard measure of percentage of clients who are placed through coordinated entry? Will the transfers from PSH to PSH or RRH to PSH we had be excluded from the data, so reviewers can see/understand that all clients were originally placed through coordinated entry? I don't think we were able to review this data, so we can't be sure they will see that 100 percent of our clients ultimately came in through coordinated entry.

A.

Q. Should the Federal Award Identifier stay the same as 2019?

A. No, they should have the award letter with new award or contract number.

Q. In reviewing the scoring card, we noticed that in NOFO Amendment 2 the questions that apply to PSH YHDP were updated and changed. Specifically, YHDP PSH is now scored in C4 and C5 but no longer scored in C10 and C11. The Scoring Guide by Question sheet (pg 45) does not reflect these changes nor does the total eligible points. Can you please clarify the maximum possible score for this project type and which questions are being scored?

A.

Q. For question C4, we are not able to calculate the score based on the reports sent to us. The HUD categories on the APR go from 15-30 and then 31-60 so there is not a way to stop at 45. Which report will be used to calculate this score? On the APR all individuals who were newly housed in the report period are counted. If a different report is used, will it still calculate using all case members or will the report be based on households? The percentages are different.

A.

Q. I am having difficulty with when marking the first section as complete, it showing the error messages reflected in the attached person. I spoke with Emily Gorman from Adopt-A-Family who ran into the same issue. Who is the SAMIS Tech support for glitches for this project?

A. The problem has been resolved. Gillian or Pedro are the Tech supports for SAMIS

Q. For the "Priority Population" list in SAMIS, I believe "Chronically Homeless Families" should be an option. Currently, the list has "Chronically Homeless Individuals" and "Families," but neither category best captures the fact that HUD-funded PSH programs must be prioritize chronically homeless families, as our does. For now, I will select "Families." Please let me know if "Chronically Homeless Families" is added as an option so I can change my selection.

A.

Q. The CoC Renewal Checklist Form attached in SAMIS is not the current version found in the NOFO Guidance Amendment #2 document. Is the Amendment #2 Checklist Form the most updated version.

A. This issue has been resolved.

Q. If there is a score of 16.67% on one of the questions in #6 does that disqualify us from applying for a renewal?

A.

Q. In the SAMIS portal, the link that should open the renewal application opens the new application instead, is that correct?

A. That issue has been resolved.

Q. Also, for the eLOCCS screen we should include, there are three options under Project Portfolio (attached above). My assumption is you would like us to include the Award Detail PDF for our grant apps, but wanted to confirm. The Q&As say to use May 2021 for the screenshot submission, but I don't think we can control that and it's for whatever day we pull it down/screenshot it. The grant award I attached is Connecting Youth to Opportunities FY19. We are just finishing up FY19 for this grant. I would assume you would want FY19, but the NOFO Amendment 2 guidance says to "Provide a screenshot of agency's current 'Project Portfolio' from eLOCCS documenting the previous amount awarded and the amount expended to date" (p.23). It made me think maybe you want the year that we most recently completed to show that we drew all of our funds down. We can't do that for the YHDP grants since they are new and there is no previous amount awarded. For YHDP, I am planning to combine the award that was just terminated combined with the award they just enacted to show our draws. So the question: for the eLOCCS screen, do you want the voucher query, award information, or award detail screen, and do you want the most recently completed grant or the one we are currently in (for CoC).

A.